Shree Warana Vibhag Shikshan Mandals's

Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya Warananagar. Representative feedback form Index

Sr. No.	Activity	Page No
	Representative feedback form 2017-18	
1	Student feedback form	2-5
2	Faculties Feedback form	6-9
3	Alumni Feedback form	10-13
4	Parents Feedback form	14-17
	Representative feedback form 2018-19	
5	Student feedback form	18-21
6	Faculties Feedback form	22-25
7	Alumni Feedback form	26-29
8	Parents Feedback form	30-33
	Representative feedback form 2019-20	
9	Student feedback form	34-37
10	Faculties Feedback form	38-41
11	Alumni Feedback form	42-45
12	Parents Feedback form	46-49
	Representative feedback form 2020-21	
13	Student feedback form	50-53
14	Faculties Feedback form	54-57
15	Alumni Feedback form	58-61
16	Parents Feedback form	62-65
	Representative feedback form 2021-22	
17	Student feedback form	66-69
18	Faculties Feedback form	70-73
19	Alumni Feedback form	74-77
20	Parents Feedback form	78-81

ON WARANIAGAR WOLHSPIRI

PRINCIPAL.
Yashayantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya,
Warananagar, Dist, Kolhapur.

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2017-18 STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

-	WINDY.	ALARA	1
gaç	124	1	K
BAM	1	3	ı
13	Phone	3/5	1

nomics	Acade	mic Year: 2017	- 2018		
B.A	Year /	Semester: V (1)	nd VI		
Name of the Students : Bhosale Pranalization			Roll Number: 3856		
our valuable feedback o m.	n the curriculur	n for review of sylla	bus / to improve		
nging was the syllabus o	offered by the co	Durses			
O Very Good	O Good	V	O Poor		
ateness of the sequence	of the courses	provided in the curri	Culum		
Very Good	○ Good		O Poor		
the syllabus of the cour	rses in relation t	o the competencies	vnacted by the		
global scenarios.		- Imperiored (Apected by the		
O Very Good	Good Good	O Average	O Poor		
of the units / modules		Oge	Croor		
 Very Good 		O Average	O Poor		
ness of the textbooks ar		ks mentioned above	for the course		
 Very Good 	○ Good	27.022.000	O Poor		
content of the courses in	n terms of burde	en in students	Oroot		
O Very Good	○ Good		○ Poor		
the courses in terms of	extra learning of	or self-learning	O1001		
O Very Good	O Good		O Poor		
in choosing the electiv	res in relation to	technology advance	ments		
Very Good	○ Good		O Poor		
e of the courses offering	g lab componen	ts.	O 1 001		
Very Good	○ Good	The state of the s	O Poor		
ion of the courses in terr	ms of Basic scie	nce. Engineering sei	anca Humanisi		
iscipline elective, open	elective, projec	tete ? Good	ence, riumamnes		
Book sh	oud ev	uchase in	1 0		
	MALLO IV	icho c in	EINIT		
		000	hosale.		
		and the second	re of Student		
	our valuable feedback of m. our valuable feedback of the course of the course in terms of the courses of the feedback of the courses of fee in terms of the courses of the feedback of the courses in terms of the courses of the feedback of the courses in terms of the courses of the feedback of the courses in terms of the courses of the feedback of the courses of the feedback of the courses in terms of the courses of the feedback of the fee	nts: Bhosale Premains our valuable feedback on the curriculum. aging was the syllabus offered by the company of the sequence of the courses of the sequence of the courses of the syllabus of the courses in relation to global scenarios. O Very Good	Ants: Bhosale Premolectical Roll Number: 3856 our valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllam and the syllabus offered by the courses. Overy Good Good Average inteness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum for review of the curriculum for review of syllam and the syllabus of the courses provided in the curriculum for review of syllam and the syllabus of the courses provided in the curriculum for review of syllam and the curriculum for review of syllam and the courses. Overy Good Good Average for the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies of the syllabus of the courses in the courses. Overy Good Good Average for the textbooks and reference books mentioned above for the courses in terms of burden in students. Overy Good Good Average for the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning. Overy Good Good Average for che courses offering lab components. Overy Good Good Average for the courses offering lab components. Overy Good Good Average for the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering sciencipline elective, open elective, project etc.? Good for sciencipline elective, open elective, project etc.? Good for science for the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering sciencipline elective, open elective, project etc.? Good for science for the course in terms of Basic science, Engineering sciencipline elective, open elective, project etc.? Good for science for the course in terms of Basic science, Engineering sciencipline elective, open elective, project etc.?		

MARIMANIGAR KOLHAPUR

Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2017-18 STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: Hindi

Department: H17	ndi	Acade	mie Year: 2017	- 18 * AMO
Program Name: BA-III		Year /	Semester: VI	10
Name of the Stude	nts: Naik Sujata	S Roll N	umber: 3715	
	our valuable feedback o			bus / to improve
1. Rate how challer	nging was the syllabus o	offered by the co	ourses	
₩ Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Passes
2. Rate the appropr	iateness of the sequence		provided in the curri	O Poor
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	
3. Rate the depth of	f the syllabus of the cour	Control of the contro	to the competencies	O Poor
industry / current	t global scenarios.			expected by the
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the sequence	e of the units / modules	in the courses.	Circugo	Oroor
O Excellent	V Very Good		O Average	O Poor
Rate the adequate	eness of the textbooks ar	nd reference boo	oks mentioned above	for the courses
© Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the syllabus	content of the courses in	n terms of burde	en in students.	0100
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	○ Poor
7. Rate the design of	f the courses in terms of	extra learning		0.001
C Excellent	O Very Good		O Average	O Poor
 Rate the flexibility 	y in choosing the electiv	es in relation to	technology advance	ments
O Excellent	Very Good		O Average	O Poor
Rate the percentag	ge of the courses offerin	g lab componer		0.1001
Excellent .	O Very Good		O Average	O Poor
0. Rate the composit	ion of the courses in ten	ms of Basic scie		ence Humanities
Discipline core, D	discipline elective, open	elective, projec	t etc. ?	and, and and and and
Any other suggestion				
Unit	test sho	uld be	taken fre	quenti
				- Contraction of the second

Date: 23 / 4 / 18			- Statement of	Naik_
THE STATE OF THE S			Signatu	re of Student

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2017-18 STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM



Academic Year: 2017 - 18 Vear / Semester: VVII Roll Number: 3814 riculum for review of syllabus / to improve the courses. Good Average Poor ation to the competencies expected by the courses. Good Average Poor arses.	or or he or
riculum for review of syllabus / to improve the courses. Good Average Poor ation to the competencies expected by the courses. Good Average Poor ation to the competencies expected by the courses. Good Average Poor arses. Good Average Poor Poor arses. Good Average Poor Poor Course Cour	or or he or
the courses. Sood	or or he or
the courses. Good Average Pool Pool Pool Pool Pool Pool Pool Poo	or or he or
Sood Average Pool Pool Average Pool Pool Average Pool Pool Pool Pool Pool Pool Pool Poo	or he or
Sood Average Pool Pool Average Pool Pool Average Pool Pool Pool Pool Pool Pool Pool Poo	or he or
ourses provided in the curriculum. Good Average Pool ation to the competencies expected by the Good Average Pool arses. Good Average Pool ace books mentioned above for the cours Good Average Pool	or he or
Average O Pool ation to the competencies expected by the food O Average O Pool arses. Food O Average O Pool ace books mentioned above for the course ood O Average O Pool	he or
ation to the competencies expected by the food	he or
lood Average Pool arses. lood Average Pool ace books mentioned above for the cours lood Average Pool	or or
arses. Sood Average Pool ace books mentioned above for the cours sood Average Pool	or
ood Average Poo	or
ood Average Poo	r ses.
ood Average Poo	ses.
ood O Average O Poo	
	*
	**
ood Average O Poor	
rning or self-learning.	-
	r-
ponents.	
	or .
	itiae
project etc. ?	aucs,
sek Should furthere	
1.	Mark No.
t o si	tion to technology advancements. od Average Poor ponents. od Average Poor ic science, Engineering science, Human

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2017-18 STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM



	zoology	Acaden	nic Year: 201	1-18
Program Name :	BSC	Year / S	Semester: W	n
Name of the Stude	nts: Mone Pavon Aj	Roll Nu		-
Please rate y quality of the progra	our valuable feedback on im.	the curriculum	for review of syllat	ous / to improve
Rate how challer	nging was the syllabus of	fered by the co	urses.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good Good	○ Average	O Poor
Rate the appropr	iateness of the sequence of	of the courses r	provided in the currie	or Tool
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the depth of	the syllabus of the course	es in relation to	the competencies of	vnacted by the
industry / current	global scenarios.		- Printing C	Apecica by the
O Excellent	O Very Good	● Good	○ Average	O Poor
4. Rate the sequence	e of the units / modules in	the courses.	·	Oroor
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	- O Poor
5. Rate the adequate	eness of the textbooks and	reference boo	ks mentioned above	for the course
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
. Rate the syllabus	content of the courses in	terms of burder	n in students	01001
O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	Average	O Poor
. Rate the design of	f the courses in terms of e	xtra learning o		01001
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
. Rate the flexibility	y in choosing the electives	s in relation to	technology advanced	ments.
O Excellent	O Very Good		○ Average	O Poor
. Rate the percentag	ge of the courses offering			01001
O Excellent	O Very Good	● Good	○ Average	O Poor
0. Rate the composit	ion of the courses in terms	s of Basic scien	ce. Engineering seid	mea Humoniti
Discipline core, D	iscipline elective, open ele	ective, project	etc. ?	nce, riumanine
ny other suggestion	(e) -			
	Provide.	the :	relegence L	ook:
Should	be start Pa	win 20	ologie	
		dening the Control	-1027	************

Date: 16/04/2019

Signature of Student

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2017-18 FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department .	nemistry		ne real . 2017-1	
Program Name:	Science	Year/S	emester :	
Name of the Faculty	: Poof.M. 4. Chikalkar	Designa	tion: Head	
Please rate yo quality of the program	our valuable feedback on the on.	curriculum	for review of syllab	us / to improve
1. Rate the structure	of the curriculum framed for	the entire	program.	
	O Very Good (Good	○ Average	O Poor
2. Rate the appropri	ateness of the sequences of th	ne courses	provided in the curri	culum.
	O Very Good (Good	○ Average	O Poor
3. Rate the depth of	the syllabus of the course in	relation to	the competencies ex	pected by industry /
current global sce	enarios.			
O Excellent	○ Very Good (Good	○ Average	○ Poor
4. Rate the sequence	e of the units / modules in the	courses.		
O Excellent		Good	○ Average	○ Poor
5. Rate the distribut	ion of credits to the courses.			
Ø Excellent	O Very Good (Good	○ Average	O Poor
6. Rate the adequate	eness of textbooks and referer	nce books	mentioned for the co	urses.
O Excellent		Good	○ Average	O Poor
7. Rate the potential	of the students in understand	ding the co	ourse objectives.	
	O Very Good (Good	. O Average	○ Poor
8. Rate the syllabus	content for the courses in ter	ms of bure	den on students.	
O Excellent		Good	○ Average	O Poor
9. Rate the experime	ent list in stimulating the inte	rest of stud	dents in the subject.	
	O Very Good (Good	○ Average	O Poor
10. Rate the contribu	tion of the courses in terms o	f professio	onal core area.	
Any other sugges	tion (s):			
	College	Should	be Alsois	nt.
sufficient	teacher for Pa			ALL COMPANY STREET
COLOR SOCIONAL DESCRIPTION OF	SOSSITIET CAMO PERSON SENSON SENSON AND A SOS			
***************************************	***************************************	************	6	at at the
D				Chileon Mu
Date: 27/4/2018			Sighat	ure of Teacher

Department: Cherusty

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year: 2017-18

Program Name : 🖃	3.Sc.		and the same of th	nester
Name of the Faculty	:Dr.B.s.shirt	e Designa	tion: Assi. P	rofessor
Please rate you quality of the program	ur valuable feedback on i.	the curriculum	for review of syllab	us / to improve
1. Rate the structure	of the curriculum frame	d for the entire	program.	
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
2. Rate the appropria	teness of the sequences	of the courses	provided in the curri-	culum.
O Excellent	⊘ Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
3. Rate the depth of	the syllabus of the cours	e in relation to	the competencies ex	pected by industry /
current global sce	narios.			
O Excellent	O Very Good	⊗ Good	○ Average	O Poor
4. Rate the sequence	of the units / modules in	n the courses.		
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
5. Rate the distributi	on of credits to the coun	ses.		
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
6. Rate the adequate	ness of textbooks and re	ference books	mentioned for the co	urses.
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
7. Rate the potential	of the students in under	standing the co	ourse objectives.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	. Average	O Poor
8. Rate the syllabus	content for the courses i	n terms of bure	den on students.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
9. Rate the experime	ent list in stimulating the	interest of stu	dents in the subject.	
O Excellent		○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
10. Rate the contribut	tion of the courses in ten	ms of professio	onal core area.	
Any other sugges	tion (s):		Sain (Say)	T.
	As per con	upetencie	s H 15 6	expected
that	gyllabus in	ust L	e cyment	global
	nas.			
			***************************************	<i></i>
D			0,-8	CT.

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2017-18

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: Chemistry		Academ	Academic Year: 2017-18			
Program Name: B.SC.		Year / S	emester :			
Name of the Facul	ty: Mr-s.m. Asdl	Designa	tion: Assisten	propersor.		
Please rate y quality of the progr	your valuable feedback on th am.	e curriculum	for review of syllab	us / to improve		
1. Rate the structu	re of the curriculum framed	for the entire	program.			
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	○ Poor		
2. Rate the approp	oriateness of the sequences of	the courses	provided in the curri	culum.		
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	○ Poor		
3. Rate the depth of	of the syllabus of the course	in relation to	the competencies ex	pected by industry /		
current global s	cenarios.					
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
4. Rate the sequen	nce of the units / modules in t	he courses.				
○ Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
5. Rate the distrib	ution of credits to the course	S.				
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
6. Rate the adequa	ateness of textbooks and refe	rence books	mentioned for the co	urses.		
O Excellent		○ Good	○ Average	○ Poor		
7. Rate the potenti	ial of the students in understa	anding the co	urse objectives.			
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
8. Rate the syllabi	us content for the courses in	terms of bure	len on students.			
O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	Average	○ Poor		
9. Rate the experi	ment list in stimulating the in	nterest of stud	dents in the subject.			
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	○ Poor		
10. Rate the contrib	bution of the courses in terms	of professio	nal core area. ver	y Good.		
Any other sugg						
	Syllako	must c	collain coll	bootien		
with in	dunzies, social o	Osem le	itien and or	e And		
	Table 1					
	lude Internal	apsee		101		
cryoniza			-	enfaul		
Date: 19/04/201	8		Signat	ure of Teacher		

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2017-18 FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: Zwosy			Academic Year: 2017 ~18 2 x **				
Program Name: 8.5c			Year / Semester :				
Na	me of the Faculty	Designa	tion :	Assismal	modera		
qua	Please rate you	ur valuable feedback on the	e curriculum	for rev	riew of syllabus	/ to improve	
1.	Rate the structure	of the curriculum framed f	or the entire	progra	m.		
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	0	Average	O Poor	
Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum.						lum.	
	○ Excellent	O Very Good	@ Good	0	Average	O Poor	
3.		the syllabus of the course i	n relation to	the cor	npetencies expe	cted by industry	
	O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	0	Average	O Poor	
4.	Rate the sequence	of the units / modules in the	he courses.				
	O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	0	Average	O Poor	
5.	Rate the distribut	ion of credits to the courses					
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	0	Average	O Poor	
6.	Rate the adequate	eness of textbooks and refer	ence books	mention	ned for the cours	ies.	
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	0	Average	O Poor	
7.	Rate the potential	of the students in understa	nding the co	urse ob	jectives.		
	O Excellent	Nery Good	○ Good	0	Average	O Poor	
8.	Rate the syllabus	content for the courses in to	erms of burd	len on s	tudents.		
	O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	0	Average	O Poor	
9.	Rate the experime	ent list in stimulating the in	terest of stud	dents in	the subject.		
	O Excellent	O Very Good	@ Good	0	Average	O Poor	
10	. Rate the contribu	tion of the courses in terms	of professio	nal core	e area.		
	Any other sugges	tion(s):	80	0	Declador	fr	

Date: 6/3/2018

prichas

Signature of Teacher

VIDEAHANAGAR

Internal Quality Assurance Cell FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: Commerce	Academic Year: 2017-18
Program Name: 8 Com .	Year / Semester: I, II , III
Name of the Faculty : mr. P.S. Salarekor.	Designation: Asst. prof.

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the program. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program. Very Good O Poor O Good Average O Excellent Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum. O Good Average Very Good O Excellent 3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. Poor Average O Very Good O Good Excellent 4. Rate the sequence of the units / modules in the courses. Poor O Average Very Good () Good O Excellent Rate the distribution of credits to the courses. O Good O Average O Poor O Very Good Excellent Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned for the courses. O Poor Very Good O Good Average O Excellent 7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives. Average O Poor O Excellent Very Good O Good 8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students. O Good O Average O Poor Wery Good O Excellent 9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the subject. Poor O Very Good O Good Average Excellent Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area. Any other suggestion (s): College Etama Should be arrange after discussion of HOD'S 6 furies

Date :30/03/201 2

Signature of Teacher

53

Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananaga

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2017-18

-	sent ganization :-	Name	- Self employed [tra Nelie		Academic	s Other
•	signation :- ld of Workins		57 € ☐ Inter disciplina	Present Lo	The state of the s	ministration	Other
liş	ther [Master D	egree P	h.D. INO	Applicable [Other	Other
cai	acation :-	Year of Adm Name of the	ission: 🍑 6- 17 N Institute: 🤟	ame of the Program	nme: 93, 50		
	Please ality of the	rate your program.	valuable feedback or	n the curriculum		syllabus / to	improve
	O Excell		Very Good	○ Good	O Averag	e	O Poor
2.	Rate the si	ufficiency	of syllabus current to	bridge the gap	between acad	emes and in	dustry.
	O Excell	ent	O Very Good	Good	O Averag	e	O Poor
5.	Rate the c	urriculum	in relation to your cu	rrent profession	nal standards.		2
	O Excell	ent _	O'Very Good	○ Good	O Averag	e e	O Poor
	Rate the sl	cills acqui	red from the curricul O Very Good	um to face the i	ndustry challer		5550001177007
i.	Rate the in	dustry lab	oratory and equipme	ent acquaintance	s for practical	export stres	s.
	O Excell	ent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Averag	e (O Poor
	Rate the o	ffering of	electives in relation t	o technology ad	vancements.		
	O Excelle	ent	O'Very Good	○ Good	O Average	e (Poor-
	Rate the de	esign of th	e courses in terms of	extra learning of	on self-learning	3.	
	O Excelle	ent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	. (Poor
	Rate the tr	aining and	placement cell in ge	tting ample plac	cement opports	unities.	
	O Excelle		O Very Good		O Average		Poor
	Rate the co	ompetence	and support offered		1000		
	O Excelle	ent	O'Very Good	○ Good	O Average	. (Poor
0.	Rate the in	stitute's su	pport and contribution				
	Any other	suggestion		_)

Chem

Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2017-18

ermanent Address mail ID :- Youtho esent rganization :-	Type: - Self employed [Private Sector	o: 9763956	3 6 C
esignation :- C/ eld of Working :-	Core Inter disciplin	Present L		Dadi.
	Master Degree r of Admission : 20/2	Ph.D. No Name of the Program	nme: M.Sc.	ėr .
	ne of the Institute : Shivey	i Vizyape		V
Please ra ality of the pro	te your valuable feedback	on the curriculun	n for review of syllab	ous / to improve
	quateness of the courses of		ram.	
Excellent		○ Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the suff	iciency of syllabus current	to bridge the gap	between academes	and industry.
O Excellent	t Ø Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the curr	iculum in relation to your	current profession	nal standards.	30000
Ø Excellent		○ Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the skill Excellent	Is acquired from the curricu	alum to face the i		
Rate the indu	stry laboratory and equipn	nent acquaintance	es for practical expor	The state of the s
Excellent		○ Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the offe	ring of electives in relation	-	THE SECOND SECON	01001
O Excellent		○ Good	O Average .	O Poor
Rate the desi	gn of the courses in terms			Crook
Excellent		○ Good	The state of the s	0.0
			○ Average	O Poor
Excellent	ning and placement cell in a			
		Good	O Average	O Poor
_ /	petence and support offere	d by the teachers		
O Excellent		○ Good	O Average	O Poor
. Rate the insti	tute's support and contribu	tion for the overa	ll development of str	udents.
Any other su	ggestion (s):			

			0	190

Internal Quality Assurance Cell

Name of the Alumni : Kamble Sameer Jegennett Parable Parable	OB (DD/MM/YYYY) >	24-4-9993		A	
Mobile No.: 45.52.30.3145 Mobile No.: 75.23.3145 Mobile No.: 75			Department	commence	
Type: Self employed Private Sector Public Sector Other Name: Pay Self employed Private Sector Public Sector			Mobile No.	95528037	45
Picase rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the program. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Street the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges requirements. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges requirements. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Street the industry laboratory and equipment acquaintances for practical export stress. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Street the industry laboratory and equipment acquaintances for practical export stress. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Street the industry laboratory and equipment acquaintances for practical export stress. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Street the industry laboratory and equipment acquaintances for practical export stress. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Street the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Street the design of the courses in terms of extra learning on self-learning. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Street the design of the courses in terms of extra learning on self-learning. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Street the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Street the competence and support offered by the teachers. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Street the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. Any other suggestion (s):	Type	:- Self employed	Private Sector	Public Sector Acad	emics Other
Inter disciplinary		: Rajanum ball	Present Loc	ation:	jer.
Index		e Inter disciplinary	☐ IT Industr	y Administrati	
Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the program. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. Excellent	linhae L. Master	Degree Ph.			
Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the program. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. Excellent	ducation :- Year of Ad	Institute: Shiveri	university	1 Kolhopur .	
Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. Excellent					s / to improve
Rate the sufficiency of syllabus current to bridge the gap between academes and industry. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges requirements. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Rate the industry laboratory and equipment acquaintances for practical export stress. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning on self-learning. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. Any other suggestion (s):	uality of the program.	150	77		
O Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. ○ Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges requirements. ○ Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor Rate the industry laboratory and equipment acquaintances for practical export stress. ○ Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements. ○ Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning on self-learning. ○ Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities. ○ Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers. ○ Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. Any other suggestion (s):	Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	O Average	
Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. Bacellent	. Rate the sufficienc	y of syllabus current to	bridge the gap	between academes a	nd industry.
O Excellent	O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	
Obscellent	. Rate the curriculur	in relation to your cur	rrent profession	al standards.	
O Excellent					O Poor
O Excellent O Very Good Good O Average O Poor Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements. Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning on self-learning. Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities. Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers. Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. Any other suggestion (s):		ired from the curricult Very Good	m to face the ir	dustry challenges re	quirements. O Poor
O Excellent O Very Good Good O Average O Poor Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements. Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning on self-learning. Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities. Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers. Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. Any other suggestion (s):	. Rate the industry la	aboratory and equipme	nt acquaintance	s for practical export	stress.
Excellent					
Excellent	. Rate the offering o	f electives in relation to	o technology ad	vancements.	
Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning on self-learning. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. Any other suggestion (s):				Section Company (Company Company Compa	O Poor
Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. Any other suggestion (s):	. Rate the design of	the courses in terms of	extra learning of	on self-learning.	
Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities. Excellent					O Poor
Excellent				cement opportunities	
Poor Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers. O Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. Any other suggestion (s):					
O Excellent • Very Good O Good O Average O Poor 10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. Any other suggestion (s):	STATE OF THE PARTY				
Any other suggestion (s):					O Poor
Any other suggestion (s):	10. Rate the institute's	support and contributi	on for the overe	ill development of str	odents.
Institute stort the commence sid	Any other suggest	ion (s):	0 +		
* - 11000	Institu	te stort	the	Commen	re side
Tolly council 10 collage	TO114 (ource i	n collag	10 -	

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2017-18

En Pro	esent ganization :	Tyj Na	Codoli Hugor 36 gmall De: Self employed [V me: shiv shahu	Private Sector [0: 215639421 □Public Sector □ Act	idemics Other
_	signation :-	ing:- C	ore Inter disciplina		ocation: - Send	The second secon
_	gher	Maste		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	stry Administra t Applicable Othe	
	ucation :-	Year of A	dmission: Na	sme of the Program		
-		Name of t	he Institute : 501			
qu	Plea ality of th	se rate you ne program	ur valuable feedback or 1.	the curriculum	for review of syllab	us / to improve
I.			ness of the courses offe	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	am.	
	Exce		O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
2.	Rate the	sufficience	ey of syllabus current to	bridge the gap	between academes	and industry.
	O Exce	ellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
3.	Rate the	curriculu	m in relation to your cu	rrent profession	nal standards.	152
	● Exc€		O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
4.	Rate the	skills acq	uired from the curricul	um to face the i		
	O Exce	ellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
5.	Rate the	industry l	aboratory and equipme	nt acquaintance	es for practical expor	
	O Exce	llent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
6.	Rate the	offering o	of electives in relation to	o technology ad	vancements.	
	●Exce	lient	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
7.	Rate the	design of	the courses in terms of	extra learning	on self-learning.	
	O Exce		O Very Good	Good Good	O Average	O Poor
8.	Rate the	training a	nd placement cell in ge	tting ample pla	cement opportunities	
	O Exce		Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
).	Rate the	competen	ce and support offered	by the teachers.		0.00
	Exce		O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
10.	Rate the	institute's	support and contribution			222000000000000000000000000000000000000
250		er suggest			a development of sit	dellis.
	- my our	or suggest		- Znalar	H CI	01
					y Start	11505

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2017-18

PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Na	me of the Students	cekhale tal. Kamble prashan	f	Mobile Number	968987003
De	partment :His	tory '		Year :	2017-18.
qua	Please rate you ality of the program	ur valuable feedback or i.	the curriculum	for review of syllaboration	us / to improve
I.	Rate the program of different semesters in Excellent	hat your ward is under s. Very Good	going in terms	of the workload of the	e courses in
2.	- A HOLLEY A DESIGNATION OF THE PARTY OF THE	nd relevance of the cou			O 1001
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
3.		f teaching at the institu		O Michago	07001
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
4.		of the students by the			100 miles
7.		Caste, Community cree			O Poor
5.	Rate the transpare	ncy of the evaluation s	ystem followed	by the institute.	
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
6.	Rate the outcomes	that your world has ac	chieved from the	courses.	
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
7.	Rate the courses in	n terms of their relevan	ce to the latest t	echnologies or furthe	r technologies?
	○ Excellent	Wery Good	○ Good	O Average	○ Poor
8.	Rate the overall fa	cilities available at the	institute contrib	outing towards your v	vards self-growth.
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
9.	Rate the institute's	support and contributi	on for your war	d in getting jobs and	placements.
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	○ Poor
10	. Rate the transform	nation of your word after	er in completion	of the course.	
	Any other suggest	ion (s) :	Sheuth	be argai	vise
	Camlus	interview:			

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2017-18

PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

WARAHANAGAR (KOLHAMUR)

Na	me of the Students	: Eattesinh lathematics	rati	Mobile Numbe	- 915850654 - 2017-18
De	· Control of the Cont				
qu	Please rate you ality of the program	ar valuable feedback or a.	the curriculum	for review of sylla	ibus / to improve
1.	Rate the program	that your ward is under	going in terms	of the workload of	the courses in
	ifferent semester Excellent	s. • Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	○ Poor
2.	Rate the quality as	nd relevance of the cou	rses included in	the semester.	
	○ Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	○ Poor
3.	Rate the quality o	f teaching at the institut	te.		
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	- O Poor
4.		t of the students by the Caste, Community cree Very Good			und of the student that O Poor
5.	Rate the transpare	ncy of the evaluation s	ystem followed	by the institute.	
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
6.	Rate the outcome	s that your world has ac	chieved from the	e courses.	
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
7.	Rate the courses i	n terms of their relevan	ice to the latest t	echnologies or furt	her technologies?
	O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	○ Poor
8.	Rate the overall fa	acilities available at the	institute contrib	outing towards you	r wards self-growth.
	O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
9.	Rate the institute'	s support and contribut	ion for your war	d in getting jobs ar	nd placements.
	O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
10	. Rate the transform	nation of your word aft	er in completion	of the course.	
	Any other sugges		7		10
		Cuminhum	mwir	by 103 croju	step

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2017-18 PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

		oli Tal- 9 Avinash 1			
		emistey			
De					
qu	Please rate yo ality of the program	ur valuable feedback (n.	on the curriculum	for review of syllab	us / to improve
L	different semester		100000		
	O Excellent	O Very Good	are a series Series and a series	The second second second	○ Poor
2.		nd relevance of the co		the semester.	
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
3.	Rate the quality of	of teaching at the institu	ate.		
	@Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
	Rate the treatmen includes Gender, Excellent	t of the students by the Caste, Community cre Very Good	ed etc. in teachin	tive of the backgroung and evaluation. Average	od of the student tha
5.	Rate the transpare	ency of the evaluation	system followed	by the institute.	
	O Excellent	◆Very Good	• O Good	O Average	O Poor
6.	Rate the outcome	s that your world has a	chieved from the	courses.	
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
7.	Rate the courses i	in terms of their releva	nce to the latest t	echnologies or furthe	er technologies?
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
8.	Rate the overall f	acilities available at th	e institute contrib	outing towards your	wards self-growth.
	O Excellent	♦ Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
9.	Rate the institute'	s support and contribu	tion for your war	d in getting jobs and	placements.
	O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
10	Rate the transform	nation of your word af	ter in completion	of the course.	
	Any other sugges	tion (s) :	n, 2 € (0	mpetative 1	Exama
	1001000				

Internal Quality Assurance Cell STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

WAN WAR	1
1.00	12
MARAMANAGI (KOLHAPHR	R) E
1	100
SN # AK	

Department:	commerce	Acaden	nic Year: Lol 8 -	19	
Program Name :	B.Com	Year / S	Semester: B.Cor	nШ	
Name of the Studen	its: Grundy Indo-eut pop	at Roll Nu	Roll Number: 4121		
Please rate yo quality of the program	our valuable feedback on the m.	curriculun	n for review of syllab	us / to improve	
1. Rate how challen	nging was the syllabus offer	ed by the co	ourses.		
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor	
2. Rate the appropri	iateness of the sequence of t	he courses	provided in the curric	ulum.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor	
3. Rate the depth of	the syllabus of the courses	in relation t	to the competencies e	xpected by the	
industry / current	global scenarios.				
O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	Average	○ Poor	
4. Rate the sequence	e of the units / modules in the	ne courses.			
O Excellent	 Very Good 	Good	○ Average	O Poor	
Rate the adequate	eness of the textbooks and re	eference bo	oks mentioned above	for the courses.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	Average	O Poor	
Rate the syllabus	content of the courses in te	ms of burd	en in students.		
O Excellent	O Very Good	● Good	O Average	O Poor	
Rate the design o	f the courses in terms of ext	ra learning	or self-learning.		
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor	
8. Rate the flexibilit	y in choosing the electives	in relation to	o technology advance	ements.	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
Rate the percenta	ge of the courses offering la	b compone	nts.		
O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	Poor	
Rate the composi	tion of the courses in terms	of Basic sci	ience, Engineering sc	ience, Humanities,	
Discipline core, I	Discipline elective, open ele	ctive, proje	et etc. ?		
Any other suggestion	(s): 0 4011+1	of c	cantten fo	o d	
must 1	be good.				
			C	wardp	
Date :13 /02 /2019			Signat	ure of Student	

Internal Quality Assurance Cell STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM



Department: Commerce	Academic Year: 2018-19
Program Name: 85-COM	Year/Semester: B.COM - III
Name of the Students : Pakl Sunny krishanal	Roll Number: 4186

	Rate how challens	ging was the syllabus o	ffered by the co	urses.	
	O Excellent	● Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	Poor
2	Complete and a	iteness of the sequence	other Control of		20 CENTRAL CONTROL
	O Excellent	O Very Good	● Good	O Average	○ Poor
	Rate the depth of	the syllabus of the cour	ses in relation to	o the competencies e	xpected by the
	industry / current				
	O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
1.	Rate the sequence	of the units / modules	in the courses.		
	O Excellent	 Very Good 	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
5.	Rate the adequate	ness of the textbooks ar	nd reference boo	oks mentioned above	for the courses.
	O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	Poor
5.	Rate the syllabus	content of the courses i	n terms of burde	en in students.	
	O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	 Average 	O Poor
	Rate the design of	the courses in terms of	f extra learning	or self-learning.	
	O Excellent	O Very Good	Good Good	O Average	O Poor
3.	Rate the flexibility	y in choosing the election	ves in relation to	technology advance	ements.
	O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
).	Rate the percentag	ge of the courses offering	ig lab componer	nts.	
	O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	Poor
	. Rate the composit	ion of the courses in ter	rms of Basic sci	ence, Engineering sc	ience, Humaniti
10					
10	Discipline core, D	iscipine elective, open			
	Discipline core, D ny other suggestion	(a)		out d product	are

Date: 23/02/2019

Signature of Student

Internal Quality Assurance Cell STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

HANAN	Mary
S WARANA	MAGNA E
MATHA	PUR TAVIO
SA X	VII TO

Department: Commerce	Academic Year: 2618-19
Program Name: 8. com.	Year / Semester: B. Com III
Name of the Students :	Roll Number: 4138

Kamirker AKShay Ashok Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the program. 1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses. Very Good O Good O Poor O Excellent Average 2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum. O Very Good Good Average O Poor O Excellent 3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by the industry / current global scenarios. O Excellent Very Good O Good Average O Poor Rate the sequence of the units / modules in the courses. O Excellent Very Good O Good Average O Poor 5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned above for the courses. O Excellent Very Good O Good O Average Poor Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of burden in students. Very Good O Good O Excellent Average O Poor 7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning. O Excellent O Very Good Good Average O Poor 8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements. Excellent O Very Good O Good Average O Poor 9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering lab components. O Good O Excellent Very Good O Average 10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, open elective, project etc. ? -Any other suggestion (s): Office Slaff Should co-operal the students.

Date : 13/02/2019

Signature of Student

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2018-19





Department:	7001037	Acaden	nc Year: 2018	1-19	
Program Name: B.SC		Year / S	Year / Semester : YI +h		
Name of the Studen	ts: Gutov Ajit Vijo	Roll Nu			
Please rate yo quality of the program	our valuable feedback on n.	the curriculun	for review of syllab	ous / to improve	
1. Rate how challen	ging was the syllabus off	ered by the co	urses.		
O Excellent	O Very Good	● Good	○ Average	O Poor	
2. Rate the appropri	ateness of the sequence of	f the courses	provided in the curric	1000	
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor	
3. Rate the depth of	the syllabus of the course	es in relation t	o the competencies e	V	
industry / current					
O Excellent	● Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
. Rate the sequence	of the units / modules in	the courses.	PRESENTATION OF THE PROPERTY O		
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
. Rate the adequate	ness of the textbooks and	reference boo	oks mentioned above		
○ Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
Rate the syllabus	content of the courses in	terms of burde	en in students.	0	
O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	Average	O Poor	
. Rate the design of	the courses in terms of e	extra learning	or self-learning.		
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
. Rate the flexibility	y in choosing the elective	s in relation to	technology advance		
The state of the s	O Very Good		○ Average	○ Poor	
Rate the percentag	ge of the courses offering	lab componer	nts.		
O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	Average	O Poor	
Rate the composit	ion of the courses in term	s of Basic scie	ence, Engineering sci		
	iscipline elective, open e				
ny other suggestion	(s):				
	Addange	semina	a for stu	den Ks.	

			Da	elyay?	
Date: 14/08/2019			Signatu	re of Student	

Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar. Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2018-19

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: Physrc		Academic Year: 2018-19		
Program Name: 35c		Year / Semester : 🗸		
Name of the Faculty :	Mass. P.H. Shinde	Designat	ion: Asy 18	rol (CHB)
Please rate your quality of the program.	r valuable feedback on the	eurriculum	for review of syllabus	s / to improve
1. Rate the structure of	of the curriculum framed fo	r the entire	program.	
(Excellent		Good	○ Average	O Poor
2. Rate the appropriat	eness of the sequences of t	he courses	provided in the curric	ulum.
@ Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
3. Rate the depth of the	he syllabus of the course in	relation to	the competencies exp	ected by industry/
current global scen	narios.			
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
4. Rate the sequence	of the units / modules in th	e courses.		
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
5. Rate the distribution	on of credits to the courses.			
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
6. Rate the adequater	ness of textbooks and refere	ence books	mentioned for the cou	irses.
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
7. Rate the potential	of the students in understan	nding the co	urse objectives.	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average,	O Poor
8. Rate the syllabus	content for the courses in to	erms of bure	den on students.	
○ Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	Average	O Poor
9. Rate the experime	ent list in stimulating the in	terest of stu	dents in the subject.	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
10. Rate the contribut	tion of the courses in terms	of profession	onal core area.	
Any other sugges	tion (s):			

	CHB tearl	101 Sh	ould be c	coving
arrent	ement.			ta construction and the state of the state o
				(a) 1
Date : f /ln / 20U	au		ei d	Phishrete

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2018-19

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

WEREHANAGAR (KOLHAPUR)

MAY # 15

Department:	eography	Academi		18-19	
Program Name :	B.A.	Year / Se	emester: So	emester	-
Name of the Faculty: Dr. R. B. Patil		Designat	ion: A550	crate	Professor
Please rate you quality of the program	ar valuable feedback on the	curriculum	for review of sy	llabus / to in	aprove
1. Rate the structure	of the curriculum framed fo	or the entire	program.		
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	0	Poor
2. Rate the appropria	iteness of the sequences of	the courses	provided in the	curriculum.	
○ Excellent	Very Good	Good	O Average	0	Poor
3. Rate the depth of	the syllabus of the course in	relation to	the competencie	es expected b	y industry /
current global sce	narios.				
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	Average	0	Poor .
4. Rate the sequence	of the units / modules in th	ne courses.			
O Excellent	Nery Good	○ Good	Average	C	Poor
5. Rate the distribut	ion of credits to the courses				
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	Average	C) Poor
6. Rate the adequate	eness of textbooks and refer	ence books	mentioned for th	ne courses.	
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	Average	C) Poor
7. Rate the potential	of the students in understa	nding the co	ourse objectives.		
Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	O Average	C) Poor
8. Rate the syllabus	content for the courses in to	erms of bure	den on students.		
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	Average	C) Poor
9. Rate the experim	ent list in stimulating the in	terest of stu	dents in the subj	ect.	
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	Average	C) Poor
10. Rate the contribu	ation of the courses in terms	of profession	onal core area.		
Any other sugges	stion (s):				
	Clollege tea	cher	should	atte	nd
inter	Clollege tec disciplinary	rese	easch.		
				Pal	الم
Date :20/3/201	q		5	Signature of	reacher

Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar Walawa Walawa Warananagar

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2018-19 FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Academic Year :

2018-14

Program Name: B.Sc.		Year / Se	Year / Semester :			
Name of the Faculty	: Mr-S-M. Arde	Designat	tion: A	ssistent	balena	
Please rate you quality of the program	r valuable feedback on the	ecurriculum	for review	of syllabus /	to improve	
1. Rate the structure	of the curriculum framed	or the entire	program.			
○ Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Ave	rage	O Poor	
2. Rate the appropria	teness of the sequences of	the courses	provided in	the curricul	um.	
○ Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Ave	rage	O Poor	
 Rate the depth of a current global scenario. 	the syllabus of the course inarios.	n relation to	the compet	encies expec	ted by industry	
○ Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Ave	rage	O Poor	
4. Rate the sequence	of the units / modules in t	he courses.				
O Excellent	SVery Good	○ Good	O Ave	rage	O Poor	
5. Rate the distributi	on of credits to the course	s.				
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Ave	rage	O Poor	
6. Rate the adequate	ness of textbooks and refe	rence books	mentioned	for the cours	es.	
O Excellent	Very Good	Good	O Ave	rage	O Poor	
7. Rate the potential	of the students in understa	unding the co	ourse object	ives.		
O FIIt	A Van Good	Good	DE AVO	rana	Poor	

9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the subject.

O Excellent

O Excellent

Department:

Chemistry

Very Good

○ Good

O Good

O Average

Average

O Poor

O Poor

WARANANAGAR

Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area.

8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students.

very qu

Any other suggestion (s):

Internatif wi at industries must

be included in for lost year of degree course

Date : 24/04/2=19

Signature of Teacher

Internal Quality Assurance Cell

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

1	WAR WAR	W	
r. /3		1/4	
TRA	DOTHERAS		SAV.
13	1	5	
	BAI # A	2	

Department: COMMERCE		Academi	Academic Year: 2018 -19		
Program Name: B. wm.		Year / Se	moster: B. Low	工十五十二	
Name of the Faculty	Mr. R. B. Basnaix	Designat	ion: Ant-pm	. I Head	
Please rate you quality of the program	ur valuable feedback on ti	he curriculum	for review of syllabi	us / to improve	
1. Rate the structure	of the curriculum framed	for the entire	program.		
O Excellent	Very Good	O Good	○ Average	O Poor	
2. Rate the appropria	teness of the sequences of	of the courses	provided in the curri	culum.	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
3. Rate the depth of	the syllabus of the course	in relation to	the competencies ex	pected by industry	
current global sce					
Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor	
4. Rate the sequence	of the units / modules in	the courses.			
O Excellent	Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor	
5. Rate the distributi	on of credits to the cours	es.			
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
6. Rate the adequate	ness of textbooks and ref	erence books	mentioned for the co	urses.	
 Excellent 	Very Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor	
7. Rate the potential	of the students in unders	tanding the co	ourse objectives.		
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
8. Rate the syllabus	content for the courses in	terms of bure	den on students.		
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	○ Poor	
9. Rate the experime	ent list in stimulating the	interest of stu	dents in the subject.		
○ Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
10. Rate the contribu	tion of the courses in terr	ns of professio	mal core area.		
Any other sugges	tion (e):		Banking, Re	tailing 4	
Induran	m syllabur (
renoted	to Commerce a	4 monage	new.		
		0		Roll	
Date:05/04/2019			Signi	iture of Teacher	

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2018-19

	2019	Departmen	it: Batan ,	
ermanent Address :- A	1 ggb a amailice	- Pohhal a	por - Vall	napul
	ype :- Self employed	Private Sector	Public Sector	demics Other
AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF	ame: Y.CM . Isla			2.v
esignation :- M35	Core Inter disciplinar	Present Lo	The second secon	The second secon
	ter Degree P	h.D. Not	Applicable Othe	The second second
and an arrangement of the second	Admission: No the Institute: SOLVAL	ame of the Program	THE PARTY OF THE P	
	-11	Con College	,)	
Please rate your aality of the progra	our valuable feedback or m.	the curriculum	for review of syllab	us / to improve
	eness of the courses offe	ACTOR DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY	10000	
O Excellent	O-Very Good	O Good	○ Average	O Poor
Rate the sufficie	ncy of syllabus current to	bridge the gap	between academes a	and industry.
O Excellent	 Overy Good 	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
Rate the curricul	um in relation to your cu	irrent profession	al standards.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
Rate the skills ac	equired from the curricul Very Good	um to face the in	ndustry challenges re	equirements.
Rate the industry	laboratory and equipme	ent acquaintance		
O Excellent	Very Good	O Good	○ Average	O Poor
Rate the offering	of electives in relation t	o technology ad		
O Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the design of	of the courses in terms of	extra learning		Q.1.1.
O Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	○ Average	O Poor
Rate the training	and placement cell in ge	etting ample plac	ement opportunities	
O Excellent	⊘Very Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the compete	ence and support offered			0
O-Excellent	O Very Good		○ Average	O Poor
. Rate the institute	s's support and contributi			
Any other sugge				
Any omer sugge	stion (s).			
Start	PMD Crucle	mee		

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2018-19

ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

ear of Passi	ng:- Ap-	onite peatite Mohite peaka 1/07/1998 71/2019	Departmen	nt: Physics	
ermanent Ad	dress :- A	-Naveli po-Deva	le Tal-P	anheda Dist	Кор
mail ID :-	Typy	:- Self employed	Private Sector	9 42 1 450 5 Public Sector Aca	
rganization:	- Incompletellani	the state of the s	Trivate Sector L		demics Other
esignation :-			Present Lo	the state of the s	
igher	ing :- Co Master		THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COL	try Administra	
ducation :-	Year of Ad	mission: Na	me of the Program	ime :	
	Name of th	e Institute :			
Plea	se rate you	r valuable feedback on	the curriculum	for review of syllabi	as / to improve
uality of th	e program.				
400		ess of the courses offer		Company of the Compan	
O Exce		Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
. Rate the	sufficienc	y of syllabus current to	bridge the gap	between academes a	nd industry.
O Exce	ellent -	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
. Rate the	curriculun	in relation to your cur	rrent profession	al standards.	
O Exce	ellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
. Rate the	skills acqu	ired from the curriculu	m to face the in	ndustry challenges re	quirements.
Exce	ellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
. Rate the	industry la	boratory and equipmen	nt acquaintance	s for practical export	stress.
O Exce	ellent	Very Good	O Good	○ Average	OPoor
. Rate the	offering of	f electives in relation to	technology ad	vancements.	-
O Exce	ellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
. Rate the	design of t	he courses in terms of	extra learning of	on self-learning.	
O Exce		Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	OPoor
Rate the	training an	d placement cell in get	ting ample plac	The second second	-
O Exce		O Very Good	Good	○ Average	OPoor
Rate the	competenc	e and support offered b			01001
O Exec		Very Good	Good	○ Average	OBesse
					OPoor
		support and contributio	a tot me overa	ii development of stu	dents.
Any oth	er suggestie	The state of the s		1 - 1 -	
			gsuricus	of artisity	
mu	st.ke.	erganize			

Date : 10 /02/ 2013

Signature de Mumni

Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar. Internal Quality Assurance Cell ALLIMNI FEEDRACK CN CURRYCHI CON CARREST

(KOLHAPUR)

DOB (DD/MM/YYYY Year of Passing :- 20 Permanent Address :-		Departme	nt: Commerce	
Email ID :- Pat	4 Anwshall gmail-	Com Mobile No	997026103	99
Present	Self employed	Private Sector	Public Sector A	cademics Other
Designation :- ACC	untant	Present Lo		od Sangli
Field of Working :- Mas			try Adminis	ration Other
Education :- Year of	Admission: 2014-15 No	ame of the Program	Applicable On	OT STATE OF THE OWNER O
Name o	f the Institute: SC1bc17	Kolhaper	Y 1	
Please rate y quality of the progra	our valuable feedback or am.	n the curriculum	for review of sylla	ibus / to improve
1. Rate the adequa	teness of the courses offe	ered in the progr	am.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	Average	O Poor
2. Rate the sufficie	ency of syllabus current to	o bridge the gap	between academe	s and industry.
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
Rate the curricu	lum in relation to your cu	irrent profession	nal standards.	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	○ Poor
Rate the skills a Excellent	equired from the curricul Very Good	um to face the i	ndustry challenges	requirements. O Poor
. Rate the industr	y laboratory and equipme	ent acquaintance	s for practical expe	ort stress.
Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	○ Average	O Poor
. Rate the offering	g of electives in relation t	to technology ad	lvancements.	
O Excellent	Very Good	() Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the design	of the courses in terms of	extra learning	on self-learning.	
Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
Rate the training	and placement cell in go	tting ample pla	cement opportuniti	es.
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
. Rate the compet	ence and support offered	by the teachers		
 Excellent 	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
0. Rate the institute	e's support and contributi	on for the overa	Il development of	students.
Any other sugge		2		
		·		
	llege Should	daxiang	ge Itands	<u>on</u>
Т	14111119	JE(1/17.	ର	ali'Att'
Date :28/12/2018				ature of Alumni

Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar MAN WARA Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2018-19 ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

ear of Pass		11-09-1996	Departmen	11: 200/08/	
ermanent Ac mail ID :-	ddress:- /	AIP-kunde, Dat	Mobile No		
resent	Тур	e :- Self employed	Private Sector		demics Other
rganization		ne: House wife			
esignation : eld of Worl		ore Inter disciplinar	Present Lo		tion Other
igher	Master	Degree P	n.D. Not	Applicable Othe	
ducation :-	Year of A	dmission: Na ne Institute:	ime of the Program	ime :	
uality of t	he program				us / to improve
Exc		ness of the courses offe O Very Good	Good	Average	O Poor
100				The state of the s	
_		cy of syllabus current to			and industry.
O Exc		Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the	e curriculu	m in relation to your cu	rrent profession	al standards.	
O Exc	ellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
Rate the		uired from the curricule O Very Good	um to face the i	ndustry challenges re Average	equirements. Poor
. Rate the	e industry l	aboratory and equipme	nt acquaintance	s for practical expor	t stress.
O Exc	ellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
. Rate the	e offering o	of electives in relation t	o technology ad	vancements.	
○ Exc	ellent	O Very Good	○ Good	Average	O Poor
Rate the	e design of	the courses in terms of	extra learning	on self-learning.	
OExc	ellent	O Very Good	Good Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the	e training a	nd placement cell in ge		THE MAN CHOICE THE	
O Exc		Very Good	○ Good	Average	O Poor
New York House		ce and support offered			0.00
● Exc		O Very Good	and the second second		O Poor
		support and contribution			The state of the s
			on the overa	n development of st	IGCHES.
Any oth	ner suggest	ion (s) :			
	ollege	Allumini She	ould give	Chemie	

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2018-19 PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

WARANANAGAR

Na	me of the Students:	Lat Panhalo Kamble Varsh	a Krishnat	Mobile Number	7721040433		
		J.			2018-19		
qua	Please rate your ality of the program.	valuable feedback on	the curriculum	for review of syllab	us / to improve		
1.	Rate the program the different semesters. O Excellent	at your ward is under			e courses in		
2.		relevance of the cou	A CONTRACTOR OF THE				
	O Excellent		Good		O Poor		
3.	Rate the quality of to	eaching at the institut	e.	570 Naview (***)	30-16/19/00/		
	O Excellent	ØVery Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor		
\$.		f the students by the iste, Community cree Very Good	d etc. in teachin		O Poor		
5.	Rate the transparency of the evaluation system followed by the institute.						
	O Excellent	O Very Good	@Good	O Average	O Poor		
١.	Rate the outcomes to	hat your world has ac	hieved from the	courses.			
	O Excellent	ØVery Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor		
		erms of their relevan		Company of the second s	TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRA		
	O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor		
3.	Rate the overall faci	lities available at the	institute contrib	outing towards your v	wards self-growth.		
	O Excellent	O-Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor		
).	Rate the institute's support and contribution for your ward in getting jobs and placements.						
	O Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	○ Average	O Poor		
0	Rate the transforma	tion of your word after	er in completion	of the course.			
	Any other suggestion						
		Fo	a of 1	Technologies	***************************************		

Internal Quality Assurancé Cell 2018-19

PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

AO	ther: Male, me of the Students:	Badane M	ayuni Su	brid Mobile Number	TETHOTEE
va	partment : 4 e nego	aphy	0	Year:	0.019
JC				for review of syllabi	
ļu:	dity of the program.	randario rocacione co			
١,	Rate the program tha	t your ward is under	going in terms o	of the workload of the	e courses in
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
2	Rate the quality and	relevance of the cour	rses included in	the semester.	
500	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
3.	Rate the quality of to	aching at the institut	e.		
	○ Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
4.	Rate the treatment of includes Gender, Caronic Excellent				od of the student the
5.	Rate the transparence	y of the evaluation s	ystem followed	by the institute.	
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
5.	Rate the outcomes th	nat your world has ac	chieved from the	courses.	
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	. O Poor
1.	Rate the courses in t	erms of their relevan	ce to the latest t	echnologies or furthe	er technologies?
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
3.	Rate the overall faci	lities available at the	institute contrib	outing towards your	wards self-growth.
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	OPoor
9.	Rate the institute's s	upport and contribut	ion for your war	d in getting jobs and	placements.
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
10	Rate the transformat	tion of your word aft	er in completion	of the course.	
	Any other suggestio	n (s) : Sylcibs	s Shello	1 be 106 1	orinted

Date: 20/ 6/2019

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2018-19

PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

WARANANAGAR

(KOLHAPUR)

Fat	her: MY- San	jay Kore	Oc	cupation: Seav	100	
Mo	other:		Oc	ecupation :		
Ad	dress :War	ananagar				
Na	me of the Students:	Nirmala So	njay Kore	Mobile Number	:	
De	partment : - Eng	ish		Year :	2018-19	
qu	Please rate your ality of the program.	r valuable feedback or	the curriculum	for review of syllab	us / to improve	
1.	different semesters.		**************************************			
	@ Excellent	O Very Good			O Poor	
2.	-	d relevance of the cou	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY OF TAXABLE PARTY.	AND THE STREET		
	O Excellent	Nery Good		○ Average	O Poor	
3.	Rate the quality of	teaching at the institut	e.			
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
4.		of the students by the aste, Community cree Very Good	d etc. in teachin		of the student that	
5.	Rate the transparency of the evaluation system followed by the institute.					
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
6.	Rate the outcomes that your world has achieved from the courses.					
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
7.	Rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest technologies or further technologies?					
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
8.	Rate the overall fac	cilities available at the	institute contrib	outing towards your v	wards self-growth.	
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	OPoor	
9.	Rate the institute's support and contribution for your ward in getting jobs and placements.					
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
10	. Rate the transform	ation of your word afte	er in completion	of the course.		
	Any other suggesti	on(s): curriculum 13 ire competiti	benefici	any for my	ward to	
	face fate	re competiti	ons.	0		
				(2 ,	
D	ate: 4/4/2019			Signat	ture of Parents	

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2018-19 PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

MENNING

ather: My Balo	250 Dabhade	Oc	cupation :	rice TVR
Address : We	avananagar		e aparton.	
James of the Students	Ajit Babaso	Dabhade	Mobile Number	_
ame of the students	glish.		Veer Veer	2018-19
uality of the program	ur valuable feedback on n.	the curriculum	tor review or synat	us / to improve
	that your ward is under	going in terms of	of the workload of the	ne courses in
different semester	rs.	2000		3235
Excellent	○ Very Good	and the second		O Poor
_	nd relevance of the cour			
Excellent	O Very Good	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	O Average	O Poor
. Rate the quality of	f teaching at the institut			
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
. Rate the treatmen	t of the students by the	faculty irrespect	tive of the backgrou	nd of the student th
O Excellent	Caste, Community cree Very Good	Good Good	Average	OPoor
3 	ency of the evaluation s	Towns or the second		
O Excellent	Wery Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
	es that your world has ac	hieved from the	e courses.	
Excellent	O Very Good			O Poor
	in terms of their relevan			er technologies?
® Excellent	O Very Good			OPoor
	facilities available at the			wards self-growth
Excellent	O Very Good		○ Average	O Poor
31000 CONSESSION OF THE PARTY O	s support and contributi			
- A	Very Good	and the same of		O Poor
@ Excellent		Orace November 2	The second second second	Crool
	mation of your word aft	er in completion	i of the course.	
Any other sugge	history Colleg	e should	d arrange	Parent
meet	frequent +:			
ARTER STATE OF THE				

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2019-20 STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

naga	PH MUSEUS	
6	MENANAGAR E	
18	(HOLHAPUR)	
131	(3)	
130	SM + ME	

Department : Me	avathi	Acaden	nic Year : 2019	- 20	
Program Name: B. A Name of the Students: Prajakta Shiyali		Year / S	Year / Semester : 2019 - 20		
		No. ii Roll Nu			
	Pagi	T			
Please rate yo quality of the progran	ur valuable feedback on n.	the curriculun	n for review of syllab	us / to improve	
1. Rate how challeng	ging was the syllabus of	fered by the co	ourses.		
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
Rate the appropria	iteness of the sequence	of the courses			
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor	
3. Rate the depth of t	the syllabus of the cours	ses in relation t		xpected by the	
industry / current				,	
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
4. Rate the sequence	of the units / modules is	n the courses.		0.00	
 Excellent 	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
5. Rate the adequater	ness of the textbooks and	d reference boo			
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor	
6. Rate the syllabus of	content of the courses in	terms of burde	Committee of the Commit	0.00	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
7. Rate the design of	the courses in terms of	extra learning of		O 1 5 cc	
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average.	O Poor	
Rate the flexibility	in choosing the elective	es in relation to			
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
Rate the percentage	e of the courses offering	lab componen	0.000,000,000,000,000,000	O 1 001	
 Excellent 	O Very Good		O Average	O Poor	
0. Rate the compositi	on of the courses in term	ns of Basic scie			
Discipline core, Di	scipline elective, open e	elective, projec	t etc. ?	ence, riumannies,	
Any other suggestion (s):				
	Univer	sity	Should		
conduct	etam ob	ie ctive	in oniv	0	
mode					

Date: 27/02/2020			2500	wit-	
y y			Signatu	re of Student	

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2019-20 STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

WARANANAGAR (HOLHAPUR)

Department :	History	Acader	nic Year: 201	9-20 * VINC
Program Name :	R-A ttt		Semester:	W
Name of the Stude	ents Jahar Shai	Roll No	umber: 2000	4
	your valuable feedback of	san	n for review of syllal	ous / to improve
1. Rate how challe	enging was the syllabus of	offered by the co	ourses.	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
2. Rate the approp	riateness of the sequence	of the courses		- 1000000000000000000000000000000000000
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
3. Rate the depth of	of the syllabus of the cou	rses in relation t		
	nt global scenarios.			,
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
4. Rate the sequen	ce of the units / modules	in the courses.		0.00
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
5. Rate the adequa	teness of the textbooks a	nd reference boo	oks mentioned above	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
6. Rate the syllabu	s content of the courses i	n terms of burde		01001
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
7. Rate the design	of the courses in terms of	f extra learning of		0100
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	○ Poor
8. Rate the flexibil	ity in choosing the elective	ves in relation to	technology advance	ments
O Excellent	Very Good	O Good	○ Average	O Poor
9. Rate the percent.	age of the courses offerin	ig lab componen	its.	01001
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	○ Poor
10. Rate the compos	ition of the courses in ter	ms of Basic scie	ence, Engineering sei	ence Humanities
Discipline core,	Discipline elective, open	elective, projec	t etc. ?	ence, rumannies,
Any other suggestion	n (s):			
	Teache	(Show	ald Prov	ide
notce	online mode			
	***************************************	****************		
Date 1) 103/2020			Signatur	Matural Student

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2019-20

STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

WARDHANAGAS (KOLHAFOK)

Department. Ph	Acaden	Year/Semester: V Roll Number: 3394				
Program Name: BSC Name of the Students: projakta S. Patil						
					ofil Roll Nu	
Please rate yo quality of the progra	our valuable feedback on m.	the curriculum		ous / to improve		
1. Rate how challen	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses.					
O Excellent	● Very Good	O Good	○ Average	O Poor		
2. Rate the appropri	Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum.					
O Excellent	O Very Good	● Good	○ Average	○ Poor		
3. Rate the depth of	the syllabus of the cours	ses in relation to				
	Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by the industry / current global scenarios.					
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor		
4. Rate the sequence	e of the units / modules i	n the courses.				
O Excellent	Very Good	O Good	○ Average	O Poor		
5. Rate the adequate	eness of the textbooks an	d reference boo		A STATE OF THE STA		
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good Good	○ Average	O Poor		
6. Rate the syllabus	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of burden in students.					
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
7. Rate the design of	0					
O Excellent	Very Good		○ Average	O Poor		
Rate the flexibilit	Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements.					
	O Very Good		○ Average	○ Poor		
Rate the percentag	ge of the courses offering	g lab componen				
 Excellent 	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
0. Rate the composit	ion of the courses in terr	ns of Basic scie	ence, Engineering sci			
Discipline core, D	iscipline elective, open o	elective, projec	t etc. ?			
Any other suggestion	(s):					
	Teache	Y Sho	uld cong	lust		
online 1	ecture as	per li	me table			
		enerone de la l	75.67			
W. S.			(uls	pati		
Date: 4 / 31/2020			Signatu	ire of Student		

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2019-20 STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: Mathematics	Academic Year: 2019 - 20
Program Name: B.sc. III	Year/Semester: 2020
Name of the Students: Gairwood Monali	Roll Number: 3530

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the program.

1.	Rate how challen	ging was the syllabus o	offered by the co	ourses.	
	O Excellent	 Very Good 	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
2.	Rate the appropri	ateness of the sequence	of the courses		
	 Excellent 	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
3.	Rate the depth of	the syllabus of the cou	rses in relation	to the competencies	
		global scenarios.			
	O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
4.	Rate the sequence	of the units / modules	in the courses.		0
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
5.	Rate the adequate	ness of the textbooks a	nd reference bo		e for the courses
	O Excellent	O Very Good	● Good	O Average	O Poor
6.	Rate the syllabus	content of the courses i	n terms of burd		0.000
	O Excellent	O Very Good		O Average	● Poor
7.	Rate the design of	the courses in terms of			130
	Excellent	O Very Good		LEATEN TO STORY	- O Poor
8.	Rate the flexibility	y in choosing the electiv	ves in relation to	technology advance	rments .
	O Excellent	O Very Good	● Good	O Average	O Poor
9,	Rate the percentag	e of the courses offerin	ig lab componer	nts.	01001
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
10.	Rate the composit	ion of the courses in ter	ms of Basic scie	ence Engineering	olones U
	Discipline core, D	iscipline elective, open	elective, projec	et etc. 7	cience, Humanities,
-	other suggestion				ment
	should			or mes	
		udents.		1.123	<u> </u>
-1	135 51	agerus.	****		

Signature of Student

Date: 06/02 / 2020

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2019-20 FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department :	Physia	Academic Year :	2019-20	***
Doggoom Name: Q	.C.	Year / Semester :	ALCOHOLD .	1
Name of the Faculty	:Pro A. K. Ludgaonko	Designation :	ASSI prol	CCHB)

Rate the structure o	f the curriculum frame	d for the entire p	rogram.	O Poor
A Dynallent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	_
Rate the appropriat	eness of the sequences	of the courses p	rovided in the curric	O Page
C) Possellant	■ Very Good	O Good	O Average	01001
Rate the depth of the	he syllabus of the cours	e in relation to t	he competencies exp	sected by industry
current global scen				
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the sequence	of the units / modules i	in the courses.		12.22
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	OPoor
	on of credits to the cou	rses.		
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
Date the adequate	ness of textbooks and r	eference books	mentioned for the co	urses.
 Rate the adequate Excellent 	Very Good	Good	○ Average	○ Poor
7 Pate the notential	of the students in unde	erstanding the co	ourse objectives.	
 Rate the potential Excellent 	O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
P. Data the cullabor	content for the courses	in terms of bur	den on students.	-
 Rate the syllabus Excellent 	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
O Bate the apporim	ent list in stimulating t	he interest of stu	dents in the subject.	
	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
Excellent	ution of the courses in t	erms of professi	onal core area.	
Any other sugge				
0 11	Should from ho	0//	a condu	+ prin
College	Shaul a	#1.1.0wt	a constant	3

Date:15/09 20

Signature of Teacher

WARANAMAGAR WOLHAPUR

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2019-20 FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

WARDENENAGER SKOLHAFER)

Department : (4 209	reply		Year: 2019.		
Program Name :	· A		nester: Some		
Name of the Faculty	T Day & Di	Designation	Designation: ASSOCIAL Profisso		
	aluable feedback on t	•	The second second		
Rate the structure of	the curriculum framed	for the entire p	program.		
Evcellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor	
2. Rate the appropriater	ness of the sequences	of the courses p	provided in the curric	culum.	
■ Eveallent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	OPOOL	
2 Pose the depth of the	syllabus of the cours	e in relation to	the competencies ex	pected by industry	
 Rate the depth of the current global scenar 					
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
4. Rate the sequence of		n the courses.			
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
	of credits to the cour	rses.			
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
Rate the adequatence	ess of textbooks and re	eference books	mentioned for the co	ourses.	
O Excellent	• Very Good	O Good	○ Average	O Poor	
7. Rate the potential of	f the students in unde	rstanding the co	ourse objectives.		
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
8. Rate the syllabus of	ontent for the courses	in terms of bur	den on students.		
Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	○ Average	O Poor	
9. Rate the experimen		ne interest of stu	idents in the subject.		
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
10. Rate the contributi		erms of professi	onal core area.		
Any other suggest					
College on How	Should co	onduct	work we	oxkshop	
	10 00 1	1 Oni	20 101111	2)	
on How	to conque	±	ZIIX.LEWAS	-b.	
			320	Simi	
Date 20 16/2020			Sig	nature of Teacher	

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2019-20 FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: Geography	Academic Year: 2019-2020
	Year / Semester: Semester
1 10Brane	Designation: Assocrate Professo
Name of the Faculty: Dr. R. B. Patr	

quality of the program. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program. O Poor Average O Good Very Good O Excellent Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum. O Poor Average Very Good O Good O Excellent Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios.) Poor Average O Good Very Good O Excellent Rate the sequence of the units / modules in the courses. O Poor Average O Good O Very Good Excellent Rate the distribution of credits to the courses. O Poor Average O Good Very Good O Excellent Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned for the courses. O Poor () Average O Good Very Good O Excellent Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives. O Poor O Good Average Very Good O Excellent Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students. O Poor Average O Good Very Good O Excellent Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the subject. O Poor Average O Good O Very Good Excellent Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area. Any other suggestion (s): should be exange wextshop on "Preparation of You Tube lecture"

Date: 17/6/2020

Signature of Teacher

WARANANAGAR (KOLYAPUR)

Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2019-20 FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

	(20) 7 40		
Department: Chemistry	Academic Year: 2019 - 20		
Program Name: Science	Year / Semester :		
Name of the Faculty : Prof. M. G. Chikalkak	Designation: Head		

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the program. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program. O Poor Average O Good O Very Good @ Excellent Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum. O Poor Average O Very Good O Good Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. O Poor Average O Good W Very Good O Excellent Rate the sequence of the units / modules in the courses.) Poor Average O Good W Very Good O Excellent Rate the distribution of credits to the courses. Poor Average O Good O Very Good Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned for the courses. O Poor O Average O Good Very Good O Excellent Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives. O Poor Average O Good Very Good O Excellent Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students. Poor O Average O Good O Very Good W Excellent Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the subject. Poor Average O Very Good O Good W Excellent Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area. Any other suggestion (s): college should frovide interest facilities to enough stuff Milicalhu

Date: 10/4/2020

Signature of Teacher

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2019-20

ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

MARAMAMAGAR

manage Address	OB (DD/MM/YYYY) :-	19 66 1998	Bhima		
mail ID: 17 4 19 3 4 90 2000 Mobile No.: 7 6 6 0 4 5 3 1 4 1			Department		habur
resent	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAME			776604591	9
Present Location Present Location Other	resent Typ	Self employed	Private Sector	Public Sector Acad	lemics Hother
Master Degree	Buttitation	ie:	Present Loc	ation :	
Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve uality of the program. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus current to bridge the gap between academes and industry. Excellent	ield of Working :- Co	and the second s		Annual Control of the	The second secon
Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve uality of the program. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. Excellent	CANADA AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	No. of the last of	The state of the s		
Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. Excellent		anitoorea.			
Rate the sufficiency of syllabus current to bridge the gap between academes and industry. Excellent	uality of the program		red in the progra	um.	
O Excellent		-			
Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. Excellent	2. Rate the sufficience	y of syllabus current to	bridge the gap	between academes a	nd industry.
A Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges requirements. Excellent	○ Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
A Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges requirements. Excellent	3. Rate the curriculu	m in relation to your cu	irrent profession	al standards.	
O Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Rate the industry laboratory and equipment acquaintances for practical export stress. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning on self-learning. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. Any other suggestion (s):	OExcellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
O Excellent		uired from the curricul Very Good			equirements. O Poor
6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements. O Excellent O Very Good Good Average Poor Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning on self-learning. Excellent O Very Good Good Average Poor Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities. Excellent O Very Good Good Average Poor Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers. Excellent O Very Good Good Average Poor Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. Any other suggestion (s):	5. Rate the industry	laboratory and equipme	ent acquaintance	s for practical export	t stress.
O Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning on self-learning. O Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities. O Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers. O Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor 10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. Any other suggestion (s):	O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning on self-learning. O Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor 8. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities. O Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor 9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers. Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor 10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. Any other suggestion (s):	6. Rate the offering	of electives in relation	to technology ad	vancements.	
O Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average Poor Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities. O Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average Poor Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers. O Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor 10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. Any other suggestion (s):	○ Excellent	.O Very Good	○ Good	O- Average	O Poor
8. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities. O Excellent O Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor Poor Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers. O Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor 10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. Any other suggestion (s):	7. Rate the design o	the courses in terms o	f extra learning	on self-learning.	
O Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor 9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers. O Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor 10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. Any other suggestion (s):	O Excellent	Overy Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers. O Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor 10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. Any other suggestion (s):	8. Rate the training	and placement cell in g	etting ample pla	cement opportunities	ş.,
Excellent Overy Good O Good O Average O Poor 10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. Any other suggestion (s):	○ Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor 10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. Any other suggestion (s):	9. Rate the compete	nce and support offered	l by the teachers		
Any other suggestion (s):	-O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
Any other suggestion (s):	10. Rate the institute	s support and contribut	ion for the overa	ill development of st	udents.
Organised various Departmental program					
- Harting-	अवकार	o variou	Departm	routal proof	goam
- destance					
Date: 71/3/2020 Signature of Alumn				-	ture of Alumni

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2019-20

ather's Name :- OOB (DD/MM/YY	The second secon	Shivaji Hiravi			
ear of Passing :-	2018-19			Marathi	
ermanent Address	:- Male 1	Tal-Panhala	Mobile No. :		
mail ID :- nites	Type:-	Self employed F	and the first territories and the first terr		demics DOther
resent Organization :-	Name :	Sen employed .	- 10		
Designation :-			Present Loca		ation Other
ield of Working :-	Core L	Inter disciplinary		pplicable Othe	
EIRITOR LINES	ar of Admission		e of the Programm	all the same of th	
Nar	me of the Institu	te:			
quality of the pr	ogram.			or review of syllab	ous / to improve
Excellen	nt C	the courses offer Very Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor
2. Rate the suf	ficiency of sy	llabus current to	bridge the gap b	setween academes	and industry.
O Exceller		Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	○ Poor
3. Rate the cur	riculum in re	lation to your cur	rent professiona	il standards.	
O Exceller	nt C	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	○ Poor
O Excelle	nt C) Very Good	Oood Good	dustry challenges i	01001
5. Rate the inc	dustry laborat	ory and equipmen	nt acquaintances	for practical expo	ort stress.
O Excelle	nt C	Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
6. Rate the of	fering of elec	tives in relation to	technology ad	vancements.	
O Excelle	ent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
7. Rate the de	sign of the co	ourses in terms of	extra learning of	n self-learning.	
Excelle	ent (Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
8. Rate the tra	aining and pl	acement cell in go	etting ample plac	cement opportuniti	es.
○ Excelle	arew -	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
9. Rate the co	ompetence ar	d support offered	by the teachers	0.	
Excelle	ent (O Very Good	O Good	○ Average	O Poor
10. Rate the in	nstitute's supp	ort and contribut	ion for the overs	ill development of	students.
	suggestion ((811e3e	Should	Invite	Promine no
Almu	ni fox	Student	guidance		
				Sin	N. A. Hirerc mature of Alumni

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2019-20

ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

	er's Name :- y 3 (DD/MM/YYYY) :-	ishwas Rajaram o			
	r of Passing :- 20	18-2019	Department		
-	nament Address :- A	Ip -: solave Dis	t- kolhapun		
	il ID :- chougule	vivek 2555@ gmail. (c	Private Sactor	: 830812651)	lemics 190ther
27.0	ent Typ anization :- Nan	ne: Y. C. W. M War	ananager		
	ignation :- Assist.	Prof.	Present Loc	ation: Alp-satave	Dist - Kolha
	d of Working :- C		☐ IT Indust	ry Administrat Applicable Other	
	her Master		ne of the Programi	and the second s	
Jun	Name of the	he Institute : K.B.P. coll		and the state of t	
ļui	Please rate you ality of the program	ur valuable feedback on 1.	the curriculum	for review of syllabu	is / to improve
	Rate the adequate	ness of the courses offer	red in the progra	um.	0.0
	() Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
2.	Rate the sufficient	cy of syllabus current to	bridge the gap	between academes a	nd industry.
	○ Excellent		○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
3.	Rate the curriculu	m in relation to your cu	rrent profession	al standards.	
	○ Excellent	Overy Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
4.	Rate the skills acc	quired from the curricula Very Good	um to face the in	dustry challenges re Average	equirements. Poor
5.	Rate the industry	laboratory and equipme	nt acquaintance	s for practical expor	t stress.
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
6.	Rate the offering	of electives in relation t	o technology ad	vancements.	
	O Excellent	OVery Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
7.	Rate the design o	f the courses in terms of	f extra learning	on self-learning.	
	© Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
8.	Rate the training	and placement cell in go	etting ample pla	cement opportunities	ş.
	○ Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
9.	Rate the compete	ence and support offered	by the teachers		
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
10). Rate the institute	's support and contribut	ion for the over	all development of st	udents.
	Any other sugge	Called	e tearh	er shoul	d
	Loke	some efforts	10 Propos	men guide	168
		sity enterence	e down		

Date: 9/4/2020

Signature of Alumni

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2019-20

MARAHANAGAR MOLHARAR

	(YYYY):- 9	4 - 0 - 17	Department	Hinchi	
ear of Passin ermanent Add			Department	. Littley!	
mail ID :-			Mobile No.	and the same of th	
resent	Type :		Private Sector	Public Sector Acade	mics Other
rganization :-	Name		Present Loc	ation :	
Designation :- ield of Worki	ng :- Core	Inter disciplinary	☐ IT Industr	y Administratio	n 🔲 Other
ligher	Master D		The second secon	Applicable Other	
ducation :-	Year of Adm Name of the	The same of the sa	ne of the Programn	ilo.,	
quality of th	e program. adequatene	valuable feedback on ss of the courses offer Very Good			/ to improve
		of syllabus current to		hetween academes an	d industry.
2. Rate the		Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
		in relation to your cur	rent profession	al standards.	
3. Rate the		O Very Good	Good Good	○ Average	O Poor
		ired from the curricult		AND THE PROPERTY OF	uirements.
O Exc	ellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	Oroot
5. Rate the	e industry la	boratory and equipme	nt acquaintance	s for practical export	stress.
O Exc		Nery Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
6. Rate the	e offering of	felectives in relation t	o technology ad	vancements.	
○ Exc		O Very Good	● Good	○ Average	O Poor
7. Rate th	e design of	the courses in terms of	extra learning	on self-learning.	
○ Exc	cellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
8. Rate th	e training a	nd placement cell in go	etting ample pla	cement opportunities.	
	cellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
9. Rate th	ne competen	ce and support offered	by the teachers		
O Ex	cellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
10. Rate th	ne institute's	support and contribut	ion for the over	all development of stu	idents.
Any o	ther suggest	ion (s):		. 1 01	Du sa com
no	tices of	t universiti	to st	uid shaxe udents	F.1.EJXE.M

Internal Quality Assurance Cell PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Departme	nt : Engli	sh	- Mariane - de de la compansión de la	Mobile Number	2019-20
Plo quality of	ease rate your	valuable feedback or	n the curriculum	for review of syllab	
1. Rate ti	7 70	at your ward is unde	rgoing in terms	of the workload of the	e courses in
Section 5	cellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
2. Rate tl	ne quality and	relevance of the cou			Cross
	cellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate th	ne quality of to	eaching at the institut		Christage	01001
PARTIE STATE	cellent	O'Very Good	○ Good	O Average	OPoor
O Ex	cellent	ste, Community cree Very Good y of the evaluation sy	Good Good	O Average	d of the student th
	cellent	OVery Good		Average	O Boos
. Rate th	e outcomes th	at your world has ac			O Poor
7 Land 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	cellent	Ø Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
. Rate th	e courses in te	erms of their relevans	VOM COMMON MANUAL COLUMN	chnologies or further	r technologies?
O Exc	cellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
. Rate th	e overall facil			uting towards your w	ands self-prowth
OExc	ellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate th	e institute's su	pport and contribution	on for your ward	in getting jobs and p	placements.
O Exc		O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
	e transformati	on of your word after	r in completion		0

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2019-20

PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

PARAMMAGAR

(VO)LHAPIER

Nar	ne & occupation of Pa	irents:	1		ARAY * AL
Earl	M.Y. Baj	larong N. Chi	mate Occ	upation : Cciu	il Engineer)
	Slidati		Occ	upation : Hous	ie wie
	AIP Nes	w Paragon 1	(a) : Hoth!	kangle Dist	Kalhapar
Add	me of the Students : -1	wiss tenha	Balanyna N	in Jobile Number	7028693470
Na	me of the Students : partment :PhySi	EE	J	Vace :	2019-2020
De	Market Committee				J
au	Please rate your vality of the program.	valuable feedback on	me curriculum	tor review or syriable	ar to improve
	Rate the program tha	t your ward is under	going in terms of	f the workload of the	courses in
	different semesters. ○ Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
2.	Rate the quality and	relevance of the cour	rses included in	the semester.	
	○ Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	Average	O Poor
3.	Rate the quality of te	eaching at the institut	e.		
117.55	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
4.	Rate the treatment of includes Gender, Ca	f the students by the ste, Community cree Very Good	faculty irrespects d etc. in teaching Good	ive of the backgroun g and evaluation.	of the student that
5.	Rate the transparence	y of the evaluation s	ystem followed	by the institute.	
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
6.	Rate the outcomes to	hat your world has ac	chieved from the	courses.	
	O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
7.	Rate the courses in	terms of their relevan	nce to the latest t	echnologies or furthe	er technologies?
		O Very Good			
8.	Rate the overall fac	ilities available at the	institute contrib	outing towards your	wards self-growth.
	Excellent	O Very Good	The Control of the Co	○ Average	O Poor
9		support and contribut	tion for your war	d in getting jobs and	placements.
	O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	Poor
1	0. Rate the transforms	ation of your word af	ter in completion	of the course.	
					111
	***************************************	If Q	ue to	nelwork !	Joblem
	student	on(s): If a university ty should	onlin ex	am 13 m1	ssed
	Universi	ty should.	take or	etam imme	didle.
	Data : 11 / 12 / 2 o 1 9			B	Africale .

Date: 1 / 12/2019

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2019-20

PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

WARAHAHAGAR

MOLHAPSK

Nar	ne & occupation of P	arents:	12		SHOW # 45
	Maruti	Kamanna Ko	man ad 800	upation:Far	max
	Durekha	Maruti Kana	rangede ou	upation :	
	Dedal	Tal. Mirai	Dist	Sangali	
Na	me of the Students :	Priyanka Mar	wi Kangaw	Mobile Number :	91468 66083
De	partment : MARI	ATHI		Year:	2019-20
		valuable feedback on		for review of syllabu	s / to improve
	ality of the program.				Annual Control
1.		at your ward is under	going in terms o	f the workload of the	courses in
	different semesters. ○ Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
2.	Rate the quality and	relevance of the cour	ses included in	the semester.	
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
3.	Rate the quality of t	eaching at the institut	c.		IN ST
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	○ Poor
4.	Rate the treatment of	of the students by the	faculty irrespect	ive of the backgroun	d of the student that
	includes Gender, Ca	Ste, Community cree Very Good	Good	g and evaluation. Average	O Poor
5.	Rate the transparen	cy of the evaluation s	ystem followed	by the institute.	
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	OPoor
6.	Rate the outcomes	that your world has ac	chieved from the	courses.	
	 Excellent 	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
7.	Rate the courses in	terms of their relevan	ice to the latest t	echnologies or furthe	er technologies?
	The state of the s	Very Good			O Poor
8	. Rate the overall fac	cilities available at the	institute contrib	outing towards your	wards self-growth.
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
9	. Rate the institute's	support and contribut	ion for your war	d in getting jobs and	placements.
	O Excellent	Very Good	O Good	○ Average	O Poor
1	0. Rate the transform	ation of your word af	ter in completion	of the course.	
	Any other suggest	ion (s): Teache	y shou	1d Provid	besie
	Graing	training the	to Stud	ents rego	ir ding
	to att	ending th	L Tectul		
4				Siens	thre of Parents
	Date :21/02/2020			O'Bitt	

Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2019-20

PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name & occupation o	f Parents:	ما اه	Corme	IN A WALL
Father: Jogadi	sh Rantao ka	nuble Occ	upation : 19100	wife
Mother : Rechmo	L Jagadish ka	mbe occ	cupation : - HOUSE	DITC
Address : - No-N	ew pargaon, Ta	1-Hat , Dis	- Kolnapue .	22224222
Name of the Students	: Kamble Vals	mavi Jagadi	Mobile Number:	9/304/0006
Department : _ Lhe	mistry		Year:	
Please rate yo quality of the program	ur valuable feedback on n.	the curriculum	for review of syllabu	s / to improve
	that your ward is under	going in terms o	f the workload of the	e courses in
different semester		○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
2. Rate the quality a	and relevance of the cour	ses included in	the semester.	
@Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
3. Rate the quality of	of teaching at the institut	e.		
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
4. Rate the treatment	nt of the students by the	faculty irrespect	ive of the backgroun	d of the student that
includes Gender, Excellent	Caste, Community cree	Good Good	Average	O Poor
5. Rate the transpar	rency of the evaluation s	ystem followed	by the institute.	
○ Excellent	OVery Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
6. Rate the outcom	es that your world has ac	hieved from the	courses.	
(O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
7. Rate the courses	in terms of their relevan	ce to the latest t	echnologies or furth	er technologies?
(O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
8. Rate the overall	facilities available at the	institute contrib	outing towards your	wards self-growth.
O Excellent	Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
9. Rate the institut	e's support and contribut	ion for your war	d in getting jobs and	placements.
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
10. Rate the transfo	rmation of your word aft	er in completion	of the course.	
Any other sugge	estion (s):			Carrier Contract
	made while	Should o	Idex Students	to on
	mode while	1. 1. classe	s ongoing	
				Z 11
			1-1	amble-

Date: 16/2/2020

Signature of Parents

(KOLHAPUR)

Internal Quality Assurance Cell STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

ROUTHOUS REPRESENTATION

Department :	TANTI	Academ	ic Year : 2-6	120-21	
Program Name: B.A. HO hb.		Year/S	Year/Semester: I de Vr		
Name of the Studer	DEEPAK	BH Roll Nu	the second secon		
Please rate y quality of the progra	our valuable feedback on am.	the curriculum	for review of syllab	ous / to improve	
1. Rate how challer	nging was the syllabus off	ered by the co	urses.		
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
2. Rate the appropr	iateness of the sequence o	f the courses p	provided in the curric	culum.	
Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor	
3. Rate the depth o	f the syllabus of the course	es in relation t	o the competencies e	expected by the	
industry / curren	t global scenarios.				
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
4. Rate the sequence	e of the units / modules in	the courses.			
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
5. Rate the adequat	eness of the textbooks and	reference boo	oks mentioned above	for the courses.	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
6. Rate the syllabus	s content of the courses in	terms of burd	en in students.		
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
7. Rate the design of	of the courses in terms of o	xtra learning	or self-learning.		
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
8. Rate the flexibili	ty in choosing the elective	s in relation to	o technology advanc	ements.	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
9. Rate the percenta	age of the courses offering	lab compone	nts.		
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
10. Rate the compos	ition of the courses in term	ns of Basic sci	ience, Engineering so	cience, Humanities,	
Discipline core,	Discipline elective, open e	elective, proje	ct etc. ?		
Any other suggestion	n (s):			1000	
क्षाण वि	क्थाध्यीमा लग्नेप्यो	मार्फा ह	प्रोच्यायली रेट्या	त्यारी वातायि	
200	va conat			No.	
5 5010	2	0 2	0	_	
<i>सतायेद्</i> यात्वय	गति क्रिशास्य उपाद	प्रमेश विशेष	1400 GOOD O	र्वेस करात्रव	
Date: 23/06/ 202	1		e: (S	hand .	
Date: >3/00/			Signa	ture of Student	

Internal Quality Assurance Cell

	ACK ON CURRICULUM
Department: Physics	Academic Year: 2020-2021
Program Name :	Year / Semester: 6
Name of the Students : Jadhav DiPti	Academic Year: 2020-2021

3411

Signature of Student

Krushnat

Date: 23/6/2620

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the program.

1,	Rate how challen	ging was the syllabus o	ffered by the co	urses.	
	O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	Average	O Poor
2.	Rate the appropri	ateness of the sequence	of the courses p	provided in the currie	culum.
	O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
3.	Rate the depth of	the syllabus of the cour	ses in relation t	o the competencies e	expected by the
	industry / current	global scenarios.			
	O Excellent	O Very Good	Ø Good	○ Average	O Poor
4.	Rate the sequence	of the units / modules	in the courses.		
	O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
5.	Rate the adequate	ness of the textbooks ar	nd reference boo	oks mentioned above	for the courses.
	O Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	○ Average	O Poor
6.	Rate the syllabus	content of the courses is	n terms of burde	en in students.	
	O Excellent	O Very Good	⊘ Good	○ Average	O Poor
7.	Rate the design of	the courses in terms of	extra learning	or self-learning.	
	O Excellent	O Very Good	Ø Good	○ Average	O Poor
8.	Rate the flexibility	y in choosing the electiv	ves in relation to	technology advance	ements.
	O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	Average	O Poor
9.	Rate the percentag	ge of the courses offerin	g lab componer	nts.	
	O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	Average	O Poor
10	Rate the composit	ion of the courses in ter	ms of Basic sci	ence, Engineering so	ience, Humanities
	Discipline core, D	iscipline elective, open	elective, proje	ct etc. ?	
	y other suggestion	(s):			
2	wpox18 lect	ures should b	e organi	red	
	allege timi	eg should star	+ Forme	8:am	
	0	0			
				0.	
				A93	dhor

Internal Quality Assurance Cell STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

WARAMAMAGAR (KOLIMPUR)

Department: Socrology			Academ	Academic Year: 2020-21 ** * vist			
Pı	ogram Name :	BA-	Year/S	Year / Semester: B.A. T			
N	Name of the Students: Swarner B Daingade		gade Roll Nu	Roll Number: 3356			
qu	Please rate yo ality of the progran	ur valuable feedback on n.	the curriculum	for review of syllab	us / to improve		
1.	Rate how challeng	ging was the syllabus of	ffered by the co	urses.			
	O Excellent	O Very Good	⊘ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
2.	Rate the appropris	iteness of the sequence	of the courses p	provided in the curric	ulum.		
	O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
3.	Rate the depth of	the syllabus of the coun	ses in relation to	o the competencies e	xpected by the		
	industry / current	global scenarios.					
	O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good		○ Poor		
4.	Rate the sequence	of the units / modules i	in the courses.				
	O Excellent	O Very Good	⊘ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
5.	Rate the adequate	ness of the textbooks ar	nd reference boo	oks mentioned above	for the courses.		
	O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
6.	Rate the syllabus	content of the courses in	n terms of burde	en in students.			
	O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good		O Poor		
7.	Rate the design of	the courses in terms of	extra learning	or self-learning.			
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
8.	Rate the flexibility	y in choosing the electiv	ves in relation to	o technology advance	ements.		
	O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good		○ Poor		
9,	Rate the percentag	ge of the courses offerin	g lab compone	nts.			
	O Excellent	O Very Good	Ø Good	○ Average	O Poor		
10	Rate the composit	ion of the courses in ter	rms of Basic sci	ience, Engineering so	ience, Humanities,		
	Discipline core, D	iscipline elective, open	elective, proje	ect etc. ?			
Ar	y other suggestion	(s):					
V	siting lec	tures should	be orgo	onized.			
0	alkale Hmi	not should s	tent foo	m 8 cum			
	0						

Internal Quality Assurance Cell STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: B-SC T	Academic Year: 2020- 2021
Program Name: Boteny	Year/Semester: 3rd & 4th
Name of the Students : Patil Vaishnavi	Roll Number: 3024

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the program. 1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses. O Excellent O Very Good Good Poor O Average Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum. O Excellent O Very Good Good Good Average O Poor 3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by the industry / current global scenarios. O Excellent Wery Good ○ Good Average O Poor Rate the sequence of the units / modules in the courses. O Excellent O Very Good Good Average O Poor Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned above for the courses. O Excellent O Very Good () Good Average Poor Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of burden in students. O Excellent O Very Good Good Average O Poor 7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning. O Excellent O Very Good O Good Average O Poor 8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements. O Excellent Very Good O Good ○ Average O Poor 9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering lab components. Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor 10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, open elective, project etc. ? Any other suggestion (s): more use of Jot leabthing. separate space for studies zwheeler posking should be provided.

Date: 16/12/2021

Signature of Student

WARANANAGAR DOCUMPUS:

Internal Quality Assurance Cell

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

VARANANAGAR

(KOLHUPUR)

Department: GOMMERCE	Academic Year: 2020-21
Program Name: 3.60m.	Year / Semester: 2020-21
Name Of The Faculty : Mr. R. B. Bastaik	Designation: ASSISTEMY PROFESSES

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the program.

improve quanty or in	e program.			
1. Rate the structure of	of the curriculum frame	d for the entire p	rogram.	
O Excellent	Very Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor
2. Rate the appropriat	teness of the sequences	of the courses pr	rovided in the cu	rriculum.
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	OPoor
industry / current glol	he syllabus of the course bal scenarios. O Very Good		Average	
	of the units / modules in		Avelage	C room
	O Very Good		O Average	O Poor
	on of credits to the cours			
	O Very Good		○ Average	O Poor
	ess of textbook and refer			
	O Very Good			
7. Rate the potential of	of the students in unders	standing the cour	rse objectives.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	OPoor
8. Rate of the syllabu	s content for the course	s in terms of bur	den on students.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	Average	O Poor
9. Rate of the experin	nent list in stimulating t	he interest of stu	ident in the subje	ect.
O Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	Average	O Poor
10. Rate the contribut	tion of the courses in ter	rms of profession	nal core area.	
Any other me	gestions (S):		Crananian	6
- To Cowes - To Add	e Deolgn Cur	ment gauba	200 Malen	

Yashvantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

Internal Quality Assurance Cell

RESEDRACK ON CURRICULUM

RESEDRACK ON CURRICULUM

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: Bestony	Academic Year: 2020-21
Program Name : 13.50. 13-tany	Year / Semester: 2020-21
Name Of The Faculty : Or 5 . To Nor	Designation: Assist. prof.

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the program.

L. Rate the structure	of the curriculum frame	d for the entire	program.		
O Excellent		and the same of th	The second	OPoor	
2. Rate the appropria	teness of the sequences		The state of the s		
O Excellent	vision some or the collins of				
industry / current glo					
	O Very Good		○ Average	O Poor	
	of the units / modules in				
O Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor	
5. Rate the distribution	on of credits to the cours	ses.			
O Excellent	✓ Very Good	O Good	○ Average	OPoor	
6. Rate of adequatene	ess of textbook and refer	rence book men	tioned for the co	urses.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	○ Average	OPoor	
7. Rate the potential	of the students in unders	standing the cou	rse objectives.		
O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	○ Poor	
8. Rate of the syllabu	is content for the course	s in terms of bu	rden on students.		
	O Very Good				
9. Rate of the experis	ment list in stimulating t	he interest of st	udent in the subj	ect.	
O Excellent	○ Very Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor	
	tion of the courses in te			11.6	
	ggestions (S): Syllabus ha			THE REAL PROPERTY.	£-
the ind	mys his and cross	reiderida	5 which	weeds sige	en L
smby ar.	is on in	yer pray	tronger	of the	2
alons, as	fishe for a	also me	A SERVE	The It wish	1256
			Simple	e of Teacher	
Date: 12/09/2021			Signatur	e of Teacher	

Yashvantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar, WARAAA Internal Quality Assurance Cell

WEREMAKAGAR WOLHERURI

The state of the s		Academic Y	ic Year: 2020-21		
Program Name: B	ogram Name: B. A. & M.A. Year/Semester: 2020-21		-21		
Name Of The Facult		Designation	HOD & A	esistant	prof
Sada	shiv Jadhav				
Please rate yo improve quality of the	our valuable feedback e program.	on the curricu	lum for review	of syllabus	/ to
1. Rate the structure of	of the curriculum frame	d for the entire	program.		
O Excellent	 Very Good 	O Good	O Average	OPoor	
2. Rate the appropriat	eness of the sequences	of the courses p	rovided in the cu	urriculum.	
O Excellent	 Very Good 	O Good	O Average	O Poor	
3. Rate the depth of the	ne syllabus of the course nal scenarios.	e in relation to t	he competition e	expected by	
Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	Average	O Poor	
Rate the sequence of	of the units / modules in	the courses.			
O Excellent	 Very Good 	O Good	O Average	O Poor	
. Rate the distributio	n of credits to the cours	es.			
Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor	
. Rate of adequatene	ss of textbook and refer	ence book men	tioned for the co	urses.	
Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor	
. Rate the potential of	f the students in unders	tanding the cou	rse objectives.		
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
. Rate of the syllabus	s content for the course	s in terms of bu	rden on students.		
O Excellent			Average	O Poor	
Rate of the experin	nent list in stimulating t	he interest of st	udent in the subj	ect.	
O Excellent	 Very Good 	O Good	O Average	O Poor	
0. Rate the contribut	ion of the courses in ter	rms of professio	nal core area. 🛶	lery Good	
Any other sug					0
नी नान आणि	जारमारी बिक्तानि	आकान पेर	BOIK! 213416	नक्ता निर्देश	লালা
हो दावरम् क	जङ्गतीष्टिक्तानी हेर स्वभाजीचा सव	विशिषा स्तेना	१ नेठ धारके	वा बका	2/
वहा छजारा	क्रिमालक्षत्र हवाल व	जावरीबट प	गर्पि उक्त	ना क्रीन	
CONST. 12/102	प्रमासक्षम हवाच र क्रमही हवीः तथा	Salpletina	क्षिणालमङ्का ह	। अञ्चाल	By
Date : 12/69/2021	की आवश्यक र	niè-	Signatur	E of Teacher	

Date : 12/02/2021

Yashvantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar. Internal Quality Assurance Cell WARANANAGAR (KOLMARUR)

Department: Mathematics	Academic Year: 2020-21
Program Name: M.5C	Year / Semester: 2020-21
Name Of The Faculty : Miss. Mohite WV.	Designation: CHB

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the program.

1. Rate the structure	of the curri	culum frame	d for the entire ;	orogram.	
Excellent	OV	ery Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor
2. Rate the appropria	teness of th	ne sequences	of the courses p	rovided in the cu	rriculum.
Excellent	O V	ery Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor
3. Rate the depth of t industry / current glo			e in relation to t		
O Excellent	• V	ery Good	O Good	∧verage	O Poor
4. Rate the sequence	of the unit	s / modules ir	n the courses.		
Excellent	0 v	ery Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor
5. Rate the distribution	on of credit	s to the cours	ses.		
O Excellent	• V	ery Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
6. Rate of adequatene	ess of textb	ook and refer	rence book men	tioned for the co	urses.
Excellent	O V	ery Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
7. Rate the potential	of the stude	ents in unders	standing the cou	rse objectives.	
Excellent	O V	ery Good	O Good	○ Average	○ Poor
8. Rate of the syllabu	s content f	or the course	s in terms of bu	den on students.	
O Excellent	V	ery Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
9. Rate of the experis	ment list in	stimulating t	the interest of str	ident in the subj	ect.
O Excellent	● V	ery Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor
10. Rate the contribu	tion of the	courses in ter	rms of professio	nal core area.	
Any other su	ggestions (S);			

				*	nolution
D 10/20/2021				Signatur	e of Teacher

Date: 12/02/2021

Internal Quality Assurance Cell ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

	Alumni: Dx Taxonoum M Muli	
	e: Mubarak Gulab Mul	la the
DOB (DD/M)	M/YYYY) > 13 /08 / 1988	West of the same o
Year of Passi	ing:- 2009	Department: Botaby
Permanent A	idress :- Kodoli	
Email ID :-	trotan wa growil. com	Mobile No.: 9766666573
Present	Type :- Self employed 1	Private Sector Public Sector P Academics 1 Other
Organization	- Name: Y.C.W. Maha	Present Location: Wasananagas
Designation :-	Assistant Professor	Present Location: Warsan anager
field of Work	ting :- Core Inter disciplinary	☐ IT Industry ☐ Administration ☐ Other
Higher	Master Degree Ph.I	D. Not Applicable Other ne of the Programme:
Education :-	Year of Admission: 2011 Nam	ne of the Programme :
	Name of the Institute : Shive	

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the program. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. Excellent O Very Good O Good Average O Poor 2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus current to bridge the gap between academes and industry. Very Good O Good O Excellent Average O Poor 3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. Very Good O Excellent O Good Average O Poor 4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges requirements. Very Good O Good Average O Poor O Excellent 5. Rate the industry laboratory and equipment acquaintances for practical export stress. Very Good O Excellent O Good O Average O Poor 6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements. Excellent O Very Good () Good Average O Poor 7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning on self-learning. O Good O Excellent O Very Good Average O Poor 8. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities. O'Very Good O Good O Excellent O Average O Poor 9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers. O Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. Any other suggestion (s): I think it's unique institution in the our area and I learned lots of extra currently activities with regular study. Best teaching

Date: 10/1 /202 |

Signature of Alumni

Internal Quality Assurance Cell

OB (DD/MM/YYYY): ear of Passing:- 201	3-14	Department Coll - Peroballa	t: History	MHSVA *
nail ID : abhayaa i	Po - Bahirewadi, 7 Kudda 4@gmail.com	m Mobile No	9552699948	vy
esent Ty	pe :- Self employed [Private Sector	Public Sector 🖾 Aca	demics Other
rganization :- Na esignation :- Asst	me: Mahila Mahavis	Present Lo	ba beed . cation: Kasba bee	1 .
eld of Working :- 🔲 C	Core Inter disciplinar	y IT Indus	try Administra	tion Other
gher Maste	r Degree Pi dmission : 2018 - 19 Na	h.D. Not	Applicable Othe	
Name of	the Institute : Y. C. War	rana Mahari	yalaya , warana	nagov.
Please rate yo	ur valuable feedback or			
sality of the program				
Excellent	ness of the courses offe O Very Good	Good Good	Average	O Poor
	cy of syllabus current to			
O Excellent	● Very Good		Average	O Poor
A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	m in relation to your cu			0
Excellent	○ Very Good	(Good	○ Average	O Poor
A DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY				annual Salar
Excellent	quired from the curricul Very Good	Good	Average	O Poor
Rate the industry	laboratory and equipme	nt acquaintance	s for practical export	stress.
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
Rate the offering	of electives in relation t	o technology ad	vancements.	
Excellent	O Very Good	() Good	○ Average	O Poor
Rate the design of	f the courses in terms of	extra learning	on self-learning.	
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
Rate the training	and placement cell in ge	etting ample plac	cement opportunities	
○ Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
Rate the competer	nce and support offered	by the teachers.		
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
Rate the institute's	s support and contributi	on for the overa	ll development of stu	idents.
Any other sugges	tion (s):	04		
***************************************	***************************************			

***************************************				Wilderline .

Internal Quality Assurance Cell

	her's Name :-	ende Soyeabh Ra	jesh		De a Ald
	B (DD/MM/YYYY):	rende Rojesh jays	ing Eao		- 15:
e	ar of Passing > 26	9-20	Departmen	The second secon	
		p - Kodoli, Tel - Penhal			
	sent Soueabh Ze	nde 2 1368 3mail Com pe :- Self employed	Private Sector	12 19855072115 Public Sector Aca	demics Other
rj	ganization > Na	me:			Carlo Carlo
	signation :- ld of Working :- 🔲 C	ore Inter disciplinar	Present Le	Market Co.	tion Other
		The state of the s	Part to come the same of the s	Applicable Othe	
di	ecation :- Year of A	dmission: Na	ime of the Program		
_	Name of t	he Institute :			
qui	Please rate yo ality of the program	ur valuable feedback on n.	the curriculum	for review of syllab	us/to improve
	Rate the adequate Excellent	ness of the courses offe Very Good	red in the progr Good	am. Average	O Poor
2.	Rate the sufficien	cy of syllabus current to	bridge the gap	between academes a	and industry.
	O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
١,	Rate the curriculu	m in relation to your cu	rrent profession	al standards,	
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
	Rate the skills acc	uired from the curricul Very Good	um to face the i	ndustry challenges re	equirements. Poor
6	Rate the industry	laboratory and equipme	ent acquaintance	s for practical expor	t stress.
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
	Rate the offering	of electives in relation t	o technology ac	lvancements.	
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
	Rate the design of	the courses in terms of	extra learning	on self-learning.	
	O Excellent	O Very Good	Good Good	○ Average	O Poor
	Rate the training a	and placement cell in ge	tting ample pla	cement opportunities	K.
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
	Rate the competer	nce and support offered	by the teachers		
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
0.	Rate the institute's	s support and contributi	on for the overa	Il development of st	idents.
	Any other suggest	tion (s):			

Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar,
Internal Quality Assurance Cell

Father's Name :- DOB (DD/MM/YYYY) :-	30-9-199		shamra wo	THE STATE OF THE S
Year of Passing :-	2017-19	Departmer	11: Economic	5
	IP - Kakhe	I and trans to	01.1.000.00	2.0
Present Typ	rohini 2 a gma e:- ☐ Seif employed ☐	Private Sector	DPublic Sector Aca	
Organization :- Nam		Partition occur.	Transie Sector [] Fice	ocines L Jones
Designation :-		Present Lo	and the second s	
leld of Working :- Co	Contract of the Contract of th	And the second s	try Administrar Applicable Other	-
Education :- Year of Ad		ume of the Program		
Name of th	e Institute : Y45hwar	itido chav	an watana ma	ihvidyalya wara
Please rate you	r valuable feedback or	the curriculum	for review of sullab	ue / to improve
quality of the program		i ine curriculum	tor review or symaol	as / to improve
	ess of the courses offe	red in the proor	8m	
Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the sufficienc	y of syllabus current to	bridge the gan		
O Excellent		○ Good	O Average	O Poor
		-		C1001
Rate the curriculur	n in relation to your cu	irrent profession	al standards.	
○ Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
. Rate the skills acqu	aired from the curricul	um to face the in	ndustry challenges re	quirements.
@Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
. Rate the industry la	aboratory and equipme	ent acquaintance	s for practical export	stress.
O Excellent		○ Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the offering o	f electives in relation t	o technology ad	vancements.	
O Excellent	OVery Good	() Good	O Average	O Poor
- day and the state of the stat	The second secon	The state of the s	77	O100
	the courses in terms of			
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the training a	nd placement cell in go	etting ample pla	cement opportunities	
⊗ Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	○ Average	O Poor
Rate the competen	ce and support offered	by the teachers		
⊗ Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
	support and contributi	on for the overa	in development of St	racino,
Any other suggesti	on (s):	(4)		
T. Un.L				
Excellent				

Internal Quality Assurance Cell PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

		toey		Mobile Number	20135040
)0	* SOUTH CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY	_			
ш	ality of the program	ar valuable feedback or i.	the curriculum	for review of syllabi	as / to improve
		that your ward is under	going in terms	of the workload of the	e courses in
	☐ Excellent	S. Overy Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
		nd relevance of the cou	rses included in	the semester.	
	O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
	Rate the quality of	f teaching at the institut	te.		
	⊕ Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
		of the students by the			d of the student th
	includes Gender, C Excellent	Caste, Community cree O Very Good		g and evaluation. Average	O Poor
	Rate the transpare	ncy of the evaluation s	ystem followed	by the institute.	
	Q.Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
	Rate the outcomes	that your world has ac	hieved from the	courses.	
	O Excellent	O Very Good	Q-800d	○ Average	O Poor
	Rate the courses in	n terms of their relevan	ce to the latest t	echnologies or furthe	er technologies?
	O Excellent	O'Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
	Rate the overall fa	cilities available at the	institute contrib	outing towards your v	vards self-growth.
	O Excellent	O Very Good	⊖ Good	○ Average	O Poor
	Rate the institute's	s support and contributi	ion for your war	d in getting jobs and	placements.
	O Excellent	O Very Good	⊙ Good	○ Average	O Poor
0	. Rate the transform	nation of your word after	er in completion	of the course.	
	Any other suggest	tion (s):			

Internal Quality Assurance Cell

MARAHANAGAR (KOLHAFUR)

	mar Sammel remont	mice cen
PARENTS	FEEDBACK ON	CURRICULUM
cupation of Parents:	0	> 1

ame of the Students:	सुवता भाम	राव दाउगा	- MODILE Manibel	800769798
Department :	MIKA		Year	: 2020-21
Please rate your uality of the program.	valuable feedback or	the curriculum	for review of syllal	bus / to improve
 Rate the program the different semesters. 		going in terms of	of the workload of t	he courses in
○ Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
. Rate the quality and	relevance of the cou	rses included in	the semester.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	⊖ Good	O Average	O Poor
. Rate the quality of t	eaching at the institut	e.		
O Excellent	○Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
O Excellent	Ste, Community cree Very Good	d etc. in teachin Good	g and evaluation. Average	of the student that
. Rate the transparence	y of the evaluation sy		by the institute.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
. Rate the outcomes t	20.00		courses.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
. Rate the courses in	terms of their relevan	ce to the latest t	echnologies or furth	ner technologies?
O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
. Rate the overall faci	lities available at the	institute contrib	outing towards your	wards self-growth.
○ Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
. Rate the institute's s	upport and contributi	on for your war	d in getting jobs and	d placements.
O Excellent	Very Good	O Good	○ Average	O Poor
0. Rate the transformation	tion of your word after	er in completion	of the course.	
Any other suggestion	n (s):			
जातावि बचाटा	वादी पद्धान	र यपबी	ना कारी वि	जयाचा बीपना
	म वयान	जगरोत		

Internal Quality Assurance Cell PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

07		nohave Shivani Anah			
		cenemics			¥9-20-
	* Alexander and the second	valuable feedback or		for review of syllabi	ıs / to improve
qu	ality of the program.				
1.	Rate the program the different semesters.	at your ward is under	going in terms	of the workload of the	e courses in
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
2.	Rate the quality and	relevance of the cou	rses included in	the semester.	
	O Excellent		○ Good	O Average	O Poor
3.	Rate the quality of t	eaching at the institut	te.		
	⊘ Excellent	○ Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
4.		of the students by the aste, Community cree Very Good	d etc. in teachin		d of the student that
5.	Rate the transparent	cy of the evaluation s	ystem followed	by the institute.	
		O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
6.	Rate the outcomes t	hat your world has ac	chieved from the	courses.	
	O Excellent		○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
7.	Rate the courses in	terms of their relevan	ce to the latest t	echnologies or furthe	er technologies?
		O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
8.	Rate the overall fac	ilities available at the	institute contril	outing towards your v	vards self-growth.
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
9.	Rate the institute's s	support and contributi	ion for your war	d in getting jobs and	placements.
	⊘ Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Avernge	O Poor
10	. Rate the transforma	tion of your word aft	er in completion	of the course.	
	Any other suggestic	on (s):			
	Jean Ka	विद्या	वर्षा गरे	का फिलाइ	ACONTACT!

Internal Quality Assurance Cell

WARLINAMAGAR (KOLHAPUR)

PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

ddress : Warane				
Name of the Students: -				P.890567407
Department : E	conemics.		Year:	2020-2]
Please rate your uality of the program.	valuable feedback or	the curriculum	for review of syllab	us / to improve
. Rate the program tha	nt your ward is under	going in terms of	of the workload of the	e courses in
different semesters. Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
. Rate the quality and	relevance of the cou	rses included in	the semester.	
O Excellent	⊘ Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
. Rate the quality of to	eaching at the institut	e.		
⊗ Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
Rate the treatment of includes Gender, Ca Excellent	f the students by the ste, Community cree O Very Good	faculty irrespect d etc. in teachin Good	ive of the backgroung and evaluation. Average	od of the student that
Rate the transparence	y of the evaluation s	ystem followed	by the institute.	
⊗ Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
. Rate the outcomes the	nat your world has ac	hieved from the	courses.	
O Excellent	○Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
. Rate the courses in t	erms of their relevan	ce to the latest t	echnologies or furthe	er technologies?
@Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
Rate the overall faci	lities available at the	institute contrib	outing towards your	wards self-growth.
 Excellent 	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
Rate the institute's s	upport and contributi	on for your war	d in getting jobs and	placements.
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
Rate the transformat	ion of your word after	er in completion	of the course.	
Any other suggestio	11767			
तिक्रिक कि	विद्यार्थाना	6 NINE	वज्यात इसहब	पाउति करून
- Menterla	V (1) 5 458P	0 12 . 3	रश्याचारी र	नारिका विलो

Internal Quality Assurance Cell STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: 2001094	Academic Year: 2021-22
Program Name: B. Sc. III	Year / Semester : - VI
Name of the Students : Wilek Wiles Jad	Roll Number :

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the program. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses. O Poor () Good Average O Very Good 2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum. O Poor O Excellent 3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by the industry / current global scenarios.) Poor O Average Wery Good O Good O Excellent Rate the sequence of the units / modules in the courses. O Poor ○ Good Average Very Good O Excellent 5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned above for the courses. O Poor O Good O Average Wery Good O Excellent Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of burden in students. O Poor Average Good Good O Excellent O Very Good Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning. O Poor O Good Average Wery Good O Excellent 8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements. O Good O Poor O Excellent Nery Good Average Rate the percentage of the courses offering lab components. O Poor O Very Good O Good Average Excellent 10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, open elective, project etc.? Any other suggestion (s): Library Should Purchase book

PRINCIPAL Yeshamwan Chrism Webne Ma

Signature of Student

XOLY CUR

Internal Quality Assurance Cell STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

SIARANA

WARANAMAGA (ATTAL MAPSON

Department: Zoology	Academic Year: 2021-2022
Program Name: B. SCIII	Year / Semester : - YL
Name of the Students : Mr. Animat D. Aut.	Roll Number :

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the program. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses. O Poor Average O Good Wery Good Excellent Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum. O Poor O Good Average Wery Good Excellent 3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by the industry / current global scenarios. Average O Poor O Very Good ○ Good Excellent Rate the sequence of the units / modules in the courses. O Poor O Good Average Wery Good O Excellent Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned above for the courses.) Poor Average Wery Good ○ Good O Excellent Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of burden in students. O Poor Average O Very Good Good G O Excellent Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning. O Poor Average Very Good O Good O Excellent Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements. O Poor Nery Good O Good O Average O Excellent Rate the percentage of the courses offering lab components. O Poor Average Wery Good () Good O Excellent 10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, open elective, project etc. ? Any other suggestion (s): be essenice cultural programe Signature of Student

Internal Quality Assurance Cell STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

MARANANASAR (KOLHAPUR)

Destroyen Marrier v. of	mmerce	Acader		- 224
Program Name:	B. com	Year/	Semester: Sem.	立-2022
Name of the Studen	ts: Kurane pro	HKS FOII No	imber: 381	8
Please rate yo quality of the program	ur valuable feedback or n.	n the curriculun		ous / to improve
1. Rate how challeng	ging was the syllabus of	ffered by the co	ourses.	
 Excellent 	O Very Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor
2. Rate the appropria	ateness of the sequence	of the courses p	provided in the curric	culum.
Excellent	○ Very Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor
. Rate the depth of	the syllabus of the cour	ses in relation to	o the competencies e	xpected by the
industry / current	global scenarios.	18.		
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
. Rate the sequence	of the units / modules i	n the courses.		
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
. Rate the adequater	ness of the textbooks an	d reference boo	oks mentioned above	for the courses.
O Excellent	Very Good	◯ Good	O Average	O Poor
. Rate the syllabus of	content of the courses in	terms of burde	en in students.	
Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the design of	the courses in terms of	extra learning o	or self-learning.	
 Excellent 	O Very Good	O Good	Average	O Poor
Rate the flexibility	in choosing the electiv	es in relation to	technology advance	ments.
O Excellent	Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the percentag	e of the courses offering	g lab componen	its.	
Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	○ Average	O Poor
				22 272.3
The state of the s	on of the courses in terr	ns of Basic scie	ence, Engineering sci	ience, Humanities
0. Rate the compositi	on of the courses in terr iscipline elective, open			ience, Humanities

Internal Quality Assurance Cell STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

WARRANAG

WOLWF-W

Department: Marathi	Academic Year: 2021 - 2022
Program Name: B.A.	Year/Semester: 2022(B-A-III)
Name of the Students: R. N. Kumbhar	Roll Number: 2 337

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the program. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses. O Average O Good W Very Good O Excellent Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum. O Good Average . O Very Good Excellent 3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by the industry / current global scenarios. O Poor Average ○ Good Wery Good O Excellent Rate the sequence of the units / modules in the courses. Average Poor O Good Wery Good O Excellent Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned above for the courses. O Poor Average O Good O Very Good Excellent Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of burden in students.) Poor Average O Good Wery Good O Excellent Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning. O Poor O Good Average O Very Good Excellent 8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements. O Poor Average Very Good O Good O Excellent Rate the percentage of the courses offering lab components. O Poor Average O Good Wery Good O Excellent 10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, open elective, project etc. ? Any other suggestion (s): Education margial should be qualifie opling Signature of Stud Dr. A. R. Bhusnar Date: 07/04 / 2022 Asst. Professor

Department of Zoology Yesheantao Clavas Warana Mehowkhyola

Internal Quality Assurance Cell FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: Omr	Academic Year: 2021-22			
Program Name: Q /nm		Year/Se		THE MONTH
Name of the Faculty : N	nr. R.B. Basmun C	Designati	ion: Head.	Assistant profess
Please rate your va quality of the program.	luable feedback on the c	urriculum :	for review of syll	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR
1. Rate the structure of th	e curriculum framed for	the entire		0.5
Excellent) Good	○ Average	O Poor
2. Rate the appropriatene	ess of the sequences of th	e courses j	provided in the cu	rriculum.
O Excellent	Wery Good	Good	○ Average	OPoor
3. Rate the depth of the s	syllabus of the course in	relation to	the competencies	expected by industry /
current global scenario				
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
4. Rate the sequence of t	he units / modules in the	courses.		
O Excellent		◯ Good	 Average 	○ Poor
	of credits to the courses.			
OFveellent	● Very Good (Good	Average	O Poor
6. Rate the adequateness	s of textbooks and refere	nce books	mentioned for the	courses.
O Excellent		Good	○ Average	O Poor
7. Rate the potential of		ding the co	surse objectives.	
O Excellent		Good	○ Average	O Poor
e Pote the cullabus cor	tent for the courses in to	rms of bur	den on students.	
8. Rate the syllabus con Excellent		○ Good	 Average 	O Poor
9. Rate the experiment	list in stimulating the int	erest of stu	dents in the subje	ect.
O Excellent	O Very Good	● Good	○ Average	O Poor
10. Rate the contribution		of professi	onal core area.	
Any other suggestion	n (s):			
- please	se change	HOD	Cabin 20	(er) ,
	1912-12 Day 1991	***************************************		Dolf.
Date 23 /4 /2022	Asst. Professor spertment of Zoology	gaf		Signature of Teacher

PRINCIPAL
Pachwantrae Chaves Warrana Meta-Adyotava,
Warranagar, Dut. Kalkasur.

Internal Quality Assurance Cell FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: Physic	Academic Year: 2021 - 2021
Program Name: B. S.C.	Year/Semester: 2014
Name of the Faculty: Dr. V.S. pu	M. Designation: Assl. Pro L

qu	Please rate y ality of the progra	our valuable feedback o im.	n the curriculur	n for review of sylla	bus / to improve
1.	Rate the structur	e of the curriculum fran	ned for the entir	e program	
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
2.	Rate the appropr	iateness of the sequence		nmuided in the au-	O Poor
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	OPene
3.	Rate the depth of	the syllabus of the cour	rse in relation to	the competencies en	roor rected by indust-
	current global sco	enarios.		,	specied by mousing
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	○ Poor
4.	Rate the sequence	e of the units / modules	in the courses.	Oamge	Oroor
	O Excellent	O Very Good	Good Good	○ Average	O Poor
5.	Rate the distribut	ion of credits to the cour	rses.		01001
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
6.	Rate the adequate	ness of textbooks and re		mentioned for the co	Urses Tool
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
7.	Rate the potential	of the students in under	standing the co	urse objectives.	0100
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
8.	Rate the syllabus	content for the courses i	n terms of burde	en on students	01001
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
9.	Rate the experime	nt list in stimulating the	interest of stud	ents in the subject	0.1001
y	Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor
	Rate the contributi Any other suggesti	on of the courses in tention (s):	ns of profession	al core area,	0.1001
Shi	mulution in	Theory and so	ractrul 9.5	s not forme	4
ate	:13/01/2023	Dr. A. R. Bhusn Asst. Professo Department of Zoo	r .	AZ SIGNA	Teacher

D

PRINCIPAL

Warshinger, Dat, Kellene

Internal Quality Assurance Cell FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

PROLIMPUST

Department: Sociology Academic Year: 2021 - 2022				
Program Name: B.A	Year / Semester :			
Name of the Faculty : D, V.	Patil	Designat	ion :	
Please rate your valuable quality of the program.	feedback on the	e curriculum	for review of syllabi	us / to improve
1. Rate the structure of the curr	iculum framed	for the entire	program.	
1 : 1 THE STATE OF S	ery Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
2. Rate the appropriateness of t	he sequences of	the courses p	provided in the curri	culum.
Excellent . O Ve	ery Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
3. Rate the depth of the syllabu	is of the course	in relation to	the competencies ex	pected by industry /
current global scenarios.				
And the second s	ery Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
4. Rate the sequence of the uni	ts / modules in	the courses.		
	ery Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
5. Rate the distribution of cred	its to the course	S.		
	ery Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
6. Rate the adequateness of ter	ctbooks and refe	rence books	mentioned for the co	urses.
a A Control of the Co	ery Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
7. Rate the potential of the stu	dents in underst	anding the co	ourse objectives.	
	ery Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
8. Rate the syllabus content for	r the courses in	terms of bure	den on students.	
O Excellent O V				O Poor
9. Rate the experiment list in	stimulating the i	interest of stu	dents in the subject.	
	ery Good		○ Average	O Poor
10. Rate the contribution of the	courses in term	s of profession	onal core area.	
Any other suggestion (s):				
				101
rocus	Should	pe or	n Expermen	#.E.I
1earning	1000)	A = :	8
	() No	ar	BE (Jon's
Date 32 /01 /2.02.3	Asst. Professo	ME CONTRACTOR	estrac Chavan Warana Mahavidys	ature of Teacher
De	partment of Zo Mahavidyalay, V	ology (scananager	Warananagar, Dat. Kohaput.	SPE

FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department :	Botany	Academ	iic Year: 202	1-22 + VINT	
Program Name: Alumini Meet		Year / S	Year/Semester: 2021-22		
Name of the Facul	ity: Dr Jadhav sir	Designa	The state of the s		
Please rate y	your valuable feedback on am.	the curriculum	for review of syllab	us / to improve	
I. Rate the structu	re of the curriculum frame	d for the entire	program.		
O Excellent	○ Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
2. Rate the approp	riateness of the sequences	of the courses	provided in the curri	culum.	
O Excellent	, O Very Good	⊘ Good	○ Average	○ Poor	
Rate the depth of	of the syllabus of the cours	e in relation to	the competencies ex	pected by industr	
current global so					
O Excellent	O Very Good	O Good		O Poor	
A. Rate the sequen	ce of the units / modules in	the courses.		0.27, 0,000	
O Excellent		○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
. Rate the distribu	tion of credits to the cours	ies.			
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor	
6. Rate the adequa	teness of textbooks and ref	ference books	mentioned for the cou	irses.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	⊕ Average	O Poor	
. Rate the potentia	al of the students in unders	tanding the co	urse objectives.		
O Excellent	O Very Good	⊘ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
. Rate the syllabu	s content for the courses in	terms of burd	en on students.		
O Excellent	O Very Good	⊘ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
. Rate the experin	nent list in stimulating the	interest of stud	lents in the subject.		
O Excellent	O Very Good	⊕ Good	O Average	O Poor	
0. Rate the contribu	ution of the courses in tern	ns of profession	nal core area.		
Any other sugge	estion (s):				

Date: 12 /01/ 2023 Dr. A. R. Bhusiner Asst. Professor

Signature of Teacher

NORRAWAWAGAR

Department of Zoology Y. C. W. Mahavidyalay, Warantinager/sahenese Chave Verses Mahavidyalays.

Internal Quality Assurance Cell

Name of the Alumni :- (hougule Poonam to	eat	1	(MACHADURI)
ather's Name :- < hor	igule Popat Anan	dq.		THE RESERVE
OOB (DD/MM/YYYY)	U-31041	Department	-20010g7	-
Year of Passing :- 2	IP-Male Tal- Ponha			
Email ID :- pennam	chevoule 1499 Wama	il (cm Mobile No.	: 7887990395	
Present Ty	pe :- Self employed I	Private Sector	Public Sector Acad	lemics Other
Organization :- Na Designation :- Scien		Present Loc	ation: Looranar	agar
field of Working :- [Core Inter disciplinary	TT Industr	y Administrat	ion Other
Higher Maste	or Degree Ph	.D. Not . me of the Programs	Applicable Other	
Education :- Year of A Name of	dmission: 2-0 2 Name the Institute: Y.C.W.M.			
quality of the program 1. Rate the adequate	eness of the courses offer	red in the progra	ım.	os / to improve
O Excellent	○Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	
Rate the sufficient	acy of syllabus current to	bridge the gap	between academes a	nd industry.
O Excellent		○ Good	O Average	O Poor
3. Rate the curricul	um in relation to your cu	rrent profession	ai standards.	
@ Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
○ Excellent	quired from the curriculo	O Good	O Average	OPoor
5. Rate the industry	laboratory and equipme	ent acquaintance	s for practical expor-	t stress.
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
6. Rate the offering	of electives in relation t	to technology ad	vancements.	
Ø Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor.
7. Rate the design	of the courses in terms of	f extra learning	on self-learning.	
O Excellent	√Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
8. Rate the training	and placement cell in g	etting ample pla	cement opportunities	S.
O Excellent	○ Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
9. Rate the compe	ence and support offered	l by the teachers	la Company	
O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
10. Rate the institut	e's support and contribut	ion for the over	all development of st	tudents.
Any other suppl	estion (s):			
Acres	el coner que	dera Leci	se to suden	13
	ing arumni			
0	Chocas S.			
***************************************	DE R. Bhusnar	1	DE 6	hoveule
	Asst. Professor	C	The state of the s	Charles Inch

2026-22

Internal Quality Assurance Cell

ather's Name :	Bugale	pale Amtula D. Dattottay Baf	y O		* VIS
OOB (DD/MM/	YYYY):-	0-07-2001			
ear of Passing ermanent Addr			Department - funtala	Pist - Kothorpu	•
		ta a grail com		: 8530372474	
resent	Type :	- Self employed	Private Sector	Public Sector Acad	lemics Other
Organization :- Designation :-	Name	1	Present Loc	ation :	
Field of Working	g :- Core	Inter disciplinary	☐ IT Industr	y Administrati	
Higher [Master D		AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER.	Applicable	
CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	Year of Adm Name of the	The state of the s	ne of the Programm	ne .	
quality of the	program. dequatene	valuable feedback on ss of the courses offer Very Good			os / to improve
2. Rate the s	ufficiency	of syllabus current to		The same of the sa	
O Excel	T. W. C.	OVery Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
3. Rate the	curriculum	in relation to your cur	rrent profession	al standards.	
O Excel	lent	→ Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
4. Rate the		red from the curriculu	m to face the ir	dustry challenges re Average	quirements. Poor
5. Rate the	industry la	boratory and equipme	nt acquaintance	s for practical export	stress.
O Excel		O Very Good	⊖ Good	○ Average	O Poor
6. Rate the	offering of	electives in relation to	o technology ad	vancements.	
⊖ Excel		O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
		he courses in terms of	extra learning	on self-learning.	
O Exce		→ Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
		d placement cell in ge	tting ample pla	cement opportunities	•
O.Exce		○ Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
		e and support offered			- Control
			○ Good	O Average	OPoor
@Exce		O Very Good			1115
10. Rate the	institute's	support and contributi	on for the overa	ill development of st	udents.
Any other	er suggesti	on (s):			
-AL	1 facil	Hes are ve	sy 900d		
25350 V 125V		DOD.	00		
		College S		DE.	0.0
	512023	Dr. A. Pt. Bhusha		PRINCIPAL Signs	Vergale.

					2010 17
	Yashwa	intrao Chavan Wa	arana Mahay	vidyalaya, Wara	nanagar.
			uality Assur		JAN WARAMA
		ALUMNI FEED			OF WIREMANAMAN DE
Permanent Ac	M/YYYY) ing:- 2 c idress:- A	Chavan Prangval van Popat Jay 17-9-2000	Departme	ent: Zoology onangle Dis	I - kolhopur
Organization Designation :-	- Na	ime :			ademics Other
Field of Work Higher Education:	ing :- (er Degree	The state of the last of the l	stry Administration Administration Applicable Other	The second secon
Rate the	adequate	ur valuable feedback on. ness of the courses offe			ous / to improve
W Exce	ellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	○ Poor
2. Rate the	sufficien	cy of syllabus current t	o bridge the gap	between academes	and industry.
O Exce	ellent	₩Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
Rate the	curriculu	m in relation to your cu	urrent profession	nal standards.	
O Exce	llent	√O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	○ Poor
 Rate the	skills acq llent	uired from the curricul Very Good	um to face the i	ndustry challenges re Average	equirements. O Poor
5. Rate the	industry l	laboratory and equipme	ent acquaintance	s for practical expor	t stress.
O Exce		O Very Good	1⊖Good	O Average	O Poor
6. Rate the	offering o	of electives in relation t	o technology ad	vancements.	11 2 77 12 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20
Exce	llent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
7. Rate the	design of	the courses in terms of	extra learning of	on self-learning.	2-12-100 v
O Exce	llent	Wery Good	○ Good	O Average	○ Poor
8. Rate the	training a	nd placement cell in ge	tting ample place		Control of the Contro
Exce		O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
9. Rate the	competen	ce and support offered	by the teachers.	A STATE OF THE STA	0.00
DExce		O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	○ Poor
10. Rate the	institute's	support and contribution	on for the overal		
Any othe	r suggesti				SWITCHELD.
***********		gol 3			
Date : 12/05	1022	Dr. A. R. Bhus And Professo	N. C.	The second secon	unavaliz ure of Alumni

Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar,
Internal Quality Assurance Cell

ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

WARMANAGAR

Ю	B (DD/MM/YYYY):				Can sa	
	ar of Passing :- 20			it: Geography		
er	manent Address :- Po	idali Tal Hata	kangale l	Dis - Kolhapur		
Tre la	sent Kajal Far	pe : Self employed	Private Sector 1	2: 876680'52' Public Sector Acad	emics Other	
	the state of the s	me:	Attract Second 1		cities L Jonies	
	signation :-		Present Lo		NAMES OF TAXABLE PARTY.	
_	ld of Working :- C		Reserved to the second		THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAM	
			me of the Program	Applicable Other		
	The second secon	he Institute :				
•	ality of the program	ur valuable feedback on n. ness of the courses offe O Very Good			s / to improve	
			-			
		cy of syllabus current to				
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
3.	Rate the curriculu	m in relation to your cu	rrent profession	al standards.		
	 Excellent 	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
	Rate the skills acc	quired from the curricul Very Good	um to face the i	ndustry challenges rec	quirements. Poor	
	Rate the industry laboratory and equipment acquaintances for practical export stress.					
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
5	O The Control of the	of electives in relation t			376.7.0000	
•			() Good	○ Average	O Poor	
	O Excellent	● Very Good	(Carrier 1975)		OFOOT	
7.	Rate the design of	f the courses in terms of	extra learning	on self-learning.		
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
8.	Rate the training	and placement cell in ge	etting ample pla	cement opportunities.		
	 Excellent 	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
).		nce and support offered	and Server	100		
	 Excellent 	O Very Good	○ Good		O Poor	
10			3			
10	Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.					
	Any other sugges	tion (s):				
	prange hands on trains programme					

Y. C. W. Muhavidyulay, Warananagar

PRINCIPAL

Yashwantrao Chovan Viticana Mahavidyelaye. Wareninger, Ost., Kobisper.

Internal Quality Assurance Cell PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

ame of the Students:	humolwadi. I Dhumal Sasi	ta Atman	Mobile Number:	87670309F 2020-21		
epartment :	thematics.					
uality of the program.	valuable feedback on t		1.00			
 Rate the program the different semesters. Excellent 	nat your ward is undergo	Good Good	Average	O Poor		
	d relevance of the cours	es included in	the semester.			
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
. Rate the quality of	teaching at the institute		1 x	SECRETARIAN SECRET		
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	OPoor		
includes Gender, C Excellent	of the students by the fa Caste, Community creed Very Good	. O Good	O Average	O Poor		
5. Rate the transparer	ncy of the evaluation sy		O Average	OPoor		
O Excellent:	Very Good	○ Good	A STATE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	0		
	that your world has ac	○ Good	O Average	OPoor		
Excellent	Very Good terms of their relevant					
A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		Good	O Average	Poor		
@ Excellent	O Very Good ecilities available at the			wards self-growth		
	Name Good	○ Good	O Average	OPoor		
O Excellent	 Very Good support and contribution 			placements.		
		○ Good	O Average	OPoor		
O Excellent	● Very Good		The second second	-		
	Rate the transformation of your word after in completion of the course.					
Any other sugges	tion (s):					
	Trange Colles		irus intervi	'eu).		

Y. C. W. Mahitvidyalay, Wasanenagar

PRINCIPAL

PRINCIPAL

Yashurakteo Chuses Wartine Mahawidyelaya.

Washurakteo Chuses Wartine Mahawidyelaya.

Internal Quality Assurance Cell PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Nar	ne & occupation of	Parents: Sueesh H	induedo Pa	stil clarely	A VICTOR		
Fat	ner: Sueesh H	indueno Patil	Occ	cupation : Liede L	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T		
	sangita		Oc	cupation: HOUSE	WALE:		
Add	tress. AP Japha	de Jali- Par	ilhala li	es - Kolpakne			
Nar	ne of the Students :	sough sugesh	PdHil	Mobile Number:	8975824099		
Det	partment :Hi	stoey		Year:	2021 - 2022 -		
qua	Please rate you lity of the program	r valuable feedback on	the curriculum				
1.		hat your ward is underg	going in terms o	f the workload of the	e courses in		
	different semesters Excellent		○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
2.	Rate the quality an	d relevance of the cour	ses included in	the semester.			
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
3,	Rate the quality of teaching at the institute.						
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
4.	Excellent	of the students by the laste, Community creed O Very Good	Good Good	Average	O Poor		
5.	Rate the transparency of the evaluation system followed by the institute.						
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor		
6.	Rate the outcomes that your world has achieved from the courses.						
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
7.	Rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest technologies or further technologies?						
	O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor		
8,	Rate the overall facilities available at the institute contributing towards your wards self-growth.						
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
9.	Rate the institute's support and contribution for your ward in getting jobs and placements.						
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
10). Rate the transform	nation of your word aft	er in completion	n of the course.			
		um should		nementary	10		
	competiti	ve examina	ltion				
	*****************	Ansol		X	A) -21		
T	loto : #11061 2422	Dr. A. R. Bhusnar		Sign:	ature of Parents		
L	ane . Tire y	Asst. Professor Department of Zoology	Yashwareno Ch	RINGIPAL Exit Warana Mehavidyeleye, nader Dist Kollegous			

Internal Quality Assurance Cell PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Ad	dress: Al Bal	irewodu Pa	- Warene	nagar	9325361120		
Na	me of the Students :-	micture	Rath	Mobile Number :	2006-07		
De	partment :	-b-bb 6-Bask on	the operiorlum	for review of syllabu	s / to improve		
qua	lity of the program.	varuable feedback on	me curriculan	to teview of symmon	or to improve		
1.	Rate the program tha	t your ward is under	going in terms o	of the workload of the	e courses in		
	different semesters. ○ Excellent	Very Good			○ Poor		
2.	Rate the quality and relevance of the courses included in the semester.						
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
3.	Rate the quality of teaching at the institute.						
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
4,	Rate the treatment o includes Gender, Ca O Excellent	f the students by the ste, Community cree Very Good	faculty irrespected etc. in teachin Good	tive of the backgroung and evaluation. Average	of the student that		
5.	Rate the transparency of the evaluation system followed by the institute.						
	O Excellent		○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
6.	Rate the outcomes that your world has achieved from the courses.						
	O Excellent		○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
7.	Rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest technologies or further technologies?						
	O Excellent			○ Average			
8.	Rate the overall faci	ilities available at the	institute contri	buting towards your	wards self-growth.		
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
9.	Rate the institute's s	support and contribut	ion for your wa	rd in getting jobs and	l placements.		
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
10	Rate the transformation of your word after in completion of the course.						
	Any other suggestion (s):						
	collège take non grant fee from						
	Scholer	SHIP DOLL					
		1 441 866		F-1 1	1 11		

Internal Quality Assurance Cell

PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name & occupation of	Parents:			The state of		
Father: 252029	सदाशिव हायक	957 Oct	cupation:	7		
Mother:	ज्ञाल नामक	arty	cupation:	101		
Address : - Run u	उन्हें ता रिप्त सनम स्डबंदेव	100 F	कोल्हाप्रट			
Name of the Students:	सन्य स्टबंदेव द	जायक वरी	Mobile Number:	3028713143		
Department: 1721/			Tout.	COTI		
	r valuable feedback on	the curriculum	for review of syllabu	is / to improve		
quality of the program.		- in a la tampa o	f the workload of the	e courses in		
 Rate the program to different semesters 	hat your ward is under	going in terms o	I the workload of the	courses in		
© Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
2. Rate the quality an	d relevance of the cour	rses included in	the semester.			
@Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
3. Rate the quality of	teaching at the institut	e.				
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
includes Gender, C Excellent	of the students by the Caste, Community cree O Very Good	d etc. in teachin Good	g and evaluation. Average	of the student that		
5. Rate the transparer	Rate the transparency of the evaluation system followed by the institute.					
@Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
Rate the outcomes	that your world has ac	chieved from the	courses.			
○Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor		
7. Rate the courses in	n terms of their relevan	ice to the latest t	echnologies or furth	er technologies?		
@Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor		
8. Rate the overall fa	cilities available at the	institute contrib	outing towards your	wards self-growth.		
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor		
9. Rate the institute's	s support and contribut	ion for your war	d in getting jobs and	l placements.		
@Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
10. Rate the transform	nation of your word aft	er in completion	of the course.			
Any other sugges	tion (s): The course Sl	nowd be	more perso	nality		
developme	ent oriented					
***************************************	Angs C			0		
	9	A	8	Battan		
Date 12/1/2023		PRIN	CIPAL	ature of Parents		

Warananagar, Diet. Kothuput.