#### Shree Warana Vibhag Shikshan Mandal's,



#### Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

Date:16/01/2023

#### NOTICE

#### Feedback Committee Meeting-I

This is to inform you that a meeting of feedback committee is arranged on 16<sup>th</sup> Jan 2023 at 11:30 am in the meeting hall. Kindly attended the meeting on time.

#### Agenda

- 1. To read and confirm proceedings of last meeting
- 2. Responsibilities of Feedback Committee.
- 3. To discuss on follow-up actions on feedback.
- 4. Any other point with permission of the chairman.

Coordinator

Feedback Committee

OAC coordinator

PRINCIPAL Yashwantirao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya

asnwanaran unavan ryarana manayioyata Warananagar, Dist. Kolhapur

S.N.	Name	Designation	Remark
1.	Dr. P. S. Chikurdekar	I/C Principal and Chairman	NO
2.	Dr. P. M. Bhoje	Crit, I Coordinator	Par
3.	Dr. S. S. Khot	IQAC Coordinator	(30 mm)
4.	Dr. A. R. Bhusnar	Coordinator, Feedback Committee	ANGENTS
5.	Dr. R. B. Patil	Member, Feedback Committee	
6.	Dr. S. S. Jadhav	Member, Feedback Committee	(AN) ELSA
7.	Dr. Mrs. P. S. Ahuja	Member, Feedback Committee	PAIL

#### Shree Warana Vibhag Shikshan Mandal's,

#### Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

Date: 17/01/2023

#### Minutes of the Meeting

A meeting of the feedback committee was held on Monday 16/01/2023 at 11.30 am in meeting hall. Following members of the feedback committee attended the meeting. Dr. P. S. Chikurdekar I/C Principal chaired the meeting.

S.N.	Name	Signature
1	Dr. P. S. Chikurdekar	772
2	Dr. P. M. Bhoje	1
3	Dr. S. S. Khot	( Bisimy)
4	Dr. A. R. Bhusnar	AN SIL
5	Dr. R. B. Patil	
6	Dr. S. S. Jadhav	CINICA
7	Dr. Mrs. P. S. Ahuja	PHE

In his opening remarks he welcomed all the members to the meeting and explained importance of feedback committee in IQAC. Dr.A. R. Bhusnar reviewed the suggestions from various feedbacks of last year. It was decided to collect feedbacks on curriculum from students, parents, alumni, employees and teachers.

Following extra points were discussed during the meeting:

- To introduce skill courses in order to enhance the student skills and practical knowledge for better employment.
- To introduce summer and industry internships, for enabling the students to face field exposure for experience and settlement.

All the decisions taken by feedback committee have to implement by the department to get better result from action taken on feedback analysis.

Coordinator

Feedback Committee



#### Feedback Analysis Report 2022-23

Sr. No.	Name of stakeholder	Total no. of feedback collected	% Responses with Excellent score	% Responses with Very good score	% Responses with Good Score	% Responses showing Average score	% Responses showing Poor score	Imp,Recommendations for improvement by the stakeholders (Minimum 3)
1	Students	79	51.46	34.66	11.87	02	0.33	i) Competitive exam based lectures must be arrange.  ii) Skill full and knowledge oriented courses should start iii) Classroom and lab facility must be improved  iv) e-content should be available for students easily .  vi) Arrange extra activities and improve physics laboratory
2	Faculty	50	49.60	32.44	13.07	4.81	00	i) Lack of required infrastructure ii) skillful component should be enhanced. iii) Provide seprate library for Ph.D students iv) Courses like crab culture ,pearl culture should be start v) industry based syllabus must be needed
3	Alumni	54	46.37	44,12	7.25	2.26	00	i) No. of serial books should be increased ii) Digital classrooms must be created iii) Research lab should be added. iv) Arrange career guidance lectures to students by inviting alumni.
4	Parents	72	48.11	38.84	11	1.92	00	i) College should increase number of computers for students. ii) Military and Police recruitment Academy must be start iii) Create industry based opportunities for students.

It is recommended that the feedback analysis should be discussed in the meeting of all the Head Departments and staff members. The need of Skill full and knowledge oriented courses, e-content for students, Digital classrooms, starting Courses like crab culture, pearl culture, career guidance lectures etc. All these issues should be prioritized and resolved.

Date: 09/06/2023

Coordinator

(2) grov)
1QAC coordinator

PRINCIPAL Yashwatao Chara Harara Mahardya... Wara**ppaga**i Part Kolhacur

History

### Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

#### Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23 STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department:	Historry	Academic	Year: 2022-	23
Program Name:	R.A.	Year / Sen	nester: III (S	ers .V, A)
Name of the Students	: Aniket Babase	Pa Roll Num	ber: 3632	
Please rate you quality of the program	r valuable feedback on	the curriculum fo	or review of syllabus	/ to improve
1. Rate how challeng	ing was the syllabus off	fered by the cour	ses.	
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
2. Rate the appropriat	teness of the sequence of	of the courses pro	ovided in the curricul	um.
© Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
3. Rate the depth of the	he syllabus of the cours	es in relation to	the competencies exp	pected by the
industry / current g	lobal scenarios.			
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	OPoor
4. Rate the sequence	of the units / modules in	n the courses.		
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
5. Rate the adequaten	ess of the textbooks an	d reference boo	ks mentioned above	for the courses.
© Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
6. Rate the syllabus c	ontent of the courses in	terms of burde	n in students.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
7. Rate the design of	the courses in terms of	extra learning of	or self-learning.	
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
8. Rate the flexibility	in choosing the electiv	es in relation to	technology advance	ements.
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
9. Rate the percentage	e of the courses offerin	g lab compone	nts.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	@ Good	○ Average	O Poor
10. Rate the composition	on of the courses in ter	rms of Basic sc	ience, Engineering s	science, Humanities
	scipline elective, open			
O Excellent	Very Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor
Any other suggestion (				
iny outer suggestion (				
				A.B. Palil
Date: 16/04/23	STATE OF THE PARTY		Sig	mature of Student

Date: 16 104/ 23

Department: History	Academic Year: 2012:13			
Program Name: 3.A.	Year / Semester : III (	sen. V,VI)		
Name of the Students: Sunil Gousan Mosc	Roll Number: 3630			
Please rate your valuable feedback on th quality of the program.	e curriculum for review of sylla	bus / to improve		
1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offer	red by the courses.	Poor		
Fxcellent Very Good	O Good O Average			
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of	the courses provided in the curr	Poor		
O Freedlant Very Good	Good Average	0.00		
3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses	s in relation to the competencies	s expected by the		
industry / current global scenarios.		Poor		
Excellent O Very Good	○ Good ○ Average	01001		
4. Rate the sequence of the units / modules in	the courses.	○ Poor		
Carrellant Very Good	Good Average			
5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and	reference books mentioned abo	ove for the courses.		
O Excellent	Good Average	O Poor		
6. Rate the syllabus content of the courses in	terms of burden in students.			
O Excellent O Very Good	● Good ○ Average	O Poor		
7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of e	extra learning or self-learning.			
O Excellent	○ Good ○ Average	O Poor		
8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the elective	es in relation to technology adv	rancements.		
○ Excellent ○ Very Good	Good O Average	O Poor		
9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering	lab components.			
Excellent Very Good	● Good	O Poor		
10. Rate the composition of the courses in term	ns of Basic science, Engineeri	ng science, Humani		
Discipline core, Discipline elective, open e				
	○ Good ○ Average	OPoor		
	O Good O Average	<b>O1001</b>		
Any other suggestion (s):				
Date: 16/04/23		Signature of Studen		

Department:	Pathematics	Academic	Year: 2022	-23
Program Name:		Year / Ser		THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE
	M.Sc-III ts: Pisal suchita	Roll Num	The same of the sa	
	our valuable feedback on		or review of syllabus	:/to improve
1. Rate how challen	ging was the syllabus off	ered by the cou	rses.	
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	OPoor
2. Rate the appropri	ateness of the sequence of	of the courses pr	ovided in the curricu	ılum.
O Excellent	Wery Good	O Good	O Average	Oron
3. Rate the depth of	the syllabus of the cours	es in relation to	the competencies ex	spected by the
industry / current				
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
4. Rate the sequence	e of the units / modules i	n the courses.		O Peer
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	OPoor
5. Rate the adequate	eness of the textbooks an	d reference boo	oks mentioned above	for the courses.
O Excellent	Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
6. Rate the syllabus	content of the courses in	n terms of burde	en in students.	
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
7. Rate the design of	f the courses in terms of	extra learning	or self-learning.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	<b>⊚</b> Good	O Average	O Poor
8. Rate the flexibility	y in choosing the electiv	ves in relation t	o technology advan	cements.
O Excellent	O Very Good	<b>⊚</b> Good	○ Average	OPoor
	ge of the courses offerin	ng lab compone	ents.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	<b>Good</b>	○ Average	O Poor
O Data the composit	tion of the courses in te			science, Human
	Discipline elective, oper			
		Ciccario, pas		
Any other suggestion	(s):			
				Episat
Date: / /			Si	gnature of Stude
	的地位的自己的自然的自然的		ENC.	

### Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: Mathematics	Academic Year:	2022-23
Program Name: M.SC	Year / Semester :	2023
Name of the Students: Purva Ingawale	Roll Number:	1354
Please rate your valuable feedback on the quality of the program.	curriculum for review	of syllabus / to improve
1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered	by the courses.	
	Good O Aver	rage O Poor
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of th	e courses provided in t	he curriculum.
	Good O Aver	~ -
3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in	relation to the compe	tencies expected by the
industry / current global scenarios.		
Excellent	Good Ave	rage O Poor
4. Rate the sequence of the units / modules in the	e courses.	
- Enternetti	Good Ave	
5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and re	ference books mention	ned above for the courses.
○ Excellent	○ Good ○ Ave	erage O Poor
6. Rate the syllabus content of the courses in ter	ms of burden in stude	nts.
	○ Good ○ Ave	$\sim$ $\sim$
7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of ext	ra learning or self-lear	ming.
O Excellent	○ Good ○ Av	erage O Poor
8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives i	n relation to technolo	gy advancements.
	○ Good ○ Av	$\sim$
9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering la	b components.	
	○ Good ○ A	verage O Poor
10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms	of Basic science, Eng	gineering science, Humanitie
Discipline core, Discipline elective, open ele	ctive, project etc.?	
		verage O Poor
O Limited in the control of the cont		
Any other suggestion (s):		
		Piens
Date: / /		Signature of Student

Department: 200)0			RRICULUM		
Program Name: B.5	37	Academic Y			
Name of the Students: - Sc	ć.	Year / Semester: V / S			
	states secretar	Roll Numb	3041.		
Please rate your value quality of the program.	able feedback on the	curriculum for	r review of syllabus /	to improve	
1. Rate how challenging wa	s the syllabus offere	d by the cours	ac		
O Excellent	· · ·		Average	OPoor	
2. Rate the appropriateness			vided in the curriculus		
			O Average	○ Poor	
3. Rate the depth of the sylla	abus of the courses i			ected by the	
industry / current global s	cenarios.				
O Excellent O	Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor	
4. Rate the sequence of the	units / modules in th	e courses.			
O Excellent 🔾	Wery Good	Good	O Average	O Poor	
5. Rate the adequateness of	the textbooks and re	ference books	s mentioned above fo	r the courses.	
O Excellent O	Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor	
6. Rate the syllabus content	of the courses in ter	ms of burden	in students.		
O Excellent O	Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor	
7. Rate the design of the cou	rses in terms of extr	ra learning or	self-learning.		
O Excellent O	Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor	
8. Rate the flexibility in cho	osing the electives i	n relation to t	echnology advancen	nents.	
O Excellent Q	Wery Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
9. Rate the percentage of the	courses offering la	b components	s.		
○ Excellent Q	Wery Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
10. Rate the composition of th	ne courses in terms	of Basic scien	nce, Engineering sci	ence, Humanities,	
Discipline core, Discipline					
			○ Average	O Poor	
S	killful Kh	owlege	CON-81.	e will be	
		U			
~~	<u>~ `</u>			. ce.	
Date: 124124-2023			Sor Signa	gruk SC ture of Student	

Pro	ogram Name: Record	Academic	Year: 2022-	23
Na	me of the St. B. Sc. III	Year / Sen		ROLL STREET, S
000	ame of the Students: Miss. H.4. Katkar	Roll Num		Para Supra de la companya della companya della companya de la companya della comp
	Please rate your valuable feedback on the ality of the program.		or review of syllabus	/ to improve
1.	Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered	d by the cour	ses.	
	OF 11	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
2.				um.
		○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
3.	Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in	n relation to	the competencies exp	pected by the
	industry / current global scenarios.			
	Excellent O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
4.	Rate the sequence of the units / modules in th	ie courses.		
	N 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
5.	Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and re	eference boo	ks mentioned above	
			O Average	O Poor
6.	Rate the syllabus content of the courses in ter	rms of burde	en in students.	
	Excellent O	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
7.	Rate the design of the courses in terms of ext	tra learning o	or self-learning.	
	Excellent	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
8.	Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives	in relation to	technology advance	ements.
	Excellent	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
9.	Rate the percentage of the courses offering la	ab compone	nts.	
	O Excellent	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
10	. Rate the composition of the courses in terms	of Basic sc	ience, Engineering s	science, Humanitie
	Discipline core, Discipline elective, open ele	ective, proje	ect etc.?	
	O Excellent Very Good			○ Poor
A-	O Execution			
All	Arrange	comp	Pative exar	n guidane
	Pengen m.			
	Program.			
				hunsters
Da	ate:30/05/2023		Sig	nature of Student

De	partment: Zoo	1094	Academic	Year: 2022	.23		
Pr	ogram Name : B.	COTT		Year/Semester: 2022-23			
Na	me of the Students	: Miss. T. R. Borgan	Roll Numl	Roll Number: 3921			
		valuable feedback on th			is / to improve		
1.	Rate how challenging	ng was the syllabus offer	red by the cour	ses.			
	Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	OPoor		
2.	Rate the appropriate	eness of the sequence of	the courses pr	ovided in the curric	culum.		
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor		
3.	Rate the depth of th	e syllabus of the course	s in relation to	the competencies	expected by the		
	industry / current gl						
	○ Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	OPoor		
4.	Rate the sequence of	of the units / modules in	the courses.		Poor		
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average			
5.	Rate the adequatene	ess of the textbooks and	reference boo	ks mentioned abo	Poor		
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	Oron		
6.	Rate the syllabus co	ontent of the courses in	terms of burde	en in students.	Poor		
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	01001		
7.	Rate the design of t	he courses in terms of	extra learning	or self-learning.	O Poor		
	A Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor		
8	Rate the flexibility	in choosing the elective	es in relation t	o technology adva	ancements.		
Ů.	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor		
0	Date the percentage	of the courses offering	g lab compone	ents.			
	- Franklant	O Very Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor		
	Excellent Participation	on of the courses in ter	ms of Basic so	cience, Engineerin	ng science, Humanities,		
10.	Rate the composition	scipline elective, open	elective, proj	ect etc.?			
			○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
	O Excellent	Very Good	0 0000				
An	y other suggestion (	s): Arrang	Calt	ise Isog	camme.		
Da	te:30/05/2023				Toporyus Signature of Student		

coculty

Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

## Internal Quality Assurance Cell STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: Physics	Academic Year :	2022-23
Program Name: B. Sc III	Year / Semester :	2022-23
Name of the Students: Chavan Sanika Ask	Roll Number:	3727

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the program. 1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses. O Poor O Average Very Good O Good 2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum. OPoor O Excellent O Very Good @Good O Average 3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by the industry / current global scenarios. O Poor O Excellent O Good O Very Good 4. Rate the sequence of the units / modules in the courses. O Poor O Average O Excellent O Good Very Good 5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned above for the courses. O Poor O Average @ Excellent O Good O Very Good 6. Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of burden in students. O Poor O Average @ Good O Excellent O Very Good 7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning. O Poor O Average O Good O'Very Good O Excellent 8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements. O Poor Good O Average O Very Good O Excellent 9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering lab components. O Poor O Average Very Good O Good O Excellent 10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, open elective, project etc.? Any other suggestion (s):

Arrenge the any other cictivities

Date: 13/01/2023

Signature of Student



Department:	Physics	Academi	c Year: 202	2 - 23
Program Name:	B.Sc JII			2-23
Name of the Stud	ents: Mohite Mayuzishivaj	Roll Nur	mber: 3732_	
	your valuable feedback on the			ous / to improve
1. Rate how chall	enging was the syllabus offered	d by the cou	urses.	
O Excellent		Good	O Average	OPoor
2. Rate the approp	oriateness of the sequence of th	e courses p	rovided in the curr	iculum.  Poor
O Excellent	○ Very Good	Good	O Average	
3. Rate the depth	of the syllabus of the courses i	n relation to	o the competencies	expected by the
	nt global scenarios.			Poor
O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good		01001
4. Rate the sequer	nce of the units / modules in th	e courses.		O Poor
O Excellent	○Very Good     ○    ○	○ Good	O Average	
5. Rate the adequa	ateness of the textbooks and re	ference bo	oks mentioned abo	ove for the courses.
		Good	O Average	O Poor
6. Rate the syllabi	as content of the courses in ter	ms of burd	en in students.	
O Excellent		○ Good	O Average	O Poor
7. Rate the design	of the courses in terms of ext	ra learning	or self-learning.	
O Excellent		Good	○ Average	O Poor
	lity in choosing the electives	in relation	to technology adv	ancements.
© Excellent		Good	○ Average	O Poor
	tage of the courses offering la	b compon	ents.	
	○ Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
O Excellent	sition of the courses in terms			no science Humanities
				ing serence, 2
Discipline core,	Discipline elective, open ele	ctive, proj	ect etc. ?	
any other suggestion	on (s):			
Improven	sent of sk	ill de	evelopme	nts & other
everopmen	it of physics	Labor	earozy	
				,
ate: 13/01/2023				Signature of Student



months BSC

# Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

Department: Mathemath	Academic Year :	2022-2	3				
Trogram Name: R. Se.	Year / Semester :		(IaI)				
Name of the Students: Nr. 11. Thursel	Roll Number :	2243					
Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the program.							
1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offere	d by the courses.						
An "	○ Good ○ Av	erage (	) Poor				
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the	ne courses provided in	the curriculum.					
	○ Good ○ Ave	o.u.b.	) Poor				
3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses i	n relation to the comp	etencies expecte	d by the				
industry / current global scenarios.							
O Excellent	○ Good ○ Av	erage (	) Poor				
4. Rate the sequence of the units / modules in th	e courses.						
	○ Good ○ Av		) Poor				
5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and re	ference books mentio						
© Excellent	○ Good ○ Av	erage	O Poor				
5. Rate the syllabus content of the courses in ter	ms of burden in stude	ents.					
○ Excellent	Good Av	erage	O Poor				
7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extr	a learning or self-lear	rning.					
○ Excellent	Good O Av	erage	O Poor				
Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in	n relation to technolo	gy advancement	ts.				
Excellent	Good O Av	erage	O Poor				
. Rate the percentage of the courses offering lal	b components.						
○ Excellent	Good O Av	erage	O Poor				
0. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of	of Basic science, Eng	ineering science	Humanities,				
Discipline core, Discipline elective, open elec	tive, project etc.?						
○ Excellent	Good O Av	erage	○ Poor				
ny other suggestion (s):							
ate:040113		Que	?				
		Signature	of Student				

Department: Mathematics		Academi	Academic Year: 2012-113			
Program Name: B&c.		Year / Se	emester: 2022-v	3(世》图)		
	ts: Mr. Keden Sunil	Roll Number: 2151				
	our valuable feedback on the	curriculum	for review of syllabu	s / to improve		
1. Rate how challen	iging was the syllabus offere	ed by the cou	irses.			
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor		
2. Rate the appropri	ateness of the sequence of t	he courses p	rovided in the curricu	ilum.		
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor		
3. Rate the depth of	the syllabus of the courses	in relation to	the competencies ex	spected by the		
industry / current						
O Excellent	Nery Good	○ Good	○ Average	OPoor		
4. Rate the sequence	e of the units / modules in the	ne courses.				
Excellent		○ Good	O Average	O Poor		
5. Rate the adequate	ness of the textbooks and r	eference boo	oks mentioned above	for the courses.		
○ Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor		
6. Rate the syllabus	content of the courses in te	rms of burde	en in students.			
O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
7. Rate the design of	the courses in terms of ext	ra learning	or self-learning.			
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
Rate the flexibility	in choosing the electives	in relation to	o technology advance	ements.		
○ Excellent	Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor		
	e of the courses offering la	ab compone	nts.			
© Excellent	Nery Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
	on of the courses in terms			science Humanities.		
	iscipline elective, open ele					
				O Pross		
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
ny other suggestion	(s):					
ate: 104 /02/23			Ç.	Mile and the student		
			Sigi	lature of Student		

Botony

### Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

KONCORUL
Academic Year: 2022-23
Year/Semester: Sem I
Roll Number: 2465

Excellent  Rate the depth of the	O Very Good		ovided in the curric	O Poor
Excellent  Rate the depth of the		/ \ / \ cood	ovided in the curric	ulum.
Excellent  Rate the depth of the		/ \ / \ cood		O Poor
Rate the depth of the	e syllabus of the cours		( ) CIVIUM	
1 1 to / imment of		ses in relation to	the competencies e	xpecia-
industry / current gi	obal scenarios.			OPoor
○ Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good		
Rate the sequence o	of the units / modules i	in the courses.	G 1	O Poor
	The second of th	O Good	O Average	e for the courses.
Excellent  Rate the adequatene	ess of the textbooks ar	nd reference boo	oks mentioned abov	O Poor
O Excellent	Very Good	0 0000		
. Rate the syllabus co	ontent of the courses i	n terms of burde	en in students.	O Poor
O Excellent	O Very Good	Ø Good	O Avelage	
. Rate the design of the	he courses in terms o	f extra learning	or self-learning.	○ Poor
~= 11 ·	O Very Good	() Good	O Average	
Excellent  Rate the flexibility	in choosing the electi	ives in relation t	o technology adva	ncements.
O Excellent		○ Good	O Average	O Poor
. Rate the percentage		ng lab compone	ents.	
	O Van Good	() Good	O Average	O Poor
<ul><li></li></ul>	of the courses in to	erms of Basic so	cience, Engineerin	g science, Humanitie
). Rate the composition	scipline elective, ope	n elective, proj	ect etc.?	
		⊕ Good	O Average	○ Poor
O Excellent	O Very Good	(C) C000		
ny other suggestion (s	s):			
				anke Har
eate:22/5/2023				D.D.Kumbhan Signature of Student

### Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23 STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: Bot		Academic	Year: 2022-2	3
Program Name:	3.Sc I	Year / Sen	nester: Sem I	
Name of the Studen	its: Dryaneshwari De	ePak Roll Num!	ber: 2487	
Please rate ye quality of the progra	our valuable feedback on	the curriculum fo	or review of syllabus	/ to improve
1. Rate how challer	nging was the syllabus of	fered by the cour	ses.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	⊗ Good	○ Average	O Poor
2. Rate the appropr	iateness of the sequence	of the courses pr	ovided in the curricu	lum.
O Excellent	○ Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
3. Rate the depth of	f the syllabus of the cours	ses in relation to	the competencies ex	pected by the
	t global scenarios.			
O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good		O Poor
4. Rate the sequence	e of the units / modules	in the courses.		Poor
O Excellent		○ Good	O Average	
5. Rate the adequat	eness of the textbooks ar	nd reference boo	ks mentioned above	Poor
O Excellent	○ Very Good	○ Good	( Average	OFOOL
6. Rate the syllabus	content of the courses i	n terms of burde	n in students.	O Poor
O Excellent	O Very Good	⊘ Good	O Average	O Poor
7. Rate the design of	of the courses in terms of	f extra learning	or self-learning.	O Prose
O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
R. Rate the flexibili	ty in choosing the electi	ves in relation to	technology advan	cements.
O Excellent		○ Good	O Average	O Poor
	age of the courses offeri	ng lab compone	nts.	
O Exacilent	O Very Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor
Excellent	ition of the courses in te	rms of Basic sc	ience, Engineering	science, Humanities
10. Rate the composi	Discipline elective, oper	elective, proje	ect etc. ?	
	Very Good	Ø Good	○ Average	○ Poor
O Excellent		( ) G000		
Any other suggestion	1 (s):			
				Drumphar

Date: 045/2023

Signature of Student

Department: Ch	emistry	Academic	Year: 20	12-23	
Program Name :	Year / Semester :				
Name of the Students	: Sanika, F. Jadhav	Roll Num	ber: 3	785	
	r valuable feedback on the o		40 55 TO THE REAL PROPERTY.	yllabus / to imp	rove
1. Rate how challengi	ng was the syllabus offered	by the cour	ses.		
<b>⊘</b> Excellent		Good	O Average	O P	oor
2. Rate the appropriate	eness of the sequence of the	e courses pro	ovided in the		
<b>⊘</b> Excellent		Good	O Average		oor
3. Rate the depth of th	e syllabus of the courses in	relation to	the competent	cies expected by	y the
industry / current gl					
O Excellent		) Good	O Average	OI	Poor
4. Rate the sequence of	f the units / modules in the	courses.			
O Excellent		Good	O Average	. 01	Poor
5. Rate the adequatene	ess of the textbooks and ref	erence book	s mentioned	above for the co	ourses.
⊗ Excellent		Good	O Average		Poor
. Rate the syllabus co	ntent of the courses in tern	ns of burden	in students.		
Ø Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	• 0	Poor
. Rate the design of th	ne courses in terms of extra	a learning or	self-learning		
O Excellent	Very Good	Good	O Average	e O	Poor
. Rate the flexibility in	n choosing the electives in	relation to	technology a	dvancements.	
⊗ Excellent		Good	O Averag	-	Poor
Rate the percentage	of the courses offering lab	component	s.		
O Excellent		Good	O Averag	e O	Poor
	of the courses in terms of	f Basic scie	nce, Enginee	ring science, H	Iumanities
	ipline elective, open elect				
	1	Good	O Averag	re C	) Poor
O Excellent		) G000	O Average		71 001
ny other suggestion (s)					
					No.
				&F.Jaelhe	v _
ate:1814/2023				Signature of	

### **Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23**

#### STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: Ch	emistry	Academic	Year:	022-23
Program Name :	Year / Semester :			
Name of the Students :	Pafil Harshada . B.	Roll Numb	er: 38	26
Please rate your quality of the program.	valuable feedback on the c	urriculum fo	or review of sy	llabus / to improve
1. Rate how challenging	g was the syllabus offered	by the cours	ses.	
Ø Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	OPoor
2. Rate the appropriater	ness of the sequence of the	courses pro	vided in the co	urriculum.
Excellent			O Average	O Poor
3. Rate the depth of the	syllabus of the courses in	relation to t	he competenc	ies expected by the
industry / current glo	bal scenarios.			
O Excellent		Good	O Average	O Poor
4. Rate the sequence of	the units / modules in the	courses.		
○ Excellent	•	Good	O Average	O Poor
5. Rate the adequatenes	s of the textbooks and ref	erence book	s mentioned a	bove for the courses.
⊗Excellent		Good	O Average	
6. Rate the syllabus con	tent of the courses in tern	ns of burden	in students.	
O Excellent	Very Good (	Good	O Average	O Poor
7. Rate the design of the	courses in terms of extra	a learning or	self-learning.	
O Excellent	Very Good (	Good	O Average	Poor
Rate the flexibility in	choosing the electives in	relation to	technology ad	ivancements.
⊗ Excellent		Good	O Average	
. Rate the percentage o	f the courses offering lab	component	s.	
O Excellent	Very Good (		○ Average	e O Poor
			nce, Engineer	ring science, Humanities,
	pline elective, open elect			
		Good	O Averag	e O Poor
Excellent		J G000	Onveilag	0.000
ny other suggestion (s):				
				Datidho
ate:15/4/2028				Signature of Student
and 113 1 7 1				

310chemion"

### Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

T.	Department: Biochemistry	Academic Year: 2022/728
-	Program Name: BSC	Year / Semester: gen -V
No.		Roll Number: 3606
	Please rate your valuable feedback on the country of the program.  1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered Excellent O Very Good O Excellent O Very Good	Roll Number: 3606  urriculum for review of syllabus / to improve  by the courses.  Good O Average O Poor  courses provided in the curriculum.  Good O Average O Poor  relation to the competencies expected by the  Good O Average O Poor  courses.  Good O Average O Poor  erence books mentioned above for the courses.  Good O Average O Poor  as of burden in students.  Good O Average O Poor  a learning or self-learning.  Good O Average O Poor
)	8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in	relation to technology advancements.
	Excellent O Very Good	Good O Average
		Good Average From Signature of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities,
	Date: 10/11/23	**  **  **  **  **  **  **  **  **  **

No. of the last of	Academic Year: TF:
Department: Biochemistry	Year/Semester: 1
Program Name: BSC.	Roll Number: 3139
Name of the Students: Jadhav Nikita	
Please rate your valuable feedback on the couplity of the program.  1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered	courses provided in the curriculum.  Good O Average Poor relation to the competencies expected by the  Good O Average O Poor courses:  Good O Average O Poor ference books mentioned above for the courses.  Good O Average O Poor ms of burden in students.  Good O Average O Poor a learning or self-learning.  Good O Average O Poor relation to technology advancements.  Good O Average O Poor b components.  Good O Average O Poor b components.  Good O Average O Poor b components.
	Signature of Student
Date: 8/11/2022.	Signature of Student

Department : Ecol	nomicus	Academic	Year: 2022	2.23
Program Name : 6.		Year / Sen	nester: VI	
Name of the Students	: Samikshu B. Dala	Roll Num	ber: 3701.	
	valuable feedback on the			ous / to improve
1. Rate how challenging	ng was the syllabus offere	ed by the cour	ses.	OPoor
● Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	O Average	
2. Rate the appropriate	eness of the sequence of	the courses pr	ovided in the curr	Poor
■ Farallant	O Van Good	Good	O Average	
3. Rate the depth of th	e syllabus of the courses	in relation to	the competencies	expected by an
industry / current gl				○ Poor
<ul><li>Excellent</li></ul>	O Very Good	O Good	O Average	Oros
4. Rate the sequence of	of the units / modules in t	the courses.		○ Poor
Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	O Average	
5. Rate the adequatene	ess of the textbooks and	reference boo	ks mentioned abo	Poor
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O FOOI
6. Rate the syllabus co	ontent of the courses in t	erms of burde	en in students.	
Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor
7. Rate the design of t	he courses in terms of e	xtra learning	or self-learning.	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
8. Rate the flexibility	in choosing the elective	s in relation t	o technology adv	ancements.
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
9. Rate the percentage		lab compone	ents.	
	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
Excellent	- Est a courses in term			ng science, Humanities,
10. Rate the composition	on of the courses in term	lective proj	ect etc. ?	
	scipline elective, open e			Poor
Excellent Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	0.100.
Any other suggestion (	s): Curriculur	n Shoul	d be redu	ned a little.
Date: 199/04/2023				Signature of Student

	epartment :	Economics	Academic Y	'ear: 20	022-23
125.5	rogram Name		Year / Seme		
N	ame of the Stu	dents: Yasmin G. Mulani	Roll Number		
		e your valuable feedback on the	curriculum for	review of syl	labus / to improve
1.	Rate how cha	llenging was the syllabus offered	by the course	es.	
	Excellent	O Very Good (	Good	O Average	O Poor
2.	Rate the appro	opriateness of the sequence of the	e courses prov	vided in the cu	
	Excellent			O Average	O Poor
3.	Rate the depth	h of the syllabus of the courses in	relation to th	ne competenci	es expected by the
	industry / curi	rent global scenarios.			
	Excellent	O Very Good (	) Good	O Average	O Poor
4.	Rate the seque	ence of the units / modules in the	e courses.		
	Excellent	0 , 1 , 2 , 3 , 1	Good	O Average	O Poor
5.	Rate the adeq	uateness of the textbooks and re	ference books	s mentioned a	bove for the courses.
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	OPoor
6.	Rate the sylla	bus content of the courses in terr	ms of burden	in students.	
	Excellent	○ Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
7.	Rate the desig	n of the courses in terms of extr	a learning or	self-learning	
	Excellent		Good	O Average	
8.	Rate the flexil	bility in choosing the electives i	n relation to t	technology ac	lvancements.
	Excellent		Good	O Average	
9.	Rate the perce	entage of the courses offering la	b component	s.	
	Excellent		○ Good	O Averag	e O Poor
10	Rate the comp	osition of the courses in terms	of Basic scie	nce, Enginee	ring science, Humanities,
10.	Discipline cor	e, Discipline elective, open elec	ctive, projec	t etc.?	
	Excellent			O Averag	ge O Poor
An	y other suggest	non (s) .			
Dat	te:/9/04/202	3			Signature of Student

Department: Hir	ndi	Academie	Year: 2022-	23	
	I. A	The second secon	Year / Semester: B. A III		
Name of the Students	: Akshta Jaywant	Power Roll Nun	iber: 3590		
	valuable feedback on			; / to improve	
1. Rate how challenging	ng was the syllabus of	fered by the cou	rses.		
	O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor	
2. Rate the appropriate	eness of the sequence	of the courses p	rovided in the curricu	llum.	
○ Excellent	○ Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
3. Rate the depth of the	e syllabus of the cours	ses in relation to	the competencies ex	pected by the	
industry / current glo					
O Excellent	O Very Good	<b>⊘</b> Good	O Average	O Poor	
4. Rate the sequence of	f the units / modules i	in the courses.			
O Excellent		○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
5. Rate the adequatene	ss of the textbooks an	d reference boo	ks mentioned above	for the courses.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	@ Good	O Average	O Poor	
6. Rate the syllabus con	ntent of the courses in	n terms of burde	en in students.		
@'Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
7. Rate the design of th	e courses in terms of	extra learning	or self-learning.		
○ Excellent	♥Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
8. Rate the flexibility in	n choosing the electiv	ves in relation to	technology advance	ements.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	@ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
9. Rate the percentage	of the courses offerin	g lab compone	nts.		
O Excellent	(D'Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
10. Rate the composition				science, Humanities,	
Discipline core, Disc					
		○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
@Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	O Average	Orool	
Any other suggestion (s)					
Date: 5 /03/2022			Sign	AJP nature of Student	

Program Name: B.A		Academi	Year/Semester: B.A III		
		Year / Se			
Na	Name of the Students: Rushikesh. M. Lokande				
qu	Please rate you ality of the program	ur valuable feedback on the	curriculum	for review of syllabus	s / to improve
1.	Rate how challeng	ging was the syllabus offere	d by the cou	rses.	
	⊗ Excellent		○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
2.	Rate the appropria	ateness of the sequence of t	ne courses p	rovided in the curricu	lum.
	O Excellent		○ Good	O Average	O Poor
3.	Rate the depth of	the syllabus of the courses	in relation to	the competencies ex	pected by the
	industry / current	global scenarios.			
		O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
4.	Rate the sequence	e of the units / modules in the	ne courses.		
	○ Excellent	✓ Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
5.	Rate the adequate	ness of the textbooks and re	eference boo	ks mentioned above	for the courses.
	⊗ Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
6.	Rate the syllabus	content of the courses in te	rms of burde	en in students.	
	O Excellent	✓ Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
7.	Rate the design of	the courses in terms of ex	ra learning	or self-learning.	
	⊗ Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
8.	Rate the flexibility	y in choosing the electives	in relation t	o technology advance	ements.
	O Excellent		○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
9.	Rate the percentag	ge of the courses offering la	ab compone	nts.	
	⊗ Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
10.		ion of the courses in terms	of Basic sc	ience, Engineering	science, Humanities,
		iscipline elective, open ele			
	O Excellent	⊗ Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
1 m	y other suggestion				
YII,	y omer suggestion	ω,			
	-10 -00				
_(	yer au	very good.			
at	e: 85/03/2023			Sig	nature of Student

Morrathi

# Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

	partment: Mo	arathi	Academic	Year: 2022	.23
Program Name: B.A		Year/Semester: 🔀 🕅			
Na	me of the Studen	ts: Gosavi Rohini	Bald Num	ber: 3553	
		our valuable feedback on		for review of syllabus	s / to improve
1.	Rate how challen	iging was the syllabus off	ered by the cou	rses.	
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	OPoor
2.	Rate the appropri	iateness of the sequence of	of the courses pr	ovided in the curricu	ilum.
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	OPoor
3.	Rate the depth of	the syllabus of the cours	es in relation to	the competencies ex	spected by the
		global scenarios.			
	Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
4.	Rate the sequence	e of the units / modules i	n the courses.		
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	OPoor
5.	Rate the adequate	eness of the textbooks an	d reference boo	oks mentioned above	for the courses.
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
6.	Rate the syllabus	content of the courses in	n terms of burd	en in students.	
	O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	Poor
7.	Rate the design of	of the courses in terms of	extra learning	or self-learning.	
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
8.	Rate the flexibili	ty in choosing the electiv	ves in relation t	o technology advan	cements.
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
9.		age of the courses offerin	ng lab compone	ents.	
	O Evcellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
10	Date the compos	ition of the courses in te	rms of Basic so	cience, Engineering	science, Humanities,
10.	Discipline core	Discipline elective, oper	elective, proj	ect etc.?	
		Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
	O Excellent				
An	y other suggestio	n (s):			
-				No.	guard's
Da	ite: / /			Si	gnature of Student

Department: Mo	iruthi	Academic	: Year: 2022. V	027	
Program Name:	B. A		Year / Semester: 又 & 又		
Name of the Studen	its: Jacker Digmbos				
	our valuable feedback on	0		to improve	
1. Rate how challer	nging was the syllabus of	fered by the cou	rses.		
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
2. Rate the appropr	riateness of the sequence	of the courses p	rovided in the curricul	um.	
O Excellent	<ul><li>Very Good</li></ul>	Good	O Average	O Poor	
3. Rate the depth of	f the syllabus of the cours	ses in relation to	the competencies exp	pected by the	
	t global scenarios.				
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
4. Rate the sequence	ce of the units / modules i	in the courses.		O.P	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	OPoor	
5. Rate the adequat	teness of the textbooks ar	nd reference boo	oks mentioned above	for the courses.	
<ul><li>Excellent</li></ul>	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
6. Rate the syllabus	s content of the courses i	n terms of burde			
○ Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	Poor	
7. Rate the design of	of the courses in terms of	f extra learning	or self-learning.		
O Excellent	<ul><li>Very Good</li></ul>	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
8. Rate the flexibili	ity in choosing the electi	ves in relation t	o technology advance	ements.	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
9. Rate the percent	age of the courses offerin	ng lab compone	ents.		
O Excellent	● Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
	ition of the courses in te	rms of Basic so	eience, Engineering s	cience, Humanities	
Discipline core.	Discipline elective, oper	n elective, proj	ect etc. ?		
● Excellent	O Very Good	Charles and the contract of th		O Poor	
Any other suggestion					
Any onici suggestio	. (-)				
***************************************				. 1	
			T	bjon	
Date: / /			Sign	nature of Student	

English

### Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

#### Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23 STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: F			Year: 2022-2	3
Program N	glish			
Program Name :	B.A.III	Year / Se		
Name of the Studen	its: Gaikwad Sub	hash Roll Num	iber: 3601	
Please rate yo quality of the progra	our valuable feedback on m.	the curriculum	for review of syllabus	/ to improve
1. Rate how challer	iging was the syllabus of	ffered by the cou	rses.	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
2. Rate the appropri	iateness of the sequence	of the courses p	rovided in the curricu	lum.
Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	O Average	0.100
3. Rate the depth of	the syllabus of the cour	ses in relation to	the competencies ex	pected by the
industry / current				○ Poor
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	OFOOI
4. Rate the sequence	e of the units / modules	in the courses.		O Peop
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	OPoor
5. Rate the adequate	eness of the textbooks ar	nd reference boo	oks mentioned above	for the courses.
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
6. Rate the syllabus	content of the courses i	n terms of burd	en in students.	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
7. Rate the design of	f the courses in terms o	f extra learning	or self-learning.	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the flexibility	y in choosing the electi	ves in relation t	o technology advance	cements.
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
	ge of the courses offerin	ng lab compone	ents.	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
O Bata the composit	ion of the courses in te	rms of Basic so	ience, Engineering	science, Humanities
	iscipline elective, oper			
			O Average	O Poor
Excellent		Caooa	Onverage	
any other suggestion	(s):			
			0.	Jaikung of Chalant

Date: 2/3/2023

Signature of Student

Department : E	nglish	Academic		
Program Name :	9 · A - TIT	Year / Sei		
Name of the Studen	ts: Mayan B. Sung	Parent Roll Num	ber: 3609	
Please rate yo quality of the program	ur valuable feedback on	the curriculum t	or review of syllabus	/ to improve
1. Rate how challeng	ging was the syllabus off	fered by the cou	rses.	Poor
Evcellent	O Very Good	O Good	O Average	
2. Rate the appropria	ateness of the sequence of	of the courses pr	ovided in the curricu	Poor
A. 11	O Vam Good	Good	() Average	
3. Rate the depth of	the syllabus of the cours	es in relation to	the competencies ex	pected by
industry / current	global scenarios.			O Poor
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	0.00
4. Rate the sequence	of the units / modules in	n the courses.		Poor
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	
5. Rate the adequater	ness of the textbooks an	d reference boo	ks mentioned above	Tor the courses.
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
. Rate the syllabus of	content of the courses in	terms of burde	n in students.	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
. Rate the design of	the courses in terms of	extra learning	or self-learning.	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the flexibility	in choosing the electiv	es in relation to	technology advance	cements.
@ Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the percentage	e of the courses offerin	g lab compone	nts.	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
	on of the courses in ter	ms of Basic sc	ience, Engineering	science, Humanities
	scipline elective, open			
S Excellent	O Very Good		O Average	O Poor
		0	9	
ny other suggestion (	s):			
				Mayris _
te:2/3/2023			Sig	Mayri mature of Student

commerce

### Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

Department: Com	mence.	Academic	Year: 2022-2	1-3.	
Program Name: B.com III		Year / Ser	Year / Semester:		
		Roll Num	ber: 4016.		
Please rate your quality of the program.	valuable feedback on the	ne curriculum f	for review of syllabus	to improve	
1. Rate how challengi	ng was the syllabus offe	red by the cou	rses.		
O Excellent	O Very Good	● Good	O Average	O Poor	
2. Rate the appropriate	eness of the sequence of	the courses pr	ovided in the curricul	um. O Poor	
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	Average	0100	
Rate the depth of th	e syllabus of the course	s in relation to	the competencies exp	sected by the	
industry / current gl				Poor	
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	0.00	
. Rate the sequence of	f the units / modules in	the courses.		O Poor	
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average		
. Rate the adequatene	ess of the textbooks and		ks mentioned above	O Poor	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O1001	
Rate the syllabus co	intent of the courses in	terms of burde		O Poor	
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	OPoor	
Rate the design of the	ne courses in terms of e	extra learning			
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
Rate the flexibility i	n choosing the elective	es in relation to	o technology advance	ements.	
○ Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	Average	O Poor	
Rate the percentage	of the courses offering	lab compone	nts.		
○ Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor	
Rate the compositio	n of the courses in term	ns of Basic sc	ience, Engineering s	cience, Humanities	
	cipline elective, open e				
© Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	Average	O Poor	
ny other suggestion (s					
il onici supperior					
***************************************				P.P.K	
te:12/04/2023.				nature of Student	

#### **Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23** STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

		Year: 2022 -	
Program Name: 0. Com - III  Name of the Students: - Brosale poodif.s		Year / Semester : V	
		per: 3981	
r valuable feedback on t	the curriculum fo	or review of syllabus	/ to improve
ing was the syllabus off	ered by the cour	ses.	○ Poor
● Very Good	○ Good	O Average	
teness of the sequence of	of the courses pro	ovided in the curricu	Poor
O Very Good	Good	O Average	0.00
he syllabus of the cours	es in relation to	the competencies ex	pected by the
			O Poor
O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	Orom
of the units / modules in	n the courses.		Poor
Very Good	○ Good		
ess of the textbooks an	d reference bool	ks mentioned above	for the courses.
O Very Good	<b>⊚</b> Good	O Average	O Poor
ontent of the courses in	terms of burde	n in students.	
O Very Good	○ Good	Average	O Poor
the courses in terms of	extra learning of	or self-learning.	
O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
in choosing the electiv	es in relation to	technology advance	ements.
	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
	g lab componer	nts.	
		Average	O Poor
on of the courses in ter		ence, Engineering	science, Humanitie
cainline elective onen	elective proje	ect etc.?	
			O Poor
	Odood	O Average	J.
s):			
	ing was the syllabus off  Very Good teness of the sequence of Very Good the syllabus of the course dobal scenarios.  Very Good of the units / modules in Very Good teness of the textbooks an Very Good the courses in terms of Very Good in choosing the elective Very Good of the courses offering Very Good on of the courses in terms of the courses offering Very Good on of the courses in terms of the courses offering Very Good on of the courses in terms of the courses offering Very Good on of the courses in terms of the courses offering Very Good on of the courses in terms of the courses offering Very Good	revaluable feedback on the curriculum for the course of the sequence of the courses produced by the course of the sequence of the courses produced by the courses of the sequence of the courses produced by the courses in relation to do the syllabus of the courses in relation to do the syllabus of the courses in the courses.  Overy Good Good Good Good Good Good Good Goo	ing was the syllabus offered by the courses.  Very Good Good Average teness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curricular of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies extended as seen and the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies extended as seen arios.  Very Good Good Average of the units / modules in the courses.  Very Good Good Average tess of the textbooks and reference books mentioned above over Good Good Average the courses in terms of burden in students.  Very Good Good Average the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning.  Very Good Good Average in choosing the electives in relation to technology advance of the courses offering lab components.  Very Good Good Average of the courses offering lab components.  Very Good Good Average of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering as scipline elective, open elective, project etc.?

sociology

### Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

Department:	rciology	Academi	c Year: 2022	2	
Program Name :	B.A. 17	Year / Se	emester: TB		
Name of the Students	avar Roll Nur				
Please rate you quality of the program.	valuable feedback on t	he curriculum	for review of syllabus	/ to improve	
1. Rate how challengi	ng was the syllabus offe	ered by the cou	irses.	Poor	
O Excellent	( Very Good	Good	O Average	0	
2. Rate the appropriate	eness of the sequence o	f the courses p	rovided in the curricul	um.	
Fycellent	OVery Good	Good	O Average		
3. Rate the depth of th	e syllabus of the course	es in relation to	the competencies exp	pected by the	
industry / current gl					
O Excellent		○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
4. Rate the sequence of	f the units / modules in	the courses.			
O Excellent		○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
5. Rate the adequatene	ss of the textbooks and	I reference boo	oks mentioned above	for the courses.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	@Good	O Average	OPoor	
6. Rate the syllabus co	ntent of the courses in	terms of burd	en in students.		
O Excellent	O Very Good			O Poor	
7. Rate the design of th	ne courses in terms of	extra learning	or self-learning.		
○ Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
Rate the flexibility i	n choosing the elective	es in relation t	o technology advance	ements.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	<b>⊘</b> Good	○ Average	O Poor	
. Rate the percentage		lab compone	ents.		
© Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	OPoor	
Rate the composition				cience. Humanities.	
	ipline elective, open e				
				O Poor	
O Excellent	O Very Good	<b>⊘</b> Good	○ Average	O Poor	
any other suggestion (s)	:				
pate: 15/63/9023			C:	Vanva nature of Student	

## Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23 STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: Sociology	Academic Vear: 2022-23
Program Name: R.D.	Year / Semester : Th
Name of the Students : Tanuja V. Palil	Roll Number: 3631

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the program. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offered by the courses. O Poor O Average O Good Very Good O Excellent 2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the courses provided in the curriculum. O Poor O Average O Good O Very Good O Excellent 3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected by the industry / current global scenarios. Poor O Average O Good ○ Very Good O Excellent 4. Rate the sequence of the units / modules in the courses. O Poor O Average @ Good O Very Good O Excellent 5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and reference books mentioned above for the courses. O Poor O Average @ Good O Excellent O Very Good 6. Rate the syllabus content of the courses in terms of burden in students. O Poor O Average O Very Good O Good O Excellent 7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning or self-learning. O Poor O Average O Good O Very Good 8. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives in relation to technology advancements. O Poor Average O Very Good O Good O Excellent 9. Rate the percentage of the courses offering lab components. O Good O Average O Very Good O Excellent 10. Rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, Discipline elective, open elective, project etc.? (9 Very Good O Good O Poor O Excellent Average Any other suggestion (s):

Date 25 63/2023

Signature of Student

Geography

Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

### Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23 STUDENT FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: GE	cography	Academic	Year Con	rester	
Program Name: D.A. TIT			Academic Year: 2020-23  Vear/Semester: Gennester  Poll Number: 3661		
Name of the Studen	de :	Roll Num			
Please rate yo	our valuable feedback on	the curriculum	for review of syllabus	/ to improve	
1. Rate how challer	nging was the syllabus of	fered by the cou	rses.	O Poor	
~ "	A Maria Consid	Good	Average	lum.	
2. Rate the appropri	iateness of the sequence	of the courses p	rovided in the curried	O Poor	
3. Rate the depth of	the syllabus of the cour	ses in relation to	the competencies ex		
industry / current	global scenarios.			O Poor	
O Excellent	Very Good	O Good	O Average		
4. Rate the sequence	e of the units / modules	in the courses.		Poor	
Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	O Average		
5. Rate the adequate	eness of the textbooks ar	nd reference boo	oks mentioned above	O Poor	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	Crock	
5. Rate the syllabus	content of the courses i	n terms of burde	en in students.	O Poor	
Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor	
7. Rate the design of	f the courses in terms of	f extra learning	or self-learning.		
@ Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
Rate the flexibilit	y in choosing the electi	ves in relation t	o technology advance	ements.	
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
	ge of the courses offerin	ng lab compone	ents.		
O Excellent	● Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
	tion of the courses in te	rms of Basic sc	ience, Engineering	science, Human	
	Discipline elective, oper				
	Very Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor	
O Excellent		Cond	O military	V	
my other suggestion	(s):				

Da

	Academi	c Year: 2022	
Department: Geography		emester: Sem	ester
Program Name: B.A. TIL	Roll Number: 3648		3
Name of the Students: Chougale Kemal			
Please rate your valuable feedback on the quality of the program.			us / to improve
1. Rate how challenging was the syllabus offere	d by the con	urses.	OPoor
On the Very Good	OGOOG	Outroids	
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequence of the	he courses p	provided in the curric	oulum.
Evcellent O Very Good	Good	O Average	
3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the courses i	in relation to	o the competencies	expected by the
industry / current global scenarios.			
	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
4. Rate the sequence of the units / modules in th	ne courses.		
O Excellent	O Good	O Average	OPoor
5. Rate the adequateness of the textbooks and re-	eference bo	oks mentioned abov	e for the courses.
	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
6. Rate the syllabus content of the courses in ter	rms of burd	en in students.	
	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the design of the courses in terms of ext	tra learning	or self-learning.	
	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
. Rate the flexibility in choosing the electives	in relation t	to technology advan	cements.
	Good	O Average	O Poor
. Rate the percentage of the courses offering la	ab compone	ents.	
	Good	○ Average	O Poor
0. Rate the composition of the courses in terms			science, Humanities
Discipline core, Discipline elective, open ele			
		O Average	O Poor
O Excellent	O Good	O Average	<b>V.</b>
ny other suggestion (s):	*****		
			C.K. Mandrin
11029 104/2023		Si	gnature of Student

11124014

### vantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

#### **Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23**

#### ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Rutina Hari Lolage	CALL SALES OF THE
on OD ADAMAN TO HAVE DITTHAI Lolage	
OB (DD/MM/YYYY):- 23/07/2000  ear of Passing:- 2022  Department:	
ermanent Address . A. In. DAVALAN TALLUTVANAGE DICH.	olhaphr.
mail ID - 84thiatotage 09 an amaticom   Mobile No : 3860214	(981
resent Type :- Self employed Private Sector Public Sector	Academics Louis
rganization :- Name : esignation :- Present Location :	
ield of Working :- Core Inter disciplinary IT Industry Admi	inistration  Other
igher Waster Degree Third.	] Other
ducation: Year of Admission: Name of the Programme: Name of the Institute:	
Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of suality of the program.	syllabus / to improve
Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.  Excellent Very Good Good Average	
Rate the sufficiency of syllabus current to bridge the gap between acade	emes and industry.
Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average	
Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards.	
○ Excellent	
Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challed Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average	
Rate the industry laboratory and equipment acquaintances for practical	export stress.
O Excellent Very Good O Good Average	ge O Poor
Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.	
○ Excellent	ge O Poor
Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning on self-learning	ng.
Excellent Overy Good Ogood Average	ge O Poor
Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement oppor	rtunities.
O Excellent	
Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers.	
Excellent Overy Good OGood Avera	
. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development	ent of students.
Any other suggestion (s):	
	011/200
ate: / /	Signature of Alumni

#### **Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23**

#### ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

ALDIMINI FEEDBACK ON CORRICCEOM	
Name of the Alumni: Londhe Rajwardhan Pralap Father's Name: Londhe Pratap Jaywantrao	
DOR (DD/MM/YYYY) - 14, 16, 2000	
Year of Passing:- 2022   Department: HISTORY Permanent Address:- AIP - PARGAGN - TAI - HATKANANGIE DIST - KOTHAPUR  Permanent Address:- AIP - PARGAGN - TAI - HATKANANGIE DIST - KOTHAPUR  Permanent Address:- AIP - PARGAGN - TAI - HATKANANGIE DIST - KOTHAPUR  Permanent Address:- AIP - PARGAGN - TAI - HATKANANGIE DIST - KOTHAPUR  Permanent Address:- AIP - PARGAGN - TAI - HATKANANGIE DIST - KOTHAPUR  Permanent Address:- AIP - PARGAGN - TAI - HATKANANGIE DIST - KOTHAPUR  Permanent Address:- AIP - PARGAGN - TAI - HATKANANGIE DIST - KOTHAPUR  Permanent Address:- AIP - PARGAGN - TAI - HATKANANGIE DIST - KOTHAPUR  Permanent Address:- AIP - PARGAGN - TAI - HATKANANGIE DIST - KOTHAPUR  Permanent Address:- AIP - PARGAGN - TAI - HATKANANGIE DIST - KOTHAPUR  Permanent Address:- AIP - PARGAGN - TAI - HATKANANGIE DIST - KOTHAPUR  Permanent Address:- AIP - PARGAGN - TAI - HATKANANGIE DIST - KOTHAPUR  Permanent ADDRESS:- AIP - PARGAGN - TAI - HATKANANGIE DIST - KOTHAPUR  Permanent ADDRESS:- AIP - PARGAGN - TAI - HATKANANGIE DIST - KOTHAPUR  Permanent ADDRESS:- AIP - PARGAGN - TAI - HATKANANGIE DIST - KOTHAPUR  Permanent ADDRESS:- AIP - PARGAGN - TAI - HATKANANGIE DIST - KOTHAPUR  PERMANENT - PARGAGN - TAI - HATKANANGIE DIST - KOTHAPUR  PERMANENT - PARGAGN - TAI - HATKANANGIE DIST - KOTHAPUR  PERMANENT - PARGAGN - TAI - HATKANANGIE DIST - KOTHAPUR  PERMANENT - PARGAGN - TAI - HATKANANGIE DIST - KOTHAPUR  PERMANENT - PARGAGN - TAI - HATKANANGIE DIST - KOTHAPUR  PERMANENT - PARGAGN - TAI - HATKANANGIE DIST - KOTHAPUR  PERMANENT - PARGAGN - TAI - HATKANANGIE DIST - KOTHAPUR  PERMANENT - PARGAGN - TAI - HATKANANGIE DIST - KOTHAPUR  PERMANENT - PARGAGN - TAI - HATKANANGIE DIST - KOTHAPUR  PERMANENT - PARGAGN - TAI - HATKANANGIE DIST - KOTHAPUR  PERMANENT - PARGAGN - TAI - HATKANANGIE DIST - KOTHAPUR  PERMANENT - PARGAGN - TAI - HATKANANGIE DIST - KOTHAPUR  PERMANENT - PARGAGN -	
Email II) - Talsax ax 1410200 (a) 9MIII (a)   Mobile No. + 0202	
Present Type :- Self employed Private Sector Public Sector Academics	
Organization:- Name:  Designation:- Present Location:  Other Central Control of Control	ove
Field of Working :- Core inter disciplinary I Muse Applicable Other	ent
Higher Master Degree Ph.D. Not Applicable Guestion:    Vear of Admission: Name of the Programme:	
Name of the Institute :	
Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the program.	
1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program.	
© Excellent	
2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus current to bridge the gap between academes and industry.	
Excellent Overy Good OGood OAverage OPoor	
3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards.	
O Excellent Very Good O Good O Average O Poor	
4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges requirements.  O Excellent O Very Good O Average Poor	
5. Rate the industry laboratory and equipment acquaintances for practical export stress.	
O Excellent Very Good O Good O Average Poor	1
6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements.	1
Excellent Overy Good OGood Average OPoor	
7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning on self-learning.	
O Excellent Very Good O Good O Average O Poor	
8. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities.	
Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor	
9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers.	
Excellent Overy Good OGood Average OPoor	
10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students.	
Any other suggestion (s):	
Date: / / Signature of Alumni	

Blochemistry

Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

Internal Quality Assurance Cell

Permanent Address:  Email ID: Sold of Present Ty Organization: Na Designation: Field of Working: Of Maste Higher Maste Education: Year of A Name of the	ore Inter disciplinar r Degree Production: 2023 Nathe Institute: 5 h voil	Private Sector Present Loy IT Indust. D. Not me of the Program	cation: Try Administration Applicable Other The: MGC Biocher Kolhapus	on Other
Please rate you quality of the program	ur valuable feedback on	the curriculum	Tor review of symme-	
	ness of the courses offe O Very Good	red in the progr	am.  Average	O Poor
O Excellent	cy of syllabus current to		between academes as	nd industry.
© Excellent	♥ Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
	m in relation to your cu	rrent profession	nal standards.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	<b>⊘</b> Good	O Average	O Poor
O Excellent	uired from the curricul  Very Good	O 9000	O Avelage	
5. Rate the industry	laboratory and equipme	nt acquaintance	es for practical export	t stress.
O Excellent		○ Good	O Average	O Poor
6. Rate the offering	of electives in relation t	o technology ac	dvancements.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good		O Poor
7. Rate the design of	the courses in terms of	extra learning	on self-learning.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	Poor
8. Rate the training a	nd placement cell in ge	etting ample pla	acement opportunitie	s.
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	OPoor
Rate the competer	ace and support offered	by the teachers	S.	
O Excellent	○ Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
10. Rate the institute's	support and contributi	on for the over	all development of s	tudents.
Any other suggest	ion (s):			
			Sign	Saulty ature of Alumni

physics

#### Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

#### Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23

Name of the Alumni :- Do war	Sourabh	Uttan		
Father's Name :- 1) Harm fou	vas			
Year of Passing: 9 019 -00		Department :	De Physic	)
Permanent Address :-	(	Stadebile No. 1	9595501005	Other
Email ID: Sourably 3 Paulo Present Type: Self	employed Pr	ivate Sector	Public Sector Acaden	nics Louis
Organization :- Name :	employed			STATE OF THE PARTY
Designation: Dusiners		Present Loca	A deministration	Other
	nter disciplinary			
Higher Master Degree  Education: Year of Admission:	Name	of the Programm	e:	
Name of the Institute :				
Please rate your valuable	feedback on th	e curriculum f	or review of syllabus	/ to improve
quality of the program.				
1. Rate the adequateness of the	courses offered	d in the program	m.	O Poor
() Everallant () Ve	rv (iood	( ) G00u	0 11.00	
2. Rate the sufficiency of syllab	vis current to b	ridge the gap b	between academes an	d industry.
	ous current to c	O Cood	O Average	O Poor
	ery Good	○ Good		
. Rate the curriculum in relation	on to your curre	ent professiona	il standards.	O Bear
O Excellent O Ve	ery Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
. Rate the skills acquired from	the curriculum	to face the in	dustry challenges rec	uirements.
O Excellent O Ve	ery Good	Ø Good	O Average	O Poor
. Rate the industry laboratory		acquaintances	for practical export	stress.
			○ Average	O Poor
	ery Good	○ Good		
. Rate the offering of electives	in relation to	technology adv	vancements.	
	ery Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
Rate the design of the course		xtra learning o	on self-learning.	
				O Poor
	ery Good	O Good	O Average	
. Rate the training and placem	ent cell in gett	ing ample place	cement opportunities	
	ery Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
Rate the competence and sur		v the teachers.		
_				O Page
	ery Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
). Rate the institute's support ar	nd contribution	for the overa	ll development of st	udents.
Any other suggestion (s):				
40 J J 10007				
Date: \$2/1_12023			Signa	iture of Alumni
			1	120

# Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar. Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23

	<b>ALUMNI FEE</b>	EDBAC	K ON CUF	RICULUM		
Name of the Alumni :-	Pravina	Bha	skas	Zonde		
Father's Name :-	Sharkar	. P.	ZENUE			
DOB (DD/MM/YYYY):-	1-3-1999		Department :	2001094		
Year of Passing :- 202 Permanent Address :- Y	4-			101	10 -	21-
Email ID:- Praying ZE	nde 0179@ 8m	icil· (om	Mobile No.:	75694 A	cademics [	Other
Present Type	e: TKIET	d Priv	ate Sector	sugling	wege	
Organization :- Nam Designation :- Teach	E TKI E I		Present Loca	tion: Wa		Other
Field of Working :- Co	Account to the same of the sam	the same of the sa	IT Industry Not A		ner	
Higher Master		Ph.D.	f the Programm		m.sc.	
Education :- Year of Ad	e Institute :	·w.	m			
Traine of an				of syll	abus / to in	prove
Please rate you	r valuable feedbac	ck on the	curriculum I	for review of 57.		
quality of the program.						
1. Rate the adequaten	ess of the courses	offered	in the progra	M. Average	C	) Poor
					as and indu	istry.
2. Rate the sufficience	v of syllabus curr	ent to bri	idge the gap	between academ	es and mo	Dear
	O Very Goo	d	O Good	O Average	C	) Poor
O Excellent  3. Rate the curriculum				al standards.		
			Good	O Average		Poor
O Excellent	O Very Goo	d	O 0000	1 to shalleng	es requiren	nents.
4. Rate the skills acqu	nired from the cur	rriculum	to face the ii	Average	()	Poor
Lycallent	VEIV CIOU	u				
5. Rate the industry la	aboratory and equ	ipment :	acquaintance	es for practical e	xport sucs	· ·
O Excellent	Very Goo	od	○ Good	O Average		O Poor
			echnology ac	dvancements.		
6. Rate the offering o				O Average		Poor
O Excellent	O Very Goo		O Good			
7. Rate the design of	the courses in ter	ms of ex	tra learning	on self-learning	ļ.	
OExcellent	O Very Goo		O Good	O Average	2	O Poor
Gexcenent				acement opport	unities.	
8. Rate the training an	nd placement cel	I in getti	ng ampie pi	accinent opport		O Poor
O Excellent	O Very Goo	od	O Good	O Averag	е	Oron
9. Rate the competen	ce and support of	ffered by	the teacher	rs.		
	Very Goo		○ Good	O Averag	ge	O Poor
O Excellent				mall davalanmer	at of stude	nts
10. Rate the institute's	support and con	tribution	for the ove	ran developmen	it of stage	
Any other suggesti	on (s):				2) 6	
	gres	zwie	- la	5 Show	NO 4	
100	on (s): Res				1	-00
					Ten	Je-Fi
Date: 23 / 8/2/52	-2				Signatur	e of Alumni
20.						

#### **Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23**

Na	me of the Alumni :- As	hwini shad	har kur	nbhar	
	her's Name : Shore	than kumbhan			
DC	OB (DD/MM/YYYY) :-	21-08-1998		2001087	
	ar of Passing:- 201			THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	0.9
	manent Address : Bo	spadale	Mobile No. :	9096 71.	72 Other
17577	esent Type :-	☐ Self employed ☐ Pri	water Control	Public Sector	
	ganization :- Name :	Depr. > For	est fn	maharasha	wan
	signation : Fose	er suara	Present Loca	ition: - Security	ation Other
Fie	ld of Working :- Core	☐ Inter disciplinary	IT Industry	Y Special Company of the Company of	er
	gher Master De	The state of the s	Cale a Decogrammy	10. B.SC.	
Ed	veation :- Year of Admit	ssion: '20 (6.17 Name nstitute: - Y. C. W.C.	sama M.	wasanana	der
_	Ivaline of the i	istrate: Fig. 444		c - 41e	bus / to improve
	Diegge rate vour v	valuable feedback on th	e curriculum i	for review of sylla	ous / Le
	ality of the program.				
qu	anty of the programm	Col offered	l in the progra	m.	Poor
		s of the courses offered			
	O Excellent	Overy Good	0 0000	dame	s and industry.
2	Pate the sufficiency	of syllabus current to b	ridge the gap	between academe	O Pear
2.		0101	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
	O Excellent	Overy Good			
2	Data the curriculum i	in relation to your curre	ent profession	al standards.	07
3.	Rate the currentally		Good	O Average	O Poor
	@Excellent	O Very Good	0 0000		a requirements.
	n I-ille coquir	red from the curriculum	n to face the i	ndustry challenge	Poor
4.	Rate the skills acquir	Overy Good	○ Good	O Average	0.00
	O Excellent	Covery Good	•	- for practical ex	port stress.
5	Rate the industry lab	oratory and equipment	acquaintance	es for practicus of	Poor
		O Very Good	O Good	O Average	Oron
	<b>Excellent</b>	O very Good		1	
6	Rate the offering of e	electives in relation to	technology a	dvancements.	
0.			O Good	O Average	O Poor
	@Excellent	O Very Good			
-	Deta the design of the	e courses in terms of e	extra learning	on self-learning.	
1.	Kate the design of the		OCard	O Average	O Poor
	O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	The state of the s	
		placement cell in get	ting ample pl	acement opportu	nities.
8.	Rate the training and	placement cen in get	ting umpre p		Poor
	O Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	○ Average	01001
9.	Rate the competence	and support offered b	by the teache	rs.	
		Overy Good	O Good	O Average	e O Poor
	O Excellent				
10	D-t- the inctitute's SI	apport and contribution	n for the ove	erall developmen	t of students.
10.	Rate the mistrute's se	*pport und			
	Any other suggestion	n (s):	1		01 . 1
	Mature	-eleare	of 10	course	shower An
					should reman
	be added				Men
Dat	e:23 8/2022				Signature of Alumni
2741					

#### Internal Quality Assurance Cell

Permanent Address -	Crungo Pawas 1: 26/12/1999 2020 Dholewadi talish Dway 26/12/08/mail.c	om Mobile No.	Public Sector Acad	4
Designation: Designation: Field of Working: Higher Education: Vear	Core Inter disciplinary inster Degree Ph f Admission: Nar of the Institute: Shivoi	Present Loc IT Industr D. Not / ne of the Programm University	Applicable Other	ion LyOther
quality of the prog	your valuable feedback on ram.			
	ateness of the courses offer Very Good			O Poor
Rate the suffici	ency of syllabus current to	bridge the gap	between academes	and industry.
O Excellent	○ Very Good	O Good	O Michae	O Poor
. Rate the curricu	ulum in relation to your cu	rrent profession	al standards.	O Page
O Escallant	O Very Good	G-Good	O Average	O Poor
. Rate the skills	acquired from the curriculum Very Good	00000		
Rate the industr	ry laboratory and equipme	nt acquaintance	s for practical expo	ort stress.
O Excellent	O Very Good	<b>○</b> Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the offerin	g of electives in relation t	o technology ac	lvancements.	
O Excellent	Overy Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the design	of the courses in terms of	extra learning	on self-learning.	
O Excellent	○ Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	OPoor
	g and placement cell in ge	etting ample pla	cement opportunit	ies.
O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O-Poor
	tence and support offered	by the teachers	s.	
		○ Good	○ Average	○ Poor
Rate the institut	e's support and contributi	on for the over	all development of	f students.
Any other sugg	estion (s):			
ite:10/11/23			Si	Mishard gnature of Alumni

#### Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar. Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23 ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM SADASHIV PRAYIN SATAVEKAR SADASHIY KUNDLIKA Father's Name :-Department: Economics. DOB (DD/MM/YYYY) :- 24-03-1993 Email ID:- Prayinsuleyexor7503@gmai. (om. Mobile No.: 7507875558 Present Type: Self employed Private Sector Public Sector Academics Organization: Year of Passing :-2021-22 Name: Y.C. W.M Wordnanagor Organization:-Present Location: Administration Tenchors Designation :-☐ IT Industry Field of Working :- Core ☐ Inter disciplinary ☐ Not Applicable Ph.D. Master Degree Higher Name of the Programme: Education :-Year of Admission Name of the Institute: Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the program. 1. Rate the adequateness of the courses offered in the program. O Poor O Average O Good O Very Good Excellent 2. Rate the sufficiency of syllabus current to bridge the gap between academes and industry. O Poor O Average O Good O Very Good Excellent 3. Rate the curriculum in relation to your current professional standards. O Poor O Average O Good O Very Good Excellent 4. Rate the skills acquired from the curriculum to face the industry challenges requirements. O Poor O Average O Good O Very Good Excellent 5. Rate the industry laboratory and equipment acquaintances for practical export stress. O Poor O Average O Good O Very Good Excellent 6. Rate the offering of electives in relation to technology advancements. O Poor O Average O Good O Very Good Excellent 7. Rate the design of the courses in terms of extra learning on self-learning. O Poor O Average O Good O Very Good Excellent 8. Rate the training and placement cell in getting ample placement opportunities. O Poor Average O Good O Very Good Excellent 9. Rate the competence and support offered by the teachers. O Poor O Very Good Average O Good Excellent 10. Rate the institute's support and contribution for the overall development of students. Any other suggestion (s): The number of sexial books should be increased.

Date 21/04/2023

Signature of Alumni

chemistry

### Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

#### Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23

	ALUMNI FEEDE	BACK ON CU	RRICULUM	
Name of the Alumni :-			hesh	
	MUS GUYAU he		0	
DOB (DD/MM/YYYY):	Bhimrao 4419p		icon	
Year of Passing :-	2001	Department	: chemisty	
Permanent Address :- A	P. Nagthane	I I Markilla Nico	: 8651849090 Acade	ice []Other
Email ID :-	pe :- Self employed	Mobile No.	Public Sector Acade	mics Local
Organization :- Na	me: Self employed L	Private sector L	1-1	
	earth Student	Present Loc	ation: rodoli	on Other
ield of Working :- 🔲 C		y IT Industr	Applicable Other	
ligher Macto	r Degree Pl	h.D. Not	Application	
ducation :- Year of A	dmission: 2016-17 No	ime of the Program	nc.	
Name of t	he Institute :			(40 improve
Please rate vo	ur valuable feedback on	the curriculum	for review of syllabu	s / 10 mp.
uality of the program	1.			
and the second s		and in the progra	ım.	0.7
	ness of the courses offe	Good	O Average	O Poor
@ Excellent	⊘ very Good	0,000		nd industry.
Rate the sufficience	cy of syllabus current to	bridge the gap	between academics a	O Door
O Excellent		○ Good	O Average	O Poor
The state of the s			-1 standards	
Rate the curriculus	m in relation to your cu	irrent profession	al standards.	O Beer
⊗ Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
Chechen	O , c., c		ductor challenges re	quirements.
Rate the skills acq	uired from the curricul	um to face the h	O Average	OPoor
O Excellent	Very Good	Ogoda	O minerage	
Rate the industry l	aboratory and equipme	ent acquaintance	s for practical expor	t stress.
		○ Good	○ Average	OPoor
	O Very Good	0 0000	Oliverage	
Rate the offering o	f electives in relation t	o technology ac	vancements.	
			○ Average	OPoor
O Excellent	Ø Very Good	○ Good		
Rate the design of	the courses in terms of	f extra learning	on self-learning.	
			○ Average	O Poor
Ø Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	0	
Rate the training ar	nd placement cell in ge	etting ample pla	cement opportunitie	S.
			The same of the sa	○ Poor
O Excellent		○ Good	O Average	01001
Rate the competent	ce and support offered	by the teachers		
				O Page
Ø Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
Rate the institute's	support and contributi	on for the over	all development of s	tudents.
Any other suggestion				
Ally Other Suggestic	л (э).			

Date: 15/4/2023

Signature of Alumni

#### Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23

	ALUMNI FEEDB			
Name of the Alumni :-	PATIL VIKram	Dattat	rai	
Father's Name :- DOB (DD/MM/YYYY)	DATTATRAY			
Year of Passing :- 2	021	Department		
Email ID:- Vois has	Add Post KAKKE AviPatile93083ma	I. Con Mobile No.	: 84495649.	nics Other
r resent 1)	pe :- Self employed L	Private Sector	: 8±495849 ]Public Sector ☐ Acader	
Organization :- Na Designation :-	ime :	Present Loc	ation: -	manufacture of the same
Field of Working :-	plant prompt and		Applicable Other	
Higher Master Education: Year of A	dmission: Nar	me of the Programm	ne:	
	the Institute :			1
Please rate yo quality of the program	ur valuable feedback on	the curriculum	for review of syllabus	/ to improve
	ness of the courses offer	red in the progra	ım.	Poor
Fycellent	Very Good	( ) Good	O Average	
2. Rate the sufficien	cy of syllabus current to	bridge the gap	between academes an	id industry.
○ Excellent	O Very Good	<b>⊙</b> Good	O Average	O Poor
3. Rate the curriculu	m in relation to your cur	rrent profession	al standards.	
O Excellent	O-Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
O Excellent	uired from the curriculu	O Good	G Average	
5. Rate the industry	laboratory and equipme	nt acquaintance	es for practical export	stress.
O Excellent	→ Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
6. Rate the offering of	of electives in relation to	o technology ad	Ivancements.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	<b>⊘</b> Good	○ Average	O Poor
7. Rate the design of	the courses in terms of	extra learning	on self-learning.	
O Excellent	→ Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
8. Rate the training a	nd placement cell in ge	tting ample pla	cement opportunities	S.
O Excellent	→ Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
9. Rate the competen	ce and support offered	by the teachers	S.	
○ Excellent	O Very Good	⊖-Good	○ Average	O Poor
10. Rate the institute's	support and contribution	on for the over	all development of s	tudents.
Any other suggesti				
Date: 18/4/2023			Sign	ature of Alumni

# Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Manavidyataya,

# Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23 ALUMNI FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

#### want Powar.

	Akshay Jaywant	Power		
Name of the Alumni :- F	=11 10000	Department		
Father's Name:  DOB (DD/MM/YYYY):  DOB (DD/MM/YYYY):	54120	Department	830897202	O
Year of Passing: 202 Permanent Address: W	arananagar.	Mobile No.	Public Sector Acad	emics Other
To amont Andress		Private Sector	Public Sector La	
Dresent		Present Loc	eation:	on POther
Organization :- Na	me:	TI IT Indust	ry	Oll Louising Colors
Designation :- Compared to the Priest of Working :- Compared to the Priest of the Prie	Core Inter disciplinary	D Not	Applicable Liberty	
II Masic	r Degree	me of the Program	me:	
Education :- Year of P	dmission: Na the Institute:			
Name of	0 11 -l- on	the curriculum	for review of syllabu	s / to improve
Please rate yo	our valuable feedback on	the carre		
lity of the proofal	n.			
1. Rate the adequate	eness of the courses offe OVery Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
O Excellent	ncy of syllabus current to	bridge the gan	between academes a	nd industry.
2. Rate the sufficien		o or dep	○ Average	○ Poor
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good		
3. Rate the curricult	um in relation to your cu	irrent profession	nal standards.	
O Excellent	O-Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
4 Rate the skills ac	quired from the curricul	um to face the i	ndustry challenges re	quirements.
O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O-Average	O Poor
5. Rate the industry	laboratory and equipme	ent acquaintance	es for practical export	stress.
O Excellent	○ Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
6. Rate the offering	of electives in relation t	to technology ac	dvancements.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
7. Rate the design of	the courses in terms of	f extra learning	on self-learning.	
O Excellent	○ Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
Rate the training a	nd placement cell in ge	etting ample pla	cement opportunities	
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
. Rate the competen	ce and support offered	by the teachers	s.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
0. Rate the institute's	support and contributi	on for the over	all development of st	
Any other suggesti			The state of St	
18/4/2000				
ate:18/4/2023			Signa	ture of Alumni

Da

	ALUMNI FEEDB.	ACK ON CU	Rice	
Name of the Alumni :-	halake Sneha	Sandip_		
Father's Name :- C1	nataise sandill	vasant		
DOB (DD/MM/YYYYY) :-	06-06-2001	I D	commerse	
Year of Passing :- 202	21-22			
Permanent Address:- 13	IP-Kini neba123@ gmail-co	Mobile No.	9044342001	nics Other
Present Type	P-Kini   nebal23@gmail-co  e:- □ Selfemployed □	Private Sector	Public Sector L 1 Acces	
Organization :- Nam	ne:			
Designation :-		Present Local	Administration	n Cuici
Field of Working :- Co		Annual Control of the last of	Applicable Other	
Higher Master Education:- Year of Ad	Imission: 2022-23 Nar			voxcity
Name of th	e Institute: Ou Starra	e cauli		
			cion of syllabus	/ to improve
Please rate you	r valuable feedback on	the curriculum	for review of 37.	
quality of the program.				
1. Rate the adequaten	ess of the courses offer	ed in the progra	m.	O Poor
Parallant	/ Mary Lincol	( ) (1000	0	
LACCHCIIC	y of syllabus current to	1 11 the gan	between academes ar	nd industry.
2. Rate the sufficiency	y of syllabus current to	bridge the gap	Detween acara	O Poor
Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	O Average	O ree.
		t Fassion	al standards.	
<ol><li>Rate the curriculun</li></ol>	n in relation to your cu	rrent profession	al Standards	O Poor
Cupallant	O Very Good	Good	O Average	
Excendit	nired from the curriculu	. Goodhair	dustry challenges re	quirements.
<ol> <li>Rate the skills acqu</li> </ol>	ired from the curricult	im to face the h	O Average	O Poor
Excellent	( ) very Good	0 0000		
Rate the industry la	aboratory and equipme	nt acquaintance	es for practical expor	t stress.
			O Average	O Poor
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good		
Pate the offering of	f electives in relation t	o technology ac	lvancements.	
. Rate the offering of			○ Average	Poor
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	
Describe design of t	the courses in terms of	extra learning	on self-learning.	
. Rate the design of t	the courses in terms of		0	OPoor
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	Oroor
	1 1 and call in ou	tting ample pla	cement opportunitie	es.
. Rate the training an	d placement cell in ge	time ampie pie		
Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	○ Average	O Poor
Rate the competence	e and support offered	by the teacher	S.	
	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
Excellent				
. Rate the institute's s	support and contributi	on for the over	all development of	students.
Any other suggestion	on (s):			
NO				
20/20/0400			C:-	noture of Alice
ate:20/03/2023			Sig	nature of Alumn
				Chalcele

#### Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23

Name of the Atlanta	ALUMNI FEEDB	mbhaji		
Name of the Alumni :- 5		e y James		
DOB (DD/MM/YYYY):	27/11/1998	- Davidment	: marathi	
Year of Passing:	321-22	1 - drived	Nex - 1 ca	
Email ID: - Sadleame	Der Wadi To	Mobile No.	e 9673303567 Public Sector Acade	mics Other
	se :- Self employed	Private Sector	Public Scotor C	
	me: - Police	Present Loc	ation:	on Other
Designation :- Makan field of Working :- C	All the same of th	IT Industr		
ligher Master	r Degree Ph	ne of the Programn	Applicative	
Education :- Year of A	he Institute :		A STATE OF THE STA	
Name of t	ne mourae		c aview of syllabus	s / to improve
Please rate you	ur valuable feedback on	the curriculum	for feview of sy	
quality of the program	l.			
. Rate the adequate	ness of the courses offer	red in the progra	M. Average	O Poor
				nd industry.
. Rate the sufficient	by of syllabus current to	bridge the gap	between academics an	O Poor
○ Excellent	Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
O Excellent		ment profession	al standards.	
. Rate the curriculus	m in relation to your cu	rrein profession	O Average	O Poor
O Excellent	Very Good	O Good		iroments
Rate the skills aco	uired from the curricula	um to face the in	ndustry challenges re	Poor
( ) Excellent	( ) very dood	9 0000		
Date the industry	aboratory and equipme	nt acquaintance	s for practical expor	t stress.
		Good	O Average	O Poor
O Excellent	O Very Good			
Rate the offering of	of electives in relation t	o technology ac	ivancements.	
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
	the courses in terms of	extra learning	on self-learning.	
Rate the design of			O Assertance	O Poor
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	
Rate the training a	nd placement cell in ge	etting ample pla	cement opportunitie	s.
	O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
O Excellent				
Rate the competen	ce and support offered	by the teachers		
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
. Rate the institute's	support and contributi	on for the over	all development of	students.
Any other suggesti	on (s):			
				Œ.
				ARE
ite:27/04/2013			Sign	nature of Alum

#### Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23

ame of the Alumni :-	thougale Vinaya	ULA .		
OOB (DD/MM/YYYY):	1410311998	Department :	marathi	
CD 0+4	1.20	attoris ( 2 De	ye Kop.	
Permanent Address :- At	/port khochi jan h	Mobile No. :	Dallia Sector [ ] Acaden	nics Other
Email ID: - Vinayae 14 Present Typ	be :- Sell employed	Private Sector	Public Sector	
)rganization :- Na	me: Final	Present Loca	illon .	Other
Designation :- Femal	ore  Inter disciplinary	IT Industry	Applicable Other	
Ligher Maste	r Degree	ne of the Programm	ic:	
Education :- Year of A	diffission.			
Name or	he Institute: ur valuable feedback on	at a serioulum f	or review of syllabus	/ to improve
Please rate yo	ur valuable feedback on	the curriculant		
mality of the program	1.			
. Rate the adequate	ness of the courses offer	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
O Excellent	● Very Good  cy of syllabus current to	Laiden the gan	netween academes an	d industry.
. Rate the sufficien	cy of syllabus current to	bridge the gap	O Average	O Poor
O Excellent	Very Good	OGOOD	0	
Rate the curriculu	m in relation to your cu	rrent profession	al standards.	Olloor
	Mam Good	( ) Good	Average	O Poor
O Excellent	quired from the curricult	um to face the ir	dustry challenges re	quirements.
Rate the industry	laboratory and equipme	nt acquaintance	s for practical export	Siless.
O Excellent	<ul><li>Very Good</li></ul>	Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the offering	of electives in relation t	o technology ad	vancements.	
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the design of	f the courses in terms of	extra learning	on self-learning.	
O Excellent	● Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
. Rate the training	and placement cell in ge	etting ample pla	cement opportunitie	S.
O Excellent	● Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
. Rate the compete	nce and support offered	by the teachers		
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
	s support and contributi	on for the over	all development of s	tudents.
Any other sugges	tion (s):			
		nnese e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		
			(	Jareller
Date: / /			Sigr	nature of Alum

English

# Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

### Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23

Name of the Alumni :- My	LUMNI FEEDBA	Gaikwad		
Name of the Alumni :- KIY	or radio			
ramer's maine			English	
DOB (DD/MM/YYYY):-	- 72	Department :	English	
Year of Passing :- 202) Permanent Address :- Bah	irewadi			ordemics Other
Email ID:-		Mobile No.	Public Sector A	cadernio
Present Type	:- Self employed	Private Sector		
Organization:- Name		Present Loca	tion:	tration Other
Designation :-	e 🔲 Inter disciplinary	TT Industry	710111	her
Field of Working :- Con	Dh	D Not A	pplicable	
Higher Master D	regice	ne of the Programm	e:	
	The state of the s			
Please rate your quality of the program.  1. Rate the adequatene	valuable feedback on	ed in the progra		Poor
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Michael	1 :- duetry
Excellent	6 11 1	bridge the gan	between academic	es and industry.
<ul><li>Excellent</li><li>Rate the sufficiency</li></ul>	of syllabus current to	bridge are gap	O Aviorage	O Poor
● Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	0	
3. Rate the curriculum	in relation to your cu	rrent professions	al standards.	O Poor
■ n 11	O Very Good	Good	O Average	
4. Rate the skills acqu	ired from the curricult	0		
5. Rate the industry la	haratary and equipme	ent acquaintance	s for practical ex	port stress.
	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
Excellent		AE BENEVICE TO THE		
. Rate the offering of				○ Poor
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	
. Rate the design of the	he courses in terms of	f extra learning	on self-learning.	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
. Rate the training an	d placement cell in ge	etting ample pla	cement opportu	nities.
Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	○ Average	
		TO THE REAL PROPERTY.		
. Rate the competence				0.0-
<b>Excellent</b>	O Very Good	Good	O Average	
0. Rate the institute's s	support and contribut	ion for the over	all development	of students.
Any other suggestic	on (s):			
				P. A. Craikwa
pate: 24 2/2023				Signature of Alum

#### **Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23**

:24/2/2023			Sign	nature of Alumni
my outer sapposit	N.			
				O Poor
The state of the s		by the teacher	·S.	
		○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
		etting ample pla	acement opportunitie	s.
		○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
		extra learning	on self-learning.	
		○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
		o technology a	dvancements.	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
Rate the industry la	boratory and equipme	nt acquaintance	es for practical export	stress.
Excellent	O very Good	0 0000		
- Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the curriculum	in relation to your cur	rrent profession	al standards.	O Poss
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the sufficiency	of syllabus current to	bridge the gap	between academes ar	O Post
Evallent	( ) Very Good	00000		O Poor
ality of the program.				
		the curriculum	for review of syllabus	/ to improve
ucation :- Year of Adr	nission: Nan	ne of the Programm	ne:	
ld of Working :- Cor	Ph.	D. Not	Applicable    Other	
signation :-			v Administration	n Other
esent Type				
rmanent Address:- Ko	doll	Mobile No.	: Academ	nics Other
ar of Passing :- 2020	0-21	Department	English	
her's Name: Chan	drakant Godpor			
me of the Alumni :- NII	SS. Sheny Cha			
	her's Name: Chary B (DD/MM/YYYY):- ar of Passing: 2026 manent Address: Ko nail ID:- ssent Type ganization: Master I year of Adr Name of the  Please rate your ality of the program.  Rate the adequatence Excellent  Rate the sufficiency Excellent  Rate the skills acqu Excellent  Rate the industry la Excellent  Rate the offering of Excellent  Rate the design of the Excellent  Rate the design of the Excellent  Rate the training and Excellent  Rate the competence Excellent  Rate the competence Excellent  Rate the institute's s Any other suggestion	her's Name: Charly Yorkari   Green   G	her's Name: Chara 4 Acad 11 Get 12 Get 12 Get 12 Get 13 Get 14 Get 14 Get 14 Get 14 Get 15 Get 16 Ge	Department: English   Department: English   Department   Department

Sociology

### Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

#### Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23

Name of the 41	ALUMNI FEEDI	BACK ON CU	JRRICULUM	
Name of the Alumni :- Father's Name :-		Gaikwad		
DOB (DD/MM/YYYY)	- 21-03-1998			
Permanent Add	018-19	Departmen		
Email ID :-	Arale, Tal. Panha	Mobile No	: 3175 001862	- Doubon
10	pe :- Self employed	Private Sector	Public Sector Acade	mics Other
Designation :-	ime :	Present Lo	cation:	
Field of Working :- [	Ore Inter disciplinar	y 🔲 IT Indust	ry Administration	on Other
Higher Master Education: Year of A	er Degree P		a that 40 white the contract of the contract o	
Name of	the Institute: Savitaily	i Phule 9	oune University	, lune
quality of the progran				s / to improve
1. Rate the adequate © Excellent	ness of the courses offe Very Good	red in the progra	am.  Average	O Poor
2. Rate the sufficience	cy of syllabus current to	bridge the gap	between academes as	nd industry.
O Excellent	○Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
3. Rate the curriculum	n in relation to your cu	rrent profession	al standards.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
4. Rate the skills acquired Excellent	ired from the curriculary Very Good	um to face the in	ndustry challenges re Average	quirements.  O Poor
5. Rate the industry la	aboratory and equipme	nt acquaintance	s for practical export	stress.
O Excellent	O Very Good	<b>⊘</b> Good	○ Average	O Poor
6. Rate the offering o	f electives in relation t	o technology ad	vancements.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	<b>⊘</b> Good	○ Average	OPoor
7. Rate the design of t	he courses in terms of	extra learning	on self-learning.	
O Excellent	ØVery Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
8. Rate the training an	d placement cell in ge	tting ample pla	cement opportunitie	s.
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
Rate the competence	e and support offered	by the teachers		
Ø Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
0. Rate the institute's s	upport and contribution	on for the overa	all development of s	tudents.
Any other suggestio	n (s):			
	dassooms	must be	e corned	
ate 30/03/2013			Si-	Sgrallwood
30.7.25			Sign	ature of Alumni

#### **Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23**

OOB (DD/MM/YYYY):  'ear of Passing:- 'ermanent Address:-	1015-16 Kapashi, Tal. Shab	Department:	11 - Kolhaguy 869866880	10
Present Ty Organization:  Designation:  Designation:  Field of Working:  Gueation:  Waste  Year of A Name of  Please rate years  Please rate years	Self employed Peme:  Core Inter disciplinary or Degree Ph.I  dmission: 206-17 Nam the Institute: Savi Pri	Present Loca IT Industry Not A e of the Programm Ph Me the curriculum f	tion:  Administration:  Applicable Other  Pune Unite  For review of syllab	ion Other
. Rate the adequate	eness of the courses offer	ed in the progra  Good	M. Average	O Poor
O Excellent	Overy Good	bridge the gap	between academes	and industry.
	Very Good	<b>⊘</b> Good	O Average	OPoor
O Excellent	um in relation to your cur		al standards.	
	Oai Cood	( ) (iood	O IIII	O Poor
. Rate the skills ac	quired from the curriculu	im to face the in	ndustry challenges Average	requirements.  Poor
Pote the industry	laboratory and equipme	nt acquaintance	es for practical exp	ort stress.
O Excellent	O Very Good	<b>⊘</b> Good	O Average	O Poor
. Rate the offering	of electives in relation t	o technology a	dvancements.  Average	O Poor
O Excellent	Ø Very Good			
O Excellent	of the courses in terms of	O Good	O Average	O Poor
D-t- the training	and placement cell in go	etting ample pl	acement opportun	ities.
Rate the training  Excellent	ØVery Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
Date the compate	ence and support offered	by the teache	rs.	
	O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
O Excellent	's support and contribut	ion for the ove	erall development	of students.
Any other sugges	stion (s) : 			
ate 30/03/202				Signature of Alumn

#### Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar. Yashwantrao Chavi urance Cell 2022-23 Internal K ON CURRICULUM FACULTY Department: Academic Year: 2022-23 III. (Sem. Y 6 VI) Program Name: Year / Semester : Assistant Porteour Name of the Faculty: MT.U.G. Jambore Designation: Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the program. 1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program. O Poor O Good Average Very Good 2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum. O Poor O Excellent O Average Wery Good O Good 3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. O Excellent O Very Good Average ) Poor Good 4. Rate the sequence of the units / modules in the courses. Poor O Excellent Very Good O Good O Average 5. Rate the distribution of credits to the courses. Excellent O Very Good O Good Average O Poor 6. Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned for the courses. Excellent O Very Good O Good O Poor Average 7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives. O Excellent Very Good O Good O Average O Poor 8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students. Excellent O Very Good O Good Average ) Poor 9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the subject. O Excellent Very Good O Good Average ) Poor 10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area. O Excellent Very Good O Good Average ) Poor Any other suggestion (s):

Date: / /

Biochemistry

#### Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

## Internal Quality Assurance Cell FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: - Biochemistry	Academic Year: -2022-23		
Program Name: - B.sc	Year/Semester: - I		
Name of the Faculty: - Mrs. Halijo	Designation: Lecturer		

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the program. 1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program. O Poor O Average O Good O Very Good 2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum. O Poor O Average O Good Very Good O Excellent 3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / . current global scenarios. O Poor O Average O Good O Very Good O Excellent 4. Rate the sequence of the units / modules in the courses. O Poor O Average . O Good Very Good O Excellent 5. Rate the distribution of credits to the courses. O Poor O Average O Very Good O Good O Excellent 6. Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned for the courses. O Very Good O Good O Average O Poor Excellent 7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives. O Poor O Average O Very Good O Good Excellent 8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students. Poor O Average O Good O Very Good O Excellent 9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the subject. Poor O Average Very Good Good 10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area. Any other suggestion (s): Lack of required infrastructure

Date: 9/11/23

#### Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23 FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Department: Zoology	Academic Year: 2022-23		
Program Name: B. Sc.	Year / Semester: 2022-23		
Name of the Faculty: Dr. A.R. Bhusney	Designation: Assist Professol		

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the program. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program. O Poor O Good O Average Wery Good O Excellent 2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum. O Poor O Average O Good O Very Good 3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. O Poor O Average O Good Wery Good O Excellent 4. Rate the sequence of the units / modules in the courses. O Poor O Average O Good O Very Good Excellent 5. Rate the distribution of credits to the courses. O Poor O Average O Good O Very Good Excellent 6. Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned for the courses. O Poor O Average Wery Good O Good O Excellent 7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives. O Poor O Average Good O Very Good O Excellent 8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students. O Poor O Average O Good Wery Good O Excellent 9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the subject. O Poor O Average O Good Wery Good O Excellent 10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area. O Poor O Average Good Good O Very Good O Excellent for the D. research fudents. Any other suggestion (s): Date: 20/5/2023 Signature of Teacher

Department: 2001087	Year/Semester: 2022				
Program Name: B S.C.					
Name of the Faculty: 05 P. M. Bhoje Designation: H.O.D.					
Please rate your valuable feedback on the c quality of the program.	urriculum for review of syll	abus / to improve			
1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for	the entire program.				
O Excellent O Very Good	Good Average	O Poor			
2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the	e courses provided in the cu				
Chacellelle	Good Average	OPoor			
3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in	relation to the competencies	expected by industry /			
current global scenarios.					
O Excellent O Very Good	Good Average	OPoor			
4. Rate the sequence of the units / modules in the	courses.				
O Excellent O Very Good	Good Average	O Poor			
5. Rate the distribution of credits to the courses.					
DEACCHEM C	Good Average	OPoor			
6. Rate the adequateness of textbooks and referen	nce books mentioned for the	e courses.			
O LACCHOIL G	Good Average	O Poor			
7. Rate the potential of the students in understand	ding the course objectives.				
O LACCHEIR ( )	Good Average	O Poor			
8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in ter	ms of burden on students.				
O Excellent O Very Good	Good Average	O Poor			
9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the inte	rest of students in the subj	ect.			
	Good Average				
10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of	f professional core area.				
	Good Average	O Poor			
Any other suggestion (s): -  Courses - Wile - Co	ab cultose	pest			
culture, should be	added				
Date: 3/5/ 2023		Signature of Teacher			

couth

## Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

#### Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23 (B Se) FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

	- 7
N LS.	Academic Year: 2021-23
Department: Mathematics	Year / Semester: 2
Program Name: B.Sc-	Designation: Assistant Professor
Name of the Faculty: Dr. S. N-Gulgire	

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the program. 1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program. O Poor O Average O Good Wery Good 2. Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum. O Excellent O Poor O Average O Good Wery Good 3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. O Poor O Average O Good Wery Good O Excellent 4. Rate the sequence of the units / modules in the courses. O Poor O Average O Good Very Good O Excellent 5. Rate the distribution of credits to the courses. O Poor O Average O Good Very Good O Excellent 6. Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned for the courses. O Poor O Average O Good Very Good O Excellent 7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives. O Poor O Average O Good Very Good O Excellent 8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students. O Poor O Average Good O Very Good 9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the subject. O Poor O Average O Good Very Good O Excellent 10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area. O Poor O Average @ Good O Very Good O Excellent Any other suggestion (s):

Date of 101/23

boye.

#### Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

# Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23 FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

De	partment: Mathematis	Academic Year: 2012-113		
	ogram Name: T.S.	Year / Semester: 2012-13		
	me of the Faculty: Mirs J-S Shalce	Designation: Asi, PM		
qu	Please rate your valuable feedback on the cality of the program.			/ to improve
	Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the	⊃ Good	O Average	O Poor
	Excellent	relation to th		pected by industry /
3.		Telation to a		
	Excellent Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
	Excellent Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
		○ Good	○ Average	Poor
	( ) Excellent	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
	Rate the potential of the students in understand Excellent    Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
	Rate the syllabus content for the courses in to	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
9.	Rate the experiment list in stimulating the in	Good	dents in the subject  Average	. O Poor
10	Rate the contribution of the courses in terms	of professio	onal core area.	
	Excellent Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
	Any other suggestion (s):			
				-tin Ale

Date 02/01/20

# Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23 FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

	Academic Year: 2622-23
Department: Botany	Year/Semester: I Sero. I
Program Name: BSC. I	Designation: Asso. Professor
Name of the Faculty : Br. S . Khot	Designation

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the program.

qu	ality of the program.				
1.	Rate the structure of	f the curriculum frame	ed for the entire	program.	O Poor
	~ " ·	O Very Good	Good	O Average	
2.	Rate the appropriate	eness of the sequences	of the courses p	provided in the curric	O Poor
	_/	O VI-m. Good	( ) Good	O Wholage	
3.	Rate the depth of the	e syllabus of the cours	se in relation to	the competencies ex	pected by industry
	current global scena				
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	OPoor
4.	Rate the sequence of	f the units / modules	in the courses.		O Poor
1.7.7	O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
5	Rate the distribution	of credits to the cou	rses.		O Poor
	Ocupallant	O Very Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor
6	Rate the adequatene	ess of textbooks and r	eference books	mentioned for the co	ourses.
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
7	Rate the potential of	f the students in unde	rstanding the co	ourse objectives.	
1.	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
0	Pate the cyllahus co	intent for the courses	in terms of bure	den on students.	
8.	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
•	D 4 the averagement	list in stimulating th	e interest of stu	dents in the subject	
9.		O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
	© Excellent	n of the courses in te		onal core area.	
10.			() Good	○ Average	O Poor
	O Excellent	Ø Very Good	0 0000	Onreamge	
	Any other suggestio	n (s):	11 6- 01	abance d	
	SVIII (0	mponent show	na be en	nances	
					Roshan
					(William)

Date: 18/04/2023

Chem istry

# Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

Department: Chemistry		1Com	Academic Year: 2022-23  Year/Semester:  Designation: Asso Prof & Head  Southebus/to improve		
Program Name :	Year / Sem				
Name of the Faculty	: Prof. M. G. Chikalk	Designatio	11. 7123		
Please rate you quality of the program	r valuable feedback on t	he curriculum fo	or review of syllaou	s / to improve	
Rate the structure	of the curriculum framed	I for the entire p	rogram.	O Poor	
	OV- Cood	( ) (1000	0 11		
2. Rate the appropria	teness of the sequences	of the courses p	Average	O Poor	
3. Rate the depth of t		e in relation to t	ne competencies of		
current global scer	narios.		O Average	O Poor	
<b>⊗</b> Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Aveluge		
4. Rate the sequence	of the units / modules in	n the courses.	○ Average	O Poor	
⊗ Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	O Average		
5. Rate the distribution	on of credits to the cour	ses.	O Average	O Poor	
○ Excellent	Ø Very Good	○ Good		courses.	
6. Rate the adequater	ness of textbooks and re	ference books i	nentioned for the	O Poor	
O Evanllant	O Very Good	O G000	O Myorage		
7. Rate the potential	of the students in under	standing the co	ourse objectives.	○ Poor	
O Escallant	O Very Good	O Good	OAverage		
Rate the syllabus	content for the courses	in terms of bure	den on students.	Poor	
(XEveallent	O Very Good	O Good	O Average		
Rate the experime	nt list in stimulating the	e interest of stu	dents in the subje	ct.	
O Excellent	Very Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor	
Rate the contribut	ion of the courses in te	rms of professi	onal core area.	SET A SEE	
© Excellent	✓ Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
Excellent	<b>(</b> ), (,)				
Any other suggest	ion (s):				
				Signature of Teac	
Date: 12/4/2023				Signature of Teac	

Department: Economics		Academ	Academic Year: 2022-23 Year/Semester: 2022-23		
Program Name :	Year/S				
	V: Dx. D. R. Dhede	Designa	Designation: Professor		
Please rate yo quality of the progra	our valuable feedback on m.	the curriculum	for review of syllab	us / to improve	
1. Rate the structure	e of the curriculum frame	ed for the entire	program.		
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
2. Rate the appropri	iateness of the sequences	of the courses	provided in the curri	culum.	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
3. Rate the depth of	the syllabus of the cours	se in relation to	the competencies ex	pected by industry /	
current global sce	enarios.				
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
4. Rate the sequence	e of the units / modules i	n the courses.			
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
5. Rate the distribut	ion of credits to the cour	ses.			
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
6. Rate the adequate	ness of textbooks and re	eference books	mentioned for the co	ourses.	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
7. Rate the potential	of the students in under	standing the co	ourse objectives.		
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
8. Rate the syllabus	content for the courses i	n terms of bure	len on students.		
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor	
Rate the experime	nt list in stimulating the	interest of stud	dents in the subject.		
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
0. Rate the contributi	on of the courses in terr	ns of professio	onal core area.		
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
Any other suggesti	ion (s):				
				Bhedre.	
ate:01/08/2023			Sign	ature of Teacher	
With the William William In the			Jigii	and of Todeller	

The state of the s	Year: 2022	- 1 TT X-1
Year / Sen	nester: BA. I	117 11 100
Designation	on: Proof. 31	- Himory.
1101.	e syllabi	is / to improve
curriculum 10	or review of system	
or the entire p	orogram.	O Poor
( ) (1000		iculum.
the courses p	Average	Poor
	( ) 11144	
n relation to t	ine competencies co	
○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
he courses.	A Marie Williams	O Poor
○ Good	O Average	01001
s.	-	O Poor
Good		
rence books	mentioned for the	Poor
Good	O Average	Oron
anding the co	ourse objectives.	O Boor
O Good	O Avelage	O Poor
terms of bure	den on students.	
○ Good	O Average	O Poor
nterest of stu	idents in the subject	ct.
○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
	onal core area.	No. of the latest
○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
man f	नेमारा स	ठक्का व
1	01111	
ALLEY OF THE PARTY		
<i>*</i>		Au
	Year / Sen Designation Curriculum for the entire process of Good The courses process of Good The courses of Good The course of Good	Year / Semester: BA. J. Designation: Prof. J.  The curriculum for review of syllable of the entire program. Good Average The courses provided in the curriculum for the competencies enterest of students in the subjectives. Good Average The courses of the course objectives. Good Average

commence

#### Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

D	OMMERCE	Academ	ic Year: 2022	13	
	B. Lom.		emester: B. Com.T	II-Jem ZAVI	
Program Name :			tion: Head &	Useg- burg.	
	PAR. R.B. Busnank				
Please rate you quality of the program	ur valuable feedback on	the curriculum	for review of syllabu	s / to improve	
1. Rate the structure	of the curriculum frame	d for the entire	program.		
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
2. Rate the appropria	teness of the sequences	of the courses	provided in the curric	culum.	
○ Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
3. Rate the depth of t	he syllabus of the cours	e in relation to	the competencies ex	pected by industry /	
current global scen					
○ Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor	
4. Rate the sequence	of the units / modules in	the courses.			
○ Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor	
5. Rate the distribution	on of credits to the cours	ses.			
O Excellent	<ul><li>Very Good</li></ul>	Good	○ Average	O Poor	
6. Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned for the courses.					
O Excellent	<ul><li>Very Good</li></ul>	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
7. Rate the potential of	of the students in unders	standing the co	ourse objectives.		
© Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor	
8. Rate the syllabus c	ontent for the courses in	terms of bure	den on students.		
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor	
	t list in stimulating the	interest of stu	dents in the subject.		
O Excellent	<ul><li>Very Good</li></ul>	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
	on of the courses in tern				
O Excellent	O Very Good		○ Average	O Poor	
				0.00	
Any other suggestic	on (s):				
	Industry	Gased	Syllabus mu	of be needed	
				209	
pate: 19/04/2013			Sign	ature of Teacher	

Sociology

# Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

	1	Academic	Year: 7022-	23
Department: Soci	Year / Se	mester: III		
	B.A. Patil	Designati	on: Head, of	Dept.
quality of the program	r valuable feedback on t			s / to improve
Rate the structure	of the curriculum framed	I for the entire	program.	Poor
	Wary Good	( ) Good	OAVCIAGO	
2. Rate the appropria	teness of the sequences	of the courses	provided in the curri	O Poor
	O Mari Good	COOO	Average	
Rate the depth of t	he syllabus of the course	e in relation to	the competencies ex	pected by madady
current global scer				Poor
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	Oron
	of the units / modules in	the courses.		O Page
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	OPoor
	on of credits to the cours	ses.		O Pros
O Excellent	O-Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
. Rate the adequater	ness of textbooks and re	ference books	mentioned for the c	ourses.
O Excellent	Wery Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
. Rate the potential	of the students in under	standing the co	ourse objectives.	
( Excellent	O Very Good	Ø Good	( Average	O Poor
Rate the syllabus of	content for the courses i	n terms of bur	den on students.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
	nt list in stimulating the	interest of stu	idents in the subject	
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
	ion of the courses in ter		onal core area.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
Any other suggest	ion(s):			need of ma
Date: 6 /04/ 2013			Si	gnature of Teacher

marrathi

# Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

	ACOLITICA	Academic	Year: 2022	-23
	ruthi	Year / Se	mester: 2012.	23. I B II
Program Name: B. A. 9 MIN.			58 & HOD	
Name of the Faculty :	Dr. S. S. Jadh	MIN. AT PROPERTY.		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH
Please rate your	valuable feedback on t	the curriculum	for review of syllabus	to improve
quality of the program.				
1. Rate the structure of	of the curriculum framed	d for the entire	program.	Poor
<b>A</b> = 11-4	O Very Good	Good	O Avelage	9
2. Rate the appropriat	eness of the sequences	of the courses	provided in the curric	O Poor
	O Vary Good	( ) Good	Overage	
Rate the depth of th	ne syllabus of the cours	e in relation to	the competencies exp	sected by manny
current global scen				Poor
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	0.00
A. Rate the sequence	of the units / modules is	n the courses.		O Poor
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	0100.
5. Rate the distribution	on of credits to the cour	ses.		○ Poor
O Excellent	<ul><li>Very Good</li></ul>	○ Good	○ Average	
. Rate the adequater	ess of textbooks and re	eference books	mentioned for the co	O Poor
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	Orom
. Rate the potential	of the students in under	rstanding the co	ourse objectives.	O Page
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
. Rate the syllabus c	ontent for the courses	in terms of bur	den on students.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	Average	O Poor
. Rate the experimen	nt list in stimulating the	e interest of stu	idents in the subject.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
	on of the courses in ter	rms of professi	onal core area.	
● Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
Any other suggesti	ion (s):			
, any once 5-88000				
				41/849
Date: / /			Sig	nature of Teacher

english

# Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

# Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23 FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

FACULIT	2013
	Academic Year: 2022-2023
Department: English	Year / Semester :
Name of the Faculty : Dr. D. D. Satpute	Designation: Asst. Prof.

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the program.

qua	ality of the program.				
	Pate the structure of t	the curriculum framed	I for the entire p	rogram.	Poor
		O Vary Good	( ) Good	O Avelage	
2	Pate the appropriates	ness of the sequences	of the courses p	rovided in the curricu	Door
		OIL Cond	( ) ( YOOQ	1110100	
3	Rate the depth of the	syllabus of the cours	e in relation to t	he competencies expe	ected by industry /
J.	current global scenar	ios.			
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
4	Rate the sequence of	f the units / modules in	n the courses.		O Provi
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
5.		of credits to the cour	ses.		O Poor
	■ Evcellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
6.	Rate the adequatene	ss of textbooks and re	eference books i	mentioned for the cou	urses.
	♠ Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
7.	Rate the potential of	f the students in under	rstanding the co	ourse objectives.	0.1
	■ Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
8.	Rate the syllabus co	entent for the courses	in terms of bure	den on students.	
	O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	<ul><li>Average</li></ul>	O Poor
9.	Rate the experiment	t list in stimulating th	e interest of stu	dents in the subject.	
	• Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
10		n of the courses in te	rms of profession	onal core area.	
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
	Any other suggestion	on (s):			
-	-	satisfied w	ith the	curriculum.	
-					

Date: 13/3/2023

Geography

#### Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

Department: C	nent: Geography Academic Year: 2022 2023			
Program Name :	Program Name: 12. A Yea			rester
Name of the Facul	INDA. biz. Bai	Designat	100: A290 Ci-	to besperson
Please rate y quality of the progra	your valuable feedback on am.	the curriculum	for review of syllab	us / to improve
1. Rate the structur	are of the curriculum frame	ed for the entire	program.	The second
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
2. Rate the appropr	oriateness of the sequences	of the courses	provided in the curri	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
3. Rate the depth o	of the syllabus of the cours	se in relation to	the competencies ex	xpected by industry
current global so				
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
4. Rate the sequence	ce of the units / modules i	in the courses.		
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	OPoor
5. Rate the distribu	ution of credits to the cour	rses.		
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
. Rate the adequat	teness of textbooks and re	eference books i		
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
. Rate the potentia	al of the students in under	rstanding the co	urse objectives.	
<b>Excellent</b>	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
. Rate the syllabus	s content for the courses i	in terms of burd	len on students.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	Poor
. Rate the experim	nent list in stimulating the	e interest of stud	dents in the subject.	
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
	ution of the courses in ter	ms of professio	nal core area.	
Excellent	○ Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
Any other sugges	stion (s):			1
, other sugge	stion (s): All Y	he Co	urses	of browns
at both no	and the	studio	nte al	Wide at
Capara	ger the	Poss	Col.	The ist
die.	11 lodbo	1 10 70	1 hours	THE FACE
1102904202				mature of Teacher

# Internal Quality Assurance Cell FACULTY FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Dunetunati of II Lice	Academic Year: 2022-23
	Year/Semester: 2023
Name of the Faculty: Mr. S. N. Golgire	Designation: Assistant Poot

Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve quality of the program. 1. Rate the structure of the curriculum framed for the entire program. O Poor O Average O Good Very Good O Excellent Rate the appropriateness of the sequences of the courses provided in the curriculum. () Poor O Average O Good O Very Good Excellent 3. Rate the depth of the syllabus of the course in relation to the competencies expected by industry / current global scenarios. O Poor ( Average O Good Very Good O Excellent 4. Rate the sequence of the units / modules in the courses. O Poor O Average O Good Very Good O Excellent Rate the distribution of credits to the courses. O Poor O Average O Good O Very Good Excellent 6. Rate the adequateness of textbooks and reference books mentioned for the courses. O Poor O Average O Good O Very Good Excellent 7. Rate the potential of the students in understanding the course objectives. O Poor O Good O Average Wery Good O Excellent 8. Rate the syllabus content for the courses in terms of burden on students. O Poor O Average Very Good O Good O Excellent 9. Rate the experiment list in stimulating the interest of students in the subject. O Poor O Average Very Good O Good O Excellent 10. Rate the contribution of the courses in terms of professional core area. Any other suggestion (s):

Date : % 10413

1110021111	
Department: DNUCC	Academic Year: 2012-2011
Program Name: A.C.	Year / Semester: Odel
Name of the Faculty: Dr-8. J. laules	Designation: ASSO. POOL

Please rate vou	r valuable feedback on	the curriculum f	for review of sylla	bus / to improve
quality of the program				
1 Rate the structure	of the curriculum frame	d for the entire	program.	O Poor
● E 11t	O Very Good	Good	O Average	OPoor
2 Rate the appropria	teness of the sequences	of the courses p	provided in the cu	rriculum.
	O XI Cood	DOOT) ( )	O III orange	
3 Rate the depth of t	the syllabus of the cours	se in relation to	the competencies	expected by industry
current global scen				
© Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
	of the units / modules	in the courses.		O Proces
© Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
	on of credits to the cou	rses.		O Page
Evallent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
6. Rate the adequate	ness of textbooks and r	eference books	mentioned for the	courses.
© Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	O Average	OPoor
7. Rate the potential	of the students in unde	erstanding the co	ourse objectives.	
@ Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
8. Rate the syllabus	content for the courses	in terms of bur	den on students.	
© Excellent	O Very Good		O Average	O Poor
9. Rate the experime	ent list in stimulating th	ne interest of stu	idents in the subj	ect.
@ Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	
10. Rate the contribu	tion of the courses in to	erms of professi	ional core area.	
Any other sugges				
				Ring
Date: 13/01/2023				Signature of Teacher
				- Garage

#### **Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23** PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Nan	ne & occupation of P	arents:				
Eatl	Lohar M.	chader Rang	Occ	upation:		
rau	Lohar A	ahader Rang rchana Mah	ader Occ	supation: Theony		
Mo	1/P - 48	rale Jal-Pa	inhala Di	St - Kahapus  Mobile Number:	3412895875	
Add	aress	Lohar SWAH	Manader	Mobile Number : -	2023-28	
Nai	me of the state	ry		Mobile Number : Year : for review of syllabus	/+o improve	
De	Please rate your	valuable feedback on	the curriculum	for review of syllabus	7 to improve	
qua	lity of the program.			f the workload of the	courses in	
1.	Rate the program tha	it your ward is underg	going in terms o	f the workload of the	O Poor	
	Fxcellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
2.	Rate the quality and	relevance of the cour	ses included in	the semester.	O Poor	
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
3.	Rate the quality of te	eaching at the institut	e.		O Boos	
	<b>●</b> F H	O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor	
4.	Rate the treatment of	f the students by the	faculty irrespect	ive of the backgroung and evaluation.	d of the student that	
	includes Gender, Ca  O Excellent	ste, Community cree	Good	O Average	O Poor	
5		y of the evaluation s	ystem followed	by the institute.		
5.	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
6		hat your world has ac	hieved from the	courses.		
0.	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
7			ce to the latest t	echnologies or furthe	er technologies?	
	O Excellent	O Very Good .		○ Average	O Poor	
8.				buting towards your	wards self-growth.	
	Excellent	O Very Good			O Poor	
9.	Rate the institute's s	upport and contributi	ion for your war	rd in getting jobs and	placements.	
	© Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
10.	10. Rate the transformation of your word after in completion of the course.					
	Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor	
	Any other suggestion				J.33	
				Ve	214.00	

Date: / /

Signature of Parents

### **Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23** PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name & occupation of Father: Mahapus	Parents: , 1		tion :		
Name & occupation of	re Ravi Dad	-U Occi	apation .		
Father: Mahapus Mother: Mahapus	e shabha R	aviocc	t - Kolhapus	11.0100	
Mother: Mahapu Mother: Mahapu Address: A/P - K	odoli, Tal-Pa	nhala De	L Number : -	8010714242	
Address: -//	Mahapure Sa	ndhya Rav	-Mobile Number .	2022-2023	
Mother: Mahapu Address: A/P - Ko Name of the Students: Department: His	tory		rear.	/ to improve	
Department :	valuable feedback on	the curriculum f	for review of syllabus		
quality of the program.			the workload of the	courses in	
1 Rate the program th	nat your ward is underg	oing in terms of	i die west	Poor	
different selliesters.	O Very Good	O Good	O Avelug-	Oros	
Excellent     Rate the quality and	d relevance of the cours	ses included in	the semester.	Poor	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average		
3. Rate the quality of	teaching at the institute	e	0 1	Poor	
			O Average	d of the student that	
Evcellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
5. Rate the transparer	ncy of the evaluation sy	stem followed	by the institute.		
© Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
6. Rate the outcomes	that your world has ac	hieved from the	e courses.		
O Evcellent	Wery Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
7. Rate the courses in	terms of their relevan	ce to the latest	technologies or furth	er technologies?	
Excellent	O Very Good .	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
8. Rate the overall fac		institute contri	buting towards your	wards self-growth.	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
9. Rate the institute's		ion for your wa	rd in getting jobs an	d placements.	
© Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor	
10. Rate the transformation of your word after in completion of the course.					
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	OPoor	
		0000	Average	01001	
Any other suggesti	ion (s):				
		-	0,	Wiso many	
Date · / /				The transfer of the transfer o	

Signature of Parents

# Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23 B. Sc. PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name & occupation of	ame & occupation of Parents:		farmer		
Name & occupation of Parents:  Father: Shir Nanaso Bandu Pah) Occupation: Home: with					
Sai Seraita Memado 1-10 - Occupanto M Wallrown					
Address: All' Kalcon' Tel Binhall Mobile Number: 8975378307  Name of the Students: Mx. Vilhneyit Namar Publ Mobile Number: 2012-23.  Year: 2012-23.					
Name of the Students: Year: Year: Year: Department: Mathematical Students of syllabus / to improve					
Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for feets					
quality of the program.  1. Rate the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the workload of the courses in					
Rate the program the different semesters.	nat your ward is underg		Avarage	Poor	
O Excellent	Wery Good	O Good	Average		
2. Rate the quality and relevance of the courses included in the semester.					
O Excellent	Wery Good	○ Good	O Average		
3. Rate the quality of	teaching at the institute		0 1	Poor	
○ Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average		
4. Rate the treatment of the students by the faculty irrespective of the background of the student that includes Gender, Caste, Community creed etc. in teaching and evaluation.  Or Good Average Poor					
includes Gender, C  Excellent	aste, Community creed  Output  Description:	○ Good	O Average	OPoor	
5. Rate the transparency of the evaluation system followed by the institute.					
© Excellent	O Very Good	@ Good	O Average	O Poor	
5. Rate the outcomes that your world has achieved from the courses.					
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
7 Rate the courses in	. Rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest technologies or further technologies?				
O Excellent	Very Good .		○ Average	O Poor	
8. Rate the overall facilities available at the institute contributing towards your wards self-growth.					
© Excellent	Wery Good	Good	O Average	O Poor	
9. Rate the institute's support and contribution for your ward in getting jobs and placements.					
O Excellent	O Very Good		○ Average	Poor	
10. Rate the transforma					
				O Page	
O Excellent	Wery Good	Good	○ Average	OPoor	
Any other suggesti	on (s):				
				~ B	
Date: chidi u					
				ature of Parents	

# Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23 PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULA			
Name & occupation of Parents:  Father: Shn Sund Nayku shirke	Farmer		
Father: Sha Sharada Shind Sharke Mother: Som Sharada Shind Sharke	Occupation:		
Father: Shareda Funil Shork	Occupation: The kallapre		
Mother: Sharada Funil Sharke Mother: Son, Sharada Funil Sharke Address: Alp: Bahire nedi, Ta	1. Panhous 1673761618		
Department:	year:		
Department: — Mc + L/L Man + M	iculum for review of syllabus / to impre-		
quality of the program.	torms of the workload of the courses in		
1 Rate the program that your ward is undergoing in	Poor Poor		
different semesters.	Good O Tribus		
2. Rate the quality and relevance of the courses incl	uded in the semester.		
2. Rate the quarry and Very Good O	Good O Average		
Rate the quality of teaching at the institute.	Good O Average O Poor		
O Excellent	Good Average Average of the student that		
	Good O Average		
the evaluation system f	followed by the institute.		
O Freedlant O Very Good	0000		
6. Rate the outcomes that your world has achieved	from the courses.		
War Good W	Good		
7. Rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the	he latest technologies or further technologies?		
GII - Cood	(1000 ) Average		
O Excellent Very Good .	nte contributing towards your wards self-growth.		
O 11 0 - 1	Good O Average O Poor		
9. Rate the institute's support and contribution for	your ward in getting jobs and placements.		
1	Good O Average O Poor		
Excellent			
10. Rate the transformation of your word after in c	Good O Average O Poor		
O Excellent O 103	J Good O Missings		
Any other suggestion (s):			

Date : ch/ol/ 13

### Internal Quality Assurance Cell

# PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM (2022-23) M.Sc.

	(20	122-23) 11	[ . m	0x
Name & occupation of I	Parents: S Tam	byekar occi	apation: +agiii	e su dife
Name & occupation of Parents:  Father: Sakharam S. Tambyekar Occupation: Farmer  Mother: Mangala S. Tambyekar Occupation: Housewife  Mother: Mangala S. Tambyekar Occupation: 9011668527				
N /	1 / / / / /			
Address: ALP	varange	S. Tambve	Mobile Number:	1011600751
Name of the Students:	Viangassin		Year:	2023-
Name of the Students:  Department:	hemarics	he surriculum f	or review of syllabu	s / to improve
Please rate your	valuable feedback on i	ile current		
quality of the program.  1. Rate the program th	at your ward is underg	oing in terms of	f the workload of the	courses in
<ol> <li>Rate the program in different semesters.</li> </ol>		○ Good	O Average	O Poor
	Very Good			
Excellent  2. Rate the quality and	relevance of the cours	○ Good	( Average	O Poor
Excellent	O Very Good	Occo		6
3. Rate the quality of	teaching at the institute	Cood	O Average	O Poor
O Excellent	Very Good	O Good	ing of the backgroun	nd of the student that
O Excellent  4. Rate the treatment of	of the students by the faste, Community creed	aculty irrespect	g and evaluation.	O Poor
includes Gender, C	Nery Good	. O Good	O Average	OPoor
5. Rate the transparen	cy of the evaluation sy	ystem followed	by the institute.	
O Excellent	O Very Good	€ Good	O Avelage	O Poor
6. Rate the outcomes	that your world has ac	hieved from the	e courses.	
OF 11	Werv Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
7. Rate the courses in	terms of their relevan	ce to the latest	technologies or furt	her technologies?
OFmallent	Very Good	O Good	O Average	01001
© Excellent  8. Rate the overall fa	cilities available at the	institute contri	buting towards you	r wards self-growth.
	S Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
O Excellent  9. Rate the institute's		ion for your wa	rd in getting jobs a	nd placements.
		() Good	( Average	Poor
O Excellent	Very Good			
10. Rate the transformation of your word after in completion of the course.				
Any other suggest	ion (s):			
-				
			-	तेअराम.
Date: / /			Si	onature of Parents

# Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23 PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

PARENTST		2		
Name & occupation of Parents:  Father: Gunda . P. Boigaon	okarOc	cupation: ECY IX	1. ife	
Father: Gunda P. Bulgara	00 Kar 00	cupation: - House	ENVIT	
Address: - A/F Children	inda Borgaonk	af-Mobile Number:	2022-23	
Mother: - Kamat . a  Address: - A/P chilrurde,  Name of the Students: Sujata Gi		Year:	2022	
Department : Zoology  Please rate your valuable feedb	ack on the curriculum	for review of syllabu	s / to improve	
Please rate your valuable recut		a.i. and load of the	courses in	
quality of the program.  1. Rate the program that your ward is	undergoing in terms	of the workload of the	0.7	
different semesters.	~ ~ 1	O Average	O Poor	
Lity and relevance of t	he courses included in	the semester.		
2. Rate the quality and relevance of the Control of	ood Good	O Average	OPoor	
3. Rate the quality of teaching at the				
011 0	- d Good	O Average	OPoor	
O Laconomic	by the faculty irrespec	tive of the backgroun	nd of the student that	
includes Gender, Caste, Commun		Average	O Poor	
OExcellent O Very Go  5. Rate the transparency of the evaluation	000			
	~ - 1	O Average	O Poor	
Excellent O Very G	000			
6. Rate the outcomes that your world		○ Average	O Poor	
O Excellent O Very G  7. Rate the courses in terms of their	004		ner technologies?	
	ood . O Good	○ Average	OPoor	
8. Rate the overall facilities available			wards self-growth.	
		Average	OPoor	
©Excellent				
9. Rate the institute's support and co			O Poor	
©Excellent		○ Average	Oroot	
10. Rate the transformation of your word after in completion of the course.				
©Excellent	ood Good	○ Average	O Poor	
Any other suggestion (s):				
			Ant	

Date: 6 /4/2023

1,4010

### Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

# Internal Quality Assurance Cell PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

	Inna Rose of CI	Damanta .			
	Name & occupation of I	on Lumbhar		cupation: 10b	
F	Father: Sany bokan kumbhar Occupation: 10b  Mother: Hatshala sany kumbhat Occupation: House wife				
	1 11 12 12 0	ANANA			
A	lama of the Students	omkor soniu	kumbhat	Mobile Number : -82	767123216
D	epartment: Physic	S		Year : 2.0	102-23
D	Please rate your	valuable feedback on t	he curriculum f	for review of syllabus /	to improve
	nality of the program.				
1.	Rate the program tha	t your ward is undergo	oing in terms of	f the workload of the co	urses in
	different semesters.  O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
2.	Rate the quality and r	elevance of the course	es included in t	he semester.	
	○ Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
3.	Rate the quality of tea	aching at the institute.			
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
4.	Rate the treatment of	the students by the fac	culty irrespecti	ve of the background of	f the student that
	includes Gender, Cast O Excellent	te, Community creed of Very Good	etc. in teaching Good	and evaluation.  Average	O Poor
5.	Rate the transparency	of the evaluation syst	em followed b	y the institute.	
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
6.	Rate the outcomes tha	t your world has achie	eved from the	courses.	
	O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
7.	Rate the courses in terr	ms of their relevance	to the latest ted	chnologies or further te	chnologies?
				Average	
8. ]				ting towards your ward	
		Very Good			O Poor
9. I				in getting jobs and pla	
	<u> </u>	Very Good		Average	
10. F	Rate the transformation				O Poor
	any other suggestion (s		- completion (	of the course.	
0	teate to	the appoint	tunity.	ne industri	. 1
-		7-7	7-4	de-maustel	cu.

Date: / /

# Internal Quality Assurance Cell PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

PARENTS FEED
Name & occupation of Parents:  Father: Aanad Yashwant shipugade occupation: house wife  Octobara Aanad shipugade occupation:
Name & occupation of Parents:  Father: Agnad Yashwant shipugade occupation: house wife  Mother: Agrahana Agnad shipugade occupation:
Mother Agrichana Aanod shipugade Occupation
Mother: Agichand Hatisa at 1999  Address: All Rodoli  Name of the Students: Akhilesh Agnad shipugoMobile Number: 2022-23  Physics  Physics  Physics
Name of the Students: Akhilesh Agnag Shiping Vear: 2022-28
Department: Physics  Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllabus / to improve  Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of the courses in
to your valuable recuback of the
Please rate your variation of the program.  quality of the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the workload of the courses in  1. Rate the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the workload of the courses in  1. Please rate your variation of the workload of the courses in  1. Please rate your variation of the workload of the courses in  1. Please rate your variation of the workload of the courses in  1. Please rate your variation of the workload of the courses in  1. Please rate your variation of the workload of the courses in
different semesters
Lite and relevance of the courses included in the semester.
2. Rate the quality and relevance of Sood
Reste the quality of teaching at the institute.
Excellent  Very Good  Good  Good  Hate the treatment of the students by the faculty irrespective of the background of the student that includes Gender, Caste, Community creed etc. in teaching and evaluation.  O Excellent  O Very Good  O Average  O Excellent
The evaluation system followed by the institute.
Very Good Good Tribles
But the outcomes that your world has achieved from the courses.
Very Good Good Average
7. Rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest technologies or further technologies?
Rycellent Overy Good Good Average Oron
8. Rate the overall facilities available at the institute contributing towards your wards self-growth.
O Excellent Overage O Poor
9. Rate the institute's support and contribution for your ward in getting jobs and placements.
- OG I O Assessed O Poor
O Excellent Very Good Control
10. Rate the transformation of your word after in completion of the course.
Any other suggestion (s):  ( see to lo the indeter a prostupity. Adding
industrial syllabus in B.Sc. third year Syllabus
industrial syllabus in . B.Sc. third year Syllabus.

Date: / /

Arshipu gade Signature of Parents

Name & occupation of Parents:   Control - Occupation: Former	
Goviergo Hando Smile	
SINOZDO SGOJEOGO SILI	
Mother: Suvarna sariera o Shinde Occupation:  Mother: Suvarna sariera o Shinde Occupation:  Address: Alp-manpadale Tal-Hat Dist-Kolhapur  Address: Shivani sariera o Shinde Mobile Number: 88300  Name of the Students: Shivani sariera o Shinde Mobile Number: 2023	670917
2023	
Name of the Students.  Pio-chemistry  Department: Bio-chemistry  including for review of syllabus / to impr	ove
Department: S10 - Chelling of Strain	
quality of the program.	n
quality of the program.  1. Rate the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the workload of the courses in the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the workload of the courses in the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the workload of the courses in the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the workload of the courses in the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the workload of the courses in the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the workload of the courses in the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the workload of the courses in the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the workload of the courses in the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the workload of the courses in the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the workload of the courses in the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the workload of the courses in the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the workload of the course in the program that your ward is undergoing the program that your ward is not the program that	nor
different semesters. O Very Good O Good O Average	501
Posts the quality and relevance of the courses included in the semester.	0.05
2. Rate the quality and relevance of the Cood O Average O Po	001
a. D. to the smelity of teaching at the institute.	
Good O Average	The state of the s
the faculty irrespective of the background of the st	udent that
includes Gender, Caste, Community Cloud Cond Average OP	oor :
O Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average  5. Rate the transparency of the evaluation system followed by the institute.	
1	oor
O Excellent 6 very 6000	
6. Rate the outcomes that your world has achieved from the courses.  Observed that Your World Average OP	oor
Excellent Overy Good O Good O III	
7. Rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest technologies or further technologies.	Poor
O Excellent O very Good S seed . S seed	
8. Rate the overall facilities available at the institute contributing towards your wards self	
9 . L., CO.	Poor
9. Rate the institute's support and contribution for your ward in getting jobs and placemer	its.
O Excellent O Very Good O Good O Average	Poor
10. Rate the transformation of your word after in completion of the course.	
Any other suggestion (s):	
Every thing is excellent.	
81.4	
Date:20/11/2022 Signature of Pr	arents

# Internal Quality Assurance Cell PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

F-mox
Name & occupation of Parents:  Patel Occupation: FORTING
Name & occupation of Parents:  Father: Babuzan Bhagavan Patil Occupation: Farmer  Mother: Lata Babuzan Patil Occupation: House wite  Mother: Lata Babuzan Patil Occupation: 9145738689
Mother: Lata Babuzaco Isa
Mother: Lata Barbuzato 19145738689  Address: kekhale  Name of the Students: Sheware Barbuzato Patil Mobile Number: 9145738689  Year:
Name of the Students: - Sheovore xygreet
Department: Bio-chemistry  Department: Bio-chemistry  Department: Bio-chemistry
to your valuable feedback on the current
quality of the program.  1. Rate the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the workload of the courses in  2. Cood Average Poor
1. Rate the program that your ward is under Good O Average O Poor different semesters.
William Cood
2. Rate the quality and relevance of the courses included in the semester.  O Poor
O Excellent  Very Good
3. Rate the quality of teaching at the institute.  OGood OAverage OPoor
© Excellent Overy Good O Good O Average  O Good O Average  O Excellent O Very Good O Average  O Good O Average
4. Rate the treatment of the students by the faculty irrespective of disconnection.  includes Gender, Caste, Community creed etc. in teaching and evaluation.  Poor  Poor
Excellent Very Good  5. Rate the transparency of the evaluation system followed by the institute.  6. Poor
Excellent Very Good Cood  6. Rate the outcomes that your world has achieved from the courses.
OR Wery Good Good O Average
O Excellent O Very Good O Good
Cood () Average ()1001
8. Rate the overall facilities available at the institute contributing towards your wards self-growth
A Nierage () Poor
9. Rate the institute's support and contribution for your ward in getting jobs and placements.
O Excellent O Telly Good O
10. Rate the transformation of your word after in completion of the course.
Any other suggestion (s):
Syllabus wax good

Date: 18/12/23

					. ~~
N	ame & occupation of	Parents:	Occ	cupation:	mer
F	ame & occupation of ather:	ingh Jagnes		cupation:	
- N	lother:	The state of the s			
A	ddress: Bake	Mics. Sauika	Jadhau	Mobile Number :	84596217
N	ame of the Students:	emistry		Year:-	2012 1
D	epartment :	valuable feedback on	the curriculum	for review of syllabu	s / to improve
aı					
1.	Rate the program the	at your ward is underg	oing in terms o	of the workload of the	courses in
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
2.	Rate the quality and	relevance of the cours	ses included in	the semester.	O Poor
	⊗ Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	OFOOI
3.	Rate the quality of to	eaching at the institute			Poor
	O Excellent		○ Good		
	O Excellent	Very Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor
5.	Rate the transparence				
	<b>⊘</b> Excellent	O Very Good			O Poor
6.	Rate the outcomes th	nat your world has ach	nieved from the	e courses.	
	O Excellent				O Poor
7.	Rate the courses in to	erms of their relevance	e to the latest	technologies or furth	er technologies?
	○ Excellent	0,		O Average	O Poor
8.	Rate the overall facil	lities available at the i	institute contri	buting towards your	wards self-growth
	O Excellent		○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
9.	Rate the institute's su	apport and contribution	on for your wa	rd in getting jobs and	d placements.
	<b>Excellent</b>	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
10.	Rate the transformat	ion of your word afte	r in completio	n of the course.	
	<b>Excellent</b>	O Very Good		○ Average	O Poor
	Any other suggestion	n (s):			
Dat	e: 1574/2023			H	ianay CD
Charles of the last of the las				Olgi	ature of Parchis

#### Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23 PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Lect	ux28
Name & occupation of Parents:  Father: Satish Virupaksh Ingale Occupation: Occupation:	
Father: Occupation:	
Mother:  Address:  Name of the Students:  Sai, S. Ingale  Year  Chemistor	7665882483
Address:	2022-23
a lasta : secondaria y Cal	
Name of the Students: Sai, Year  Chemisto  Department: Please rate your valuable feedback on the curriculum for review of syllal  Other program.	ous / to mar
	he courses in
please rate your valuable reconstructions of the program.  1. Rate the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the workload of the different semesters.  O Good O Average	Poor
different sent	Oros
2. Rate the quality and relevance of the courses included in the semester.	O Poor
	O Poor
© Excellent O very Good	
3. Rate the quality of teaching at the institute.  O Good O Average	OPoor
Excellent Very good	ound of the student that
Excellent  Very Good  Good  Average  4. Rate the treatment of the students by the faculty irrespective of the background includes Gender, Caste, Community creed etc. in teaching and evaluation.  Good  Average	O Poor
includes Gender, Caste, Overy Good Good Good	
Detailed transparency of the evaluation system followed by the institute.	○ Poor
Very Good Good	
Pote the outcomes that your world has achieved from the courses.	○ Poor
Can Cood () Good O Miles	
Reta the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest technologies or fi	urther technologies:
OVery Good () Good O Average	
8. Rate the overall facilities available at the institute contributing towards y	our wards self-growth.
Good () Average	O Poor
9. Rate the institute's support and contribution for your ward in getting jobs	s and placements.
1 OC Average	○ Poor
Excellent Overy Good	
10. Rate the transformation of your word after in completion of the course.	Poor
○ Excellent	01001
Any other suggestion (s):	
	Strigate -

Date: 17/4/2023

### Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23 PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

	ARENIS			
N & occupation of	Parents:	0	Job.	.0-
Name & occupation of Pather: Deepok Dir Mother: Tyoti De	nkar Kumbhar	Occ	upation: House	wite
Mother Justi De	epak Kumbha?		I-11	1,5100
Mother: Tyoti De  Address: Waxanar  Name of the Students:	agar cement	- court king	hamobile Number: 9	404405400-
Name of the Students:	miguena		Year:	2023-23
Name of the Students:  Department: - Rodan	y		for review of syllabus	/ to improve
Disass rate VOIII	valuable feedback on the	ic curre	10.1	and in
quality of the program.	nat your ward is undergo	oing in terms o	f the workload of the	courses in
<ol> <li>Rate the program the different semesters.</li> </ol>		○ Good	O Average	O Poor
The state of the s	C > Very (TOOU			
<ul><li>Excellent</li><li>Rate the quality and</li></ul>	d relevance of the course	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
O Excellent	○ Very Good	0 0000		
3. Rate the quality of			O Average	O Poor
Excellent  4. Rate the treatment of the Condon Cond	O Very Good	ltv irrespec	tive of the backgroun	d of the student that
4. Rate the treatment	aste, Community creed	etc. in teaching	ng and evaluation.	Poor
Dynallant	( ) VCI y GOOG			
5. Rate the transparen	cy of the evaluation sys	stem followed	by the institute.	Poor
	OII Cood	( ) (iood	() Avoluge	
<ul><li>Excellent</li><li>Rate the outcomes</li></ul>	that your world has ach	nieved from th	e courses.	○ Poor
On Illand	W Very Good	( G000	O Avelage	
7. Rate the courses in	terms of their relevance	e to the latest	technologies or turu	O Poor
O Freedlant	OVery Good .	O Good	O Average	01001
8. Rate the overall fac	cilities available at the	institute contr	ibuting towards your	wards self-growth.
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	Orooi
9. Rate the institute's	support and contribution	on for your wa	ard in getting jobs an	d placements.
<b>⊘</b> Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
10. Rate the transform	ation of your word after	er in completi	on of the course.	
Ø Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
Any other suggesti	ion (s):			
			C.	Bumbhar
Date: / /			319	hature of Parents

### Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23

### PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Suvorno	Parents:  Bandapant st  Sarjeras shi  opodale Tal-  shivani sarje	noc occ	upation:	
lother:	opodale Tal- shivani sazie	-Linde		3830670977
ddress:	shivani sarje	200 8111000	Mobile Number	2023-23
lame of the Students.	any		Year:	/ improve
epartment:	r valuable feedback on	the curriculum	for review of syllabus	/ to improve
Please rate you	r valuable recons		and allead of the	courses in
Posts the program t	hat your ward is underg	going in terms of	the workload of the	
different semesters	Overy Good	O Good	O Average	O Poor
Pote the quality an	d relevance of the cour	ses included in	the semester.	O Poor
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O 1 301
Pate the quality of	teaching at the institut	е.		O Page
	0 1	( ) (inod	O Average	O Poor
P. de treetment	1 1 4	faculty irrespect	ive of the backgroun	d of the student man
includes Gender, C	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
Pate the transparer	ncy of the evaluation sy	ystem followed	by the institute.	
	O Mari Good	() Good	Avelage	O Poor
Rate the outcomes	that your world has ac	hieved from the	e courses.	
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
D Excending	terms of their relevan	ce to the latest	technologies or furth	er technologies?
	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
Excellent	cilities available at the	inctitute contri	buting towards your	wards self-growth.
	cilities available at the	O Good	O Average	○ Poor
O Excellent	Very Good			
Rate the institute's	support and contribut	ion for your wa		d placements.
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
). Rate the transform	ation of your word aft	er in completio	n of the course.	
-O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
Any other suggesti	ion (s):			

Date:05/05/2023

Signature of Parents

Shires

Geography

#### Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

Name & occupation of	Parents:		for	mer
Father: More /	telan Dry	ence oc	cupation:	equal for
Name & occupation of 1 Father: Move / Mother: Move	Truphi A	atom- oc	cupation:	colhenpur
Mother: 1440-9	atome, lab	- Pantra	10 + 2181. 1	9078667912
Name of the Students:	Wase kon	on Asla	Mobile Number:	2023
Department:	Location		i cai .	
quality of the program.			for review of syllabu	
1. Rate the program the	at your ward is underg	going in terms of	of the workload of the	courses in
different semesters.  Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
2. Rate the quality and	relevance of the cour	ses included in	the semester.	
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
3. Rate the quality of to	eaching at the institute	e.		
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
4. Rate the treatment of	f the students by the f	faculty irrespect	tive of the backgroun	d of the student that
includes Gender, Ca Excellent	Ste, Community creed O Very Good	d etc. in teachin  Good	g and evaluation.  Average	O Poor
5. Rate the transparence	y of the evaluation sy	stem followed	by the institute.	
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
6. Rate the outcomes th	nat your world has ac	hieved from the	courses.	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
7. Rate the courses in to	erms of their relevance	ce to the latest t	echnologies or furthe	er technologies?
O Excellent	Very Good .	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
Rate the overall facil	ities available at the	institute contrib	outing towards your	wards self-growth.
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
Rate the institute's su	apport and contribution	on for your war	d in getting jobs and	placements.
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
0. Rate the transformati	on of your word afte	r in completion	of the course.	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	○ Poor
Any other suggestion	ı (s) :			
Date 29 104/2023			A	my
all 29 109/10 25			Signa	iture of Parents

### **Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23**

#### PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Nan	ne & occupation of P	arents:		to say	184
Fath	ner: - Do 70 6 8 1	yansesh sa	RDAGET Occ	upation:	WIPP
Mo	other: SUD	1+9 - Pall	Occ	supation: - saball	91
Ad	Idress: - 542Pa	1 : Tal - Pal	95 110	5 5 1	9266 540999
	C. St. donte :	DOWNG PROXI	1	Zam MODIIC Linning	3073 3567 240440
De	CEP-	CLO X LW 3		1 cut.	
	Please rate your	valuable feedback on	the curriculum		
	different semesters.  Excellent	at your ward is underg	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
2.	Rate the quality and	relevance of the cour	ses included in	the semester.	
	O Excellent	Wery Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
3.	Rate the quality of t	eaching at the institut	e.		0.7
	O Excellent	Nery Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
	includes Gender, Ca	Ste, Community cree Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
5.	Rate the transparence	cy of the evaluation s	ystem followed		O.D.
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
6.	Rate the outcomes t	hat your world has ac	chieved from the		0.0
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	OPoor
7.	Rate the courses in	terms of their relevan	ce to the latest	technologies or furth	ner technologies?
	Excellent	O Very Good .		○ Average	O Poor
8.	Rate the overall fac	ilities available at the	institute contri	buting towards your	wards self-growth.
	Excellent	O Very Good	The second second	O Average	
9.	Rate the institute's s	support and contributi	ion for your wa	rd in getting jobs an	d placements.
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
10.	Rate the transforma	tion of your word aft	er in completio	n of the course.	
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
	Any other suggestion	on (s):			
Dat	019/04/2023			Sig	nature of Parents

#### **Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23**

#### PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

N	Name & occupation of Parents: Bhimpao Shankay Mistry  Father: Bhimpao Shahuar (Austry) Occupation:  Mother: Lata Bhimpao Mistry Occupation:  Mother: Taken Bhimpao Mistry  Occupation:						
F	ather: Bhimx	ao shankar	raisby occ	upation: JOB			
M	lother: 1ata	Bhimpao Mi	50 ) Occ	cupation:			
	- H-1/10	KECKISWY NEKK	$L(\Lambda X \cap A \cap$	The last and the l			
N	ame of the Students:	Shoridhan B	- WILL	-Mobile Number:	120-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-		
D	epartment:	00101097		Year: -200			
qu	Please rate your nality of the program.	valuable feedback on the	he curriculum f	for review of syllabus / t			
1.	Rate the program th	at your ward is undergo	oing in terms of	the workload of the co	urses in		
	different semesters.  O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor		
2.	Rate the quality and	relevance of the course	es included in t	he semester.			
	O Excellent	Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor		
3.		eaching at the institute.					
	O Excellent	Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor		
4.		f the students by the factories, Community creed of Very Good		ve of the background of and evaluation.  Average	the student that Poor		
5.	Rate the transparence	y of the evaluation syst	em followed b	y the institute.			
	O Excellent		○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
6.	Rate the outcomes th	nat your world has achie	eved from the	courses.			
	O Excellent	Very Good	Good	O Average	OPoor		
7.	Rate the courses in to	erms of their relevance	to the latest te	chnologies or further te	chnologies?		
	O Excellent	O Very Good .	Good	○ Average	O Poor		
8.	Rate the overall facil	ities available at the ins	stitute contribu	iting towards your ward	is self-growth.		
	O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor		
9.	Rate the institute's su	apport and contribution	for your ward	in getting jobs and pla	cements.		
	O Excellent	OVery Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor		
10.	Rate the transformati	on of your word after i	n completion o	of the course.			
	O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor		
	Any other suggestion	any Sugges	bon				
		00					

#### **Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23**

#### PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

	PA	RENIS FEEDE	ACK OIL CO.	IHA DO WILL	
Na	me & occupation of Pa	rents: Manika	ao Sadat	shir facely	b
Fat	me & occupation of Pa ther: Manik	rao Sadas	hill Paroccu	pation:	-
Mo	ther: Ranik	Manikoao	Pattl Occu	ipation:	- vollagur
Ad	other: Rani Idress: Chaw	age Tal	Hatkana	ngare, visi	95454) 1054
100.000	Cal Candonto !	the same of the state of the Street, and the Street, and the same of the same		Mobile Number: Year:	2022-22
De					
	Please rate your v	aluable feedback on	the curriculum fo	or review of syllable	is / to interest
qua	Rate the program that	d is unders	oing in terms of	the workload of the	e courses in
1.	Rate the program that different semesters.		2 101	A storege	OPoor
	O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	
2.	Rate the quality and r	elevance of the cours	ses included in t	ne semester.	Poor
	O Excellent	O Very Good	<b>⊘</b> Good	○ Average	
3.	Rate the quality of tea	aching at the institute	е.	O Asserbage	Poor
	O Excellent	Overy Good	○ Good	O Average	
4.	Rate the treatment of	the students by the f	faculty irrespect	or the background and evaluation.	and of the student that
	includes Gender, Cas	O Very Good	Good	O Average	OPoor
5.	Rate the transparency	of the evaluation sy	ystem followed	by the institute.	O Poor
	O Excellent	Very Good	O Good	O Average	Crool
6	Rate the outcomes th	at your world has ac	hieved from the	courses.	
	0- "	O Very Good	Good	O Average	OPoor
7	Rate the courses in te	erms of their relevan	ce to the latest 1	technologies or fur	ther technologies?
	0 - "	Wery Good	() Good	O Average	
0	Pata the overall facil	ities available at the	institute contri	buting towards you	ur wards self-growth.
	O F Illant	Very Good	Good	O Average	
	Rate the institute's su	pport and contribut	ion for your wa	rd in getting jobs	and placements.
9.		O Very Good	Ø Good	O Average	O Poor
	O Excellent  Rate the transformati	of your word aft			
10.		Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
	O Excellent		0 0000		
	Any other suggestion	ı (s) :			
					<u> </u>
			~~~~~~~~~~		pospar 1
~ .				S	ignature of Parents

Date: 28 108/ 20 22

Commence

#### Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

N	ame & occupation of	Parents:			
Fe	other Bhosale	Parents: Bhisoray R Swadi Bhir	Oc	cupation: + arx	ner
M	lother . Bhasale	swafi Bhir	narar oc	cupation: Hows	e wife
	Wa-lal				
N	ame of the Students:	prosale p	oadif Shima	Mobile Number:	392849795
De	epartment :	3. Com-TIL		Year:	28-25
qu	Please rate your sality of the program.	valuable feedback on	the curriculum		
1.		at your ward is under	going in terms of	of the workload of the	e courses in
	O Excellent	Wery Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
2.	Rate the quality and	I relevance of the cour	rses included in	the semester.	
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
3.	Rate the quality of t	eaching at the institut	e.		
	O Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
4.	Rate the treatment of includes Gender, Ca	of the students by the taste, Community cree	faculty irrespect d etc. in teachin	tive of the backgroung and evaluation.	d of the student that
	O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	Average	O Poor
5.	Rate the transparence	cy of the evaluation sy	ystem followed	by the institute.	
	O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	Poor
6.	Rate the outcomes t	hat your world has ac	hieved from the	courses.	
	O Excellent	O Very Good	O Good	Average	O Poor
7.	Rate the courses in t	terms of their relevance	ce to the latest t	echnologies or furth	er technologies?
	Excellent	O Very Good .	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
8.	Rate the overall faci	lities available at the	institute contrib	outing towards your	wards self-growth.
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
9.	Rate the institute's s	upport and contribution	on for your war	d in getting jobs and	l placements.
	O Excellent	O Very Good	@ Good	○ Average	O Poor
10.	Rate the transformat	tion of your word afte	er in completion	of the course.	
	O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	Average	OPoor
	Any other suggestion	n (s):			
Date	e:13/04/29			Sign	ature of Parents

#### Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23

#### PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Na	ame & occupation of l	Parents:		Ç.,,n	P.
Fa	ther: Ghe vari	Amar. S.	Oc	cupation: 1COY 192	11/20
14	other Ghevay	Swati WY	nay Oc	cupation: House	
A	ddress: AIP. Tals	ande tou. Hutkog	gle- Dist.	Rollapur	
N	ame of the Students:	Ghevari Tejas	Hmay.	Mobile Number:	2022-23
D		TII .o.			
au.	Please rate your nality of the program.	valuable feedback on	the curriculum	for review of syllabu	s / to improve
		at your ward is underg	oing in terms o	of the workload of the	courses in
	different semesters.  O Excellent	O Very Good		○ Average	O Poor
2.	Rate the quality and	relevance of the cours		the semester.	
	O Excellent	Nery Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
3.	Rate the quality of to	eaching at the institute			
	○ Excellent	Wery Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
4.	Rate the treatment o	f the students by the fa	aculty irrespect	ive of the backgroun	d of the student that
	includes Gender, Ca  O Excellent	ste, Community creed  O Very Good		g and evaluation.  Average	O Poor
5.	Rate the transparence	y of the evaluation sy	stem followed	by the institute.	
	O Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
6.	Rate the outcomes th	nat your world has ach	nieved from the	courses.	
	O Excellent	O Very Good	@ Good	O Average	O Poor
7.	Rate the courses in to	erms of their relevanc	e to the latest t	echnologies or furthe	er technologies?
	O Excellent	O Very Good .	Good	Average	O Poor
8.	Rate the overall facil	lities available at the i	nstitute contrib	outing towards your v	wards self-growth.
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
9.	Rate the institute's su	apport and contributio	n for your war	d in getting jobs and	placements.
	O Excellent	Wery Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
10.	Rate the transformati	ion of your word after	in completion	of the course.	
	O Excellent	O Very Good		Average	O Poor
	Any other suggestion	ı (s) :			
					000

Date: 13/4/2023

English.

#### Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

#### **Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23** PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

N	ame & occupation of l	Parents:		. farm	ner
Fa	ather: Laxman  other: Seema L	Garage Giller	Oc	cupation:	-wife
M	other: - Seema L	axman dark	Oc	cupation:	
A	ddress: Mumbeú	c 11-at law	man Graiku	ad	
N	ame of the Students :	Subhash Lax	man Cracks	Mobile Number :	2022-23
De	epartment: Englis	2		Year:	
qu	Please rate your ality of the program.	valuable feedback on	the curriculum	for review of syllabu	s / to improve
1.	Rate the program tha	at your ward is under	going in terms of	of the workload of the	e courses in
	excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
2.	Rate the quality and	relevance of the cour	rses included in	the semester.	
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
3.	Rate the quality of te	eaching at the institut	e.		
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
4.		f the students by the	faculty irrespect	ive of the backgroun	d of the student that
	includes Gender, Cas Excellent	Ste, Community cree O Very Good	d etc. in teachin  Good	g and evaluation.  Average	○ Poor
5.	Rate the transparency	y of the evaluation sy	ystem followed	by the institute.	
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
6.	Rate the outcomes th	at your world has ac	hieved from the	courses.	
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
7.	Rate the courses in te	erms of their relevance	ce to the latest t	echnologies or furthe	er technologies?
	O Excellent	Very Good .	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
3.	Rate the overall facil	ities available at the	institute contrib	outing towards your	wards self-growth.
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
).	Rate the institute's su	pport and contribution	on for your war	d in getting jobs and	placements.
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
0.	Rate the transformati	on of your word afte	r in completion	of the course.	
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
	Any other suggestion	(s):			
ate	:9/3/23				recipied ature of Parents
				Signa	adie of Latellis

Date: 9/3/23

Name & occupation of I	Parents:		. farr	ner
Father: Bawan L	Surgavairsh	Oc	cupation:	
Father: Balwant  Mother:	1_	Oc	ecupation:	
0	10			
Name of the Students:	Mayuri B. Su	ayavansni	Mobile Number	: 10236.13.73
Department : Engl	ish		Year	: 2022-23
quality of the program.	valuable feedback or			
1. Rate the program th	at your ward is under	going in terms of	of the workload of the	ne courses in
different semesters.  Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
2. Rate the quality and	relevance of the cour	rses included in	the semester.	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
3. Rate the quality of to	eaching at the institut	e.		
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
	ste, Community cree	d etc. in teaching	g and evaluation.	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
5. Rate the transparence		stem followed l	by the institute.	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
6. Rate the outcomes th	at your world has ac	hieved from the	courses.	
Excellent	O Very Good	The second second	O Average	O Poor
7. Rate the courses in te	erms of their relevance	ce to the latest to	echnologies or furth	er technologies?
Excellent	O Very Good .			O Poor
8. Rate the overall facili	ities available at the	institute contrib	uting towards your	wards self-growth.
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
Rate the institute's su	pport and contribution	on for your ward	in getting jobs and	placements.
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
0. Rate the transformation	on of your word after	r in completion	of the course.	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
Any other suggestion	(s):			
***************************************				
ate:9/3/2023			Signa	gayung ture of Parents

Name & occupation of	Parents:		From:	Y
Father: - Vamane	Dipole Moo	(v) Oc	cupation:	e wife
Mother: Bamone  Address: At 1986	made To	1 chirden	Ar Reyl.	
Name of the Students:	Primare S	urai Dioa	Makila Number:	9578328288
014	noth;	7037	Mobile Number :	ww. y
Department.				
quality of the program.				
Rate the program the different semesters.		going in terms of	of the workload of the	courses in
© Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
2. Rate the quality and	I relevance of the cour	ses included in	the semester.	
<ul><li>Excellent</li></ul>	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
3. Rate the quality of t	eaching at the institut	e.		
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
4. Rate the treatment of	of the students by the	faculty irrespect	tive of the backgroun	d of the student that
includes Gender, Ca Excellent	Ste, Community creed	d etc. in teachin	g and evaluation.  Average	○ Poor
				Oroci
5. Rate the transparence				O Poor
Excellent	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	OFOOI
6. Rate the outcomes the				
O Excellent	• Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
7. Rate the courses in t			echnologies or furthe	er technologies?
Excellent	O Very Good.	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
. Rate the overall facil	lities available at the	institute contrib	outing towards your	wards self-growth.
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
. Rate the institute's su	apport and contribution	on for your war	d in getting jobs and	placements.
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
0. Rate the transformati	ion of your word after	r in completion	of the course.	
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
Any other suggestion	ı (s) :			
		M and total data pink had then all and any one and the total total and the total total		
			D.1	4.8
ate: / /			Signa	ature of Parents

### Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23 PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Na	ame & occupation of P	arents:		C	
Fa	ther: Pracker	Shamreu D	abhade oc	cupation:	2
M	other: Praction	parayeer 3	Dobeth oc	cupation: - Mow	wh
Ac	ddress At Dy	Dadli Dur	Hattery	Du keilm	
Na	ame of the Students :	Nilar brans	our Dethe	Mobile Number:	3766890026
De	epartment: - Man	Mhi.		Year :	lon. 13
			the curriculum	for review of syllabus	s / to improve
	Rate the program that different semesters.	t your ward is underg	going in terms of	of the workload of the	courses in
	<ul><li>Excellent</li></ul>	O Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
2.	Rate the quality and r	relevance of the cour	ses included in	the semester.	
	O Excellent	<ul><li>Very Good</li></ul>	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
3.	Rate the quality of tea	aching at the institute	е.		
	<ul><li>Excellent</li></ul>	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
4.	Rate the treatment of includes Gender, Cas  Excellent				d of the student that  Poor
5.	Rate the transparency				
	<ul><li>Excellent</li></ul>	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
6.	Rate the outcomes that				
	O Excellent	Very Good		○ Average	○ Poor
7.	Rate the courses in ter				r technologies?
	<ul><li>Excellent</li></ul>	O Very Good .		○ Average	○ Poor
8.	Rate the overall facilit				
	O Excellent	● Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
9.	Rate the institute's sup		on for your war		
	<ul><li>Excellent</li></ul>	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	OPoor
0.	Rate the transformation				
	○ Excellent	Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
	Any other suggestion				J. 3M
					111
					XXXXX

Signature of Parents

Date: / /

### Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23 PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name & occupation of Father: Practed		abhade	Lames	
Mother: Kanchen	narrored 1	Johan Oca	cupation: How	wh
Address : At 1924	Dadli Der	Hathry	Sel belling	
Name of the Students:				766890028
Department : - Mar				on. v
	valuable feedback on	the curriculum		
quality of the program.				
<ol> <li>Rate the program the different semesters.</li> </ol>		going in terms o	f the workload of the	courses in
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
2. Rate the quality and	relevance of the cour	ses included in	the semester.	
O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
3. Rate the quality of t	eaching at the institute	е.		
<ul><li>Excellent</li></ul>	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
	of the students by the f			d of the student that
Excellent	Ste, Community creed Overy Good	Good	Average	O Poor
5. Rate the transparence	ey of the evaluation sy	stem followed	by the institute.	
Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
. Rate the outcomes the	hat your world has acl	hieved from the	e courses.	
O Excellent	<ul><li>Very Good</li></ul>	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
. Rate the courses in t	erms of their relevance	ce to the latest t	echnologies or furthe	
Excellent	O Very Good .	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
. Rate the overall facil	lities available at the	institute contril	outing towards your	wards self-growth.
O Excellent	<ul><li>Very Good</li></ul>	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
. Rate the institute's su	apport and contribution	on for your war	d in getting jobs and	I placements.
<ul><li>Excellent</li></ul>	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
O. Rate the transformati	ion of your word afte	r in completion	of the course.	
O Excellent	<ul><li>Very Good</li></ul>	Good	O Average	O Poor
Any other suggestion	ı (s) :			
			~	all
	and pulse and put 19. Amount and an an of the put of th		Sign	nature of Parents

Date: / /

				S	colon
	Any other suggestion	on (s):			
	O Excellent	Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
10.	Rate the transforma	ation of your word afte	r in completion	of the course.	
	Excellent	O Very Good	Good	O Average	O Poor
9.	Rate the institute's	support and contribution	on for your war	d in getting jobs and	placements.
	O Excellent	Very Good	Good	○ Average	O Poor
8.	Rate the overall fac	cilities available at the	institute contrib	outing towards your	wards self-growth.
	Excellent	O Very Good .			○ Poor
7.	Rate the courses in	terms of their relevand	ce to the latest to		
	O Excellent	Very Good		○ Average	O Poor
6.	Rate the outcomes	that your world has ac			O 1001
	Excellent	O Very Good		O Average	O Poor
5.		ncy of the evaluation sy			OFFOOT
4.	Rate the treatment includes Gender, (  Excellent	of the students by the Caste, Community cree  O Very Good	faculty irrespect d etc. in teachin Good	g and evaluation.  Average	od of the student that
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	○ Poor
3.	Rate the quality of	f teaching at the institut	e.		
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
2	. Rate the quality ar	nd relevance of the cou			J. 55.
1	. Rate the program different semester Excellent	that your ward is under s.  O Very Good	going in terms		e courses in
C	Please rate you	ur valuable feedback or	the curriculum		
1	Department:	norathi			2012-17
1	Name of the Students	Kadam son	sceli Asher	Mobile Numb	860543 862-
- 4	TUUICSS		TOVEN	100	
	viother:	Chalque MAT	WOR -	11. 1	
]	Pather: Kadon	1 Adhur Re	onles -	Δ.	
1	Name & occupation of	of Parents ·			



	me & occupation of P			-	
Fat	her: Mohan M	laruti Loke	inde oco	cupation: Lar	mar
Mo	ther: Anita	Mohan Lo	Kande occ	cupation: Hous	ie wite
	dress: AIP - S				
	me of the Students :		ian Lokan	deMobile Number:	
De	partment: Hind	i		Year:	2022-23
qua	Please rate your vality of the program.	valuable feedback on the	he curriculum	for review of syllabu	is / to improve
1.	Rate the program that	your ward is undergo	oing in terms of	f the workload of the	courses in
	different semesters.  Semesters.	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
2.	Rate the quality and r	relevance of the course	es included in t	he semester.	
	O Excellent	∀ Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
3.	Rate the quality of te	aching at the institute.			
	<b>⊗</b> Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
4.	Rate the treatment of includes Gender, Cas O Excellent	the students by the factor, Community creed of Very Good	etc. in teaching		d of the student that
5	Rate the transparency				0100
	© Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
6.	Rate the outcomes that				0.55
	© Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
7.	Rate the courses in te				
	○ Excellent	✓ Very Good .	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor
8.	Rate the overall facili	ities available at the in	stitute contribu	iting towards your w	ards self-growth.
	⊗ Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
9.	Rate the institute's su	pport and contribution	for your ward	in getting jobs and p	placements.
	○ Excellent	○ Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
10.	Rate the transformati	on of your word after	in completion of	of the course.	
	○ Excellent	⊗ Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
	Any other suggestion	(s):			
	Haz a	प्रभाव कार्य	ने प्रशिष्ट्	ाठा केंद्र व	वनत
Da	te: 10/03/ 2023	केशव.		Signatu	ire of Parents

# Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23 PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

Name	Name & occupation of Parents:					
Father: Vilas Ganpati Solse accomption Farmour						
Mother: Laxita vilas solse accumation. House in the						
Address: MIT - Male lat - Parhala - Dist - Kolhanut						
Name of the Students: Shubham vilas soke Mobile Number:						
Depart	tment: Hind	<u> </u>			22123	
	of the program.	valuable feedback on th				
diff	terent semesters.	t your ward is undergo				
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
		relevance of the course				
	Excellent		○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
		aching at the institute.	00.	0	0.5	
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good		O Poor	
incl		the students by the fac te, Community creed e Overy Good	etc. in teaching		of the student that  O Poor	
5. Rate	e the transparency	of the evaluation syste				
9	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
6. Rate	Rate the outcomes that your world has achieved from the courses.					
91	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
7. Rate	the courses in ter	rms of their relevance	to the latest tec	chnologies or further to	echnologies?	
01	Excellent	O Very Good .	@-Good	○ Average	O Poor	
. Rate	the overall facilit	ties available at the ins	titute contribu	ting towards your war	ds self-growth.	
91	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
. Rate	the institute's sup	oport and contribution	for your ward	in getting jobs and pla	acements.	
OF	Excellent	OVery Good	○ Good	○ Average	○ Poor	
0. Rate	the transformatio	on of your word after in	n completion o	of the course.		
Q E	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	O Poor	
Any	Any other suggestion (s):					

Date:05/03/2023

Signature of Parents

V.G.Solase

#### **Internal Quality Assurance Cell 2022-23**

#### PARENTS FEEDBACK ON CURRICULUM

1	Name & occupation	of Parents:			
F	ather: -Rayema	bra Aomobro Ch	opole	· ~ 11	9. 11
N	Mother: Pratible	ha Rajemshra ch	and o	Occupation: PCLT	somtha mana
	THE PARTY OF THE P				
N	ame of the Studen	ts: Rutuja Rajed	ra chool	0	
D	epartment:Hi	ndi	- tackr		
	Please rate ye	our valuable feedback o	n the curriculum	Year	: 5055-5053
1.	Rate the program different semeste	that your ward is under	rgoing in terms	of the workload of the	ne courses in
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	○ Poor
2.	Rate the quality a	and relevance of the cou	rses included in	the semester.	Oroor
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
3.	Rate the quality of	of teaching at the institut	te.		O1001
	@Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	○ Average	OPoor
4.	Rate the treatment includes Gender,  O Excellent	t of the students by the Caste, Community cree  Very Good	faculty irrespected etc. in teachir	tive of the healten	nd of the student that
5.	Rate the transpare	ency of the evaluation sy			01001
	<b>Excellent</b>	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
6.	Rate the outcomes	s that your world has ac			O 1 001
	O Excellent	O Very Good		○ Average	O Poor
7. Rate the courses in terms of their relevance to the latest technologies or furt					
		O Very Good .			O Poor
3.		cilities available at the i			
	O Excellent		○ Good	O Average	O Poor
	Rate the institute's	support and contributio	n for your ward	d in getting jobs and	
	<b>Excellent</b>	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor
0.	Rate the transform:	ation of your word after	in completion	of the course.	
	<b>Excellent</b>	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	OPoor
	Any other suggestion	on (s):			
ate	:10/03/200 E			Re	yerdebopde.

Date: 10 /03/2025

-conomics

#### Yashwantrao Chavan Warana Mahavidyalaya, Warananagar.

N	ame & occupation of	Parents:					
Fa	ather: - Charapa	le Ashok-s.	Oc	cupation: Farme	eY		
M	lother: - LMaxapa	uc Asha Ash	0/5	ounation. House	e pife		
A	ddress: - P	Managle Tal	- Shirala	hick-can	-11		
N	ame of the Students:	- Charapale	aniket As	Mobile Number	9976 133736		
D	epartment:	Economics		Year:	2020-2021		
qu	Please rate your pality of the program.	valuable feedback on	the curriculum	for review of syllab	us / to improve		
.1.	1. Rate the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the workload of the courses in different semesters.						
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor		
2.	Rate the quality and relevance of the courses included in the semester.						
	O Excellent	Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor		
3.		teaching at the institute	е.				
	Excellent	O Very Good	○ Good	0	O Poor		
4.	Rate the treatment of includes Gender, Ca	of the students by the faste, Community creed	d etc. in teachin	g and evaluation.			
5.		O Very Good	○ Good	O Average	O Poor		
٥.	O Excellent	cy of the evaluation sy  Output  Description:	○ Good		O P		
6.		hat your world has acl		O Average	O Poor		
0.	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	○ Poor		
7		terms of their relevance					
7.		Very Good .			O Poor		
0	Excellent  Pate the averall feet	ilities available at the i		Average			
٥.					O Poor		
0	Excellent						
9.		Very Good		Average	OPoor		
10	Excellent				0.100.		
10.		ion of your word after			OPoor		
	Excellent	O Very Good	O G000	O Average	Oron		
	Any other suggestion	n (s): number of	Compute	rs should h	e increased		
Dat	e: 11/04/2023			Signa	fure of Parents		